

INFORMATIVE INVENTORY REPORT

Emissions of air pollutants in Iceland from 1990 to 2017

Submitted under the Convention on Long-range Transport of Atmospheric Pollutants



2019



The Environment Agency of Iceland

Telephone +354 591 2000, fax +354 591 2020

E-mail: ust@ust.is

Address: Suðurlandsbraut 24, 108 Reykjavík, Iceland

Website: www.ust.is

Authors:

Nicole Keller, Environment Agency of Iceland

Martina Stefani, Environment Agency of Iceland

Sigríður Rós Einarsdóttir, Environment Agency of Iceland

Ásta Karen Helgadóttir, Environment Agency of Iceland

Reykjavík, 15 May 2019

Preface

The Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) was adopted in 1979 and entered into force in 1983. The Convention has been extended by eight Protocols, of which Iceland has ratified the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants. Furthermore, in 2009 the national emission ceilings directive (NECD) 2001/81/EC was added to the EEA agreement, with national emission targets set for Iceland for SO₂, NO_x, NMVOC and NH₃.

According to Article 8 of the Convention, Parties shall exchange information on emissions of pollutants. To comply with this requirement and with the NECD, Iceland prepares an Informative Inventory Report (IIR) each year. The IIR together with the associated Nomenclature for Reporting tables (NFR tables) is Iceland's contribution to this round of reporting under the Convention. This report emphasizes emissions of Persistent Organic Pollutants as Iceland has only ratified the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) under the CLRTAP. Emissions of the indirect greenhouse gases (NO_x, CO and NMVOC), NH₃ and SO₂ are provided in the NFR tables as they are calculated to comply with the reporting requirements of the NECD and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Emission estimates for particulate matter (PM), black carbon (BC) and heavy metals (HM) are provided for several emission sources. A description of the trends and the calculation method for the pollutants are given in this report. Further estimates for SO₂, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ for the volcano Eyjafjallajökull that erupted in 2010, the volcano Grímsvötn that erupted in 2011 and the Holuhraun eruption in 2014 and 2015 are provided.

The IIR is written by staff at the Environment Agency of Iceland (EA).



Table of contents

Table of contents.....	1
Index of Figures	6
Index of Tables	8
Executive Summary	10
1.1 Background.....	10
1.2 Responsible institute	10
1.3 Overview of POPs emissions	10
1 Introduction.....	15
1.1 Background Information	15
1.2 Institutional Arrangements for Inventory Preparation	16
1.3 Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants	16
1.4 Inventory Preparation	17
1.5 Key Category Analysis (KCA).....	19
1.6 Quality Assurance & Quality Control.....	19
1.7 Uncertainty Evaluation.....	20
1.8 General Assessment of Completeness	20
1.8.1 Categories not estimated (NE):	20
1.8.2 Categories reported as Included Elsewhere (IE)	22
1.9 Recalculations and improvements	23
1.9.1 Energy.....	23
1.9.2 Industrial processes and product use (IPPU):.....	23
1.9.3 Agriculture	24
1.9.4 Waste.....	24
1.10 Planned improvements	24
1.10.1 Energy.....	24
1.10.2 Industrial processes and product use.....	24
1.10.3 Agriculture	24
1.10.4 Waste.....	25
2 Trends in Emissions	26
2.1 Emission Profile in Iceland.....	26
2.2 Emission Trends for NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , Particulate Matter, BC and CO.....	26
2.2.1 Trends in sulphur oxides (SO _x) emissions	27
2.2.2 Trends in nitrogen oxides (NO _x) emissions.....	28
2.2.3 Trends in particulate matter (PM) and BC emissions.....	29



2.2.4	Trends in ammonia (NH ₃) emissions	32
2.2.5	Trends in non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) emissions	33
2.2.6	Trends in carbon monoxide (CO) emissions	34
2.2.7	Trends in SO ₂ , NO _x , NH ₃ , NMVOC, CO, PM and BC by main source sectors.....	35
2.3	Emission Trends for Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs).....	38
2.3.1	Trends in dioxin emissions	38
2.3.2	Trends in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) emissions	40
2.3.3	Trends in hexachlorobenzene (HCB) emissions	41
2.3.4	Trends in polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) emissions	43
2.3.5	Trends in persistent organic pollutants (POPs) by main source sectors.....	44
2.4	Emission trends for Heavy Metals.....	46
2.4.1	Trends in priority Heavy Metals (Pb, Cd, Hg)	46
2.4.2	Trends in additional Heavy Metals (As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn)	48
3	Energy (NFR sector 1)	52
3.1	Overview.....	52
3.1.1	Sectoral trends – POP's	52
3.1.2	Sectoral trends – Other pollutants.....	55
3.2	General Methodology	56
3.3	Energy Industries (NFR 1A1).....	57
3.3.1	Electricity & heat (NFR 1A1a)	57
3.4	Manufacturing Industries & Construction (NFR 1A2)	59
3.4.1	Manufacturing industries, stationary combustion (NFR 1A2a-g).....	59
3.4.2	Manufacturing industries, mobile combustion (NFR 1A2gvii)	60
3.5	Transport (NFR 1A3)	61
3.5.1	Civil aviation (NFR 1A3a)	61
3.5.2	Road transport (NFR 1A3b)	63
3.5.3	National navigation (NFR 1A3dii)	66
3.5.4	International navigation (memo item - NFR 1A3di(i)).....	67
3.5.5	Transport: Other (NFR 1A3eii).....	67
3.6	Other Sectors (NFR 1A4).....	67
3.6.1	Commercial, institutional & residential fuel combustion (NFR 1A4a, 1A4b)	67
3.6.2	Agriculture, forestry & fishing (NFR 1A4c)	68
3.7	Fugitive Emissions (NFR 1B2)	69
3.7.1	Distribution of oil products (NFR 1B2av).....	69
3.7.2	Geothermal energy (NFR 1B2d)	70



4	Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) (NFR sector 2)	72
4.1	Overview	72
4.1.1	Sectoral trends - POPs	72
4.1.2	Sectoral trends - other pollutants	75
4.2	General methodology	76
4.3	Mineral Industry (NFR 2A)	77
4.3.1	Cement production (NFR 2A1)	77
4.3.2	Lime production (NFR 2A2)	77
4.3.3	Glass production (NFR 2A3)	77
4.3.4	Quarrying and mining of minerals other than coal (NFR 2A5a)	78
4.3.5	Construction and demolition (NFR 2A5b)	78
4.3.6	Storage, Handling and Transport of mineral products (NFR 2A5c)	78
4.3.7	Mineral wool production (NFR 2A6)	78
4.4	Chemical Industry (NFR 2B)	79
4.4.1	Ammonia production (NFR 2B1)	79
4.4.2	Nitric acid production (NFR 2B2)	79
4.4.3	Adipic acid production (NFR 2B3)	79
4.4.4	Carbide production (NFR 2B5)	79
4.4.5	Titanium dioxide production (NFR 2B6)	79
4.4.6	Soda ash production (NFR 2B7)	79
4.4.7	Chemical industry: Other (NFR 2B10a)	79
4.5	Metal Production (NFR 2C)	80
4.5.1	Iron and steel production (NFR 2C1)	80
4.5.2	Ferrous alloys production (NFR 2C2)	80
4.5.3	Primary aluminium production (NFR 2C3)	82
4.5.4	Secondary aluminium production (NFR 2C3)	83
4.6	Solvent and Product Use (NFR 2D)	84
4.6.1	Domestic solvent use including fungicides (NFR 2D3a)	85
4.6.2	Road paving with asphalt (NFR 2D3b)	86
4.6.3	Coating applications (NFR 2D3d)	86
4.6.4	Degreasing & dry cleaning (NFR 2D3e & f)	86
4.6.5	Chemical products (NFR 2D3g)	87
4.6.6	Printing (NFR 2D3h)	88
4.6.7	Other product use (NFR 2D3i)	88
4.6.8	Other solvent and product use (NFR 2G)	88



4.7	Food & Beverages Industry (NFR 2H2)	89
5	Agriculture (NFR sector 3)	91
5.1	Overview	91
5.1.1	Sectoral trends – POPs	91
5.1.2	Sectoral trends – other pollutants	91
5.2	General Methodology	95
5.3	Manure Management (NFR 3B)	95
5.3.1	Activity data	95
5.3.2	Emission factors & associated parameters	96
5.3.3	Emissions	97
5.3.4	Recalculations and improvements	98
5.3.5	Planned Improvements	99
5.4	Crop Production & Agricultural Soils (NFR 3D)	99
5.4.1	Activity data	99
5.4.2	Emission factors	99
	Emissions	99
5.4.3	Recalculations and improvements	100
5.4.4	Planned Improvements	100
5.5	Agriculture Other Including Use of Pesticides (NFR 3Df and 3I)	100
6	Waste (NFR sector 5)	101
6.1	Overview	101
6.1.1	Sectoral trends – POP's	102
6.1.2	Sectoral trends – Other emissions	105
6.2	General Methodology	105
6.3	Solid waste disposal (NFR 5A)	105
6.3.1	Methodology	106
6.3.2	Activity data	106
6.3.3	Emission factors	106
6.3.4	Recalculations and improvements	106
6.3.5	Planned improvements	106
6.4	Biological treatment of solid waste (NFR 5B)	106
6.4.1	Composting (NFR 5B1)	106
6.4.2	Anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities (NFR 5B2)	107
6.5	Waste incineration and open burning (NFR 5C)	107
6.5.1	Waste incineration (NFR 5C1)	108



6.5.2	Open burning of waste (NFR 5C2)	110
6.6	Wastewater handling (NFR 5D)	112
6.6.1	Methodology	112
6.6.2	Activity data	112
6.6.3	Emission factors	112
6.6.4	Recalculations and improvements	112
6.6.5	Planned improvements	112
6.7	Other waste (NFR 5E)	112
6.7.1	Methodology	112
6.7.2	Activity data	113
6.7.3	Emission factors	114
6.7.4	Recalculations and improvements	115
6.7.5	Planned improvements	115
6.8	Uncertainties and time series consistency	115
6.9	QA/QC and verification	115
7	Natural Sources (NFR 11)	116
7.1	Volcanoes (NFR 11A)	116
7.1.1	Eyjafjallajökull eruption 2010	116
7.1.2	Grímsvötn eruption 2011	117
7.1.3	Holuhraun eruption 2014 - 2015	118
8	Spatially Distributed Emissions on Grid	123
8.1	PAH4 Emissions in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010	123
8.2	Dioxin Emissions in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010	126
9	References	129
	Annex I: Explanation of EA's Adjustment of Data on Fuel Sales by Sector	131
	Annex II: Iceland QA/QC checks	132
	Recalculation Check	132
	Trends Check	133
	Negative and Zero Values Check	133
	Notation Keys Check	133
	PAH Sum Check	133
	Particulate Matter Check	133
	Annex III: KCA results for non-POPs pollutants	134
	Annex IV: Emission trends 1990-2017 per sector, non-POPs pollutants.	137
	Annex V: Recalculations of the energy sectors (excluding road transport)	167



Annex VI: Recalculations of road transport sector (1A3b) using COPERT.....	173
--	-----

Index of Figures

Figure ES. 1 Trends in dioxin emissions by source, since 1990	11
Figure ES. 2 Trends in PAH4 emissions by source, since 1990	12
Figure ES. 3 Trends in HCB emissions by sector, since 1990.....	13
Figure ES. 4 Trends in PCB emissions by sector, since 1990.	14
Figure 1.1 Information flow and distribution of responsibilities in the Icelandic emissions inventory system for reporting to the CLRTAP.....	16
Figure 1.2 The annual inventory cycle.....	18
Figure 2.1 Trends in NO _x , NMVOC, SO ₂ , NH ₃ , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , TSP, BC and CO emissions (% of 1990 emissions).....	27
Figure 2.2 SO ₂ emissions by sector, since 1990.....	28
Figure 2.3 NO _x emissions by sector, since 1990.....	29
Figure 2.4 TSP emissions by sector, since 1990.	30
Figure 2.5 PM ₁₀ emissions by sector, since 1990.	30
Figure 2.6 PM _{2.5} emissions by sector, since 1990.	31
Figure 2.7 Black Carbon (BC) emission by sector, since 1990.	31
Figure 2.8 NH ₃ emissions by sector, since 1990.	33
Figure 2.9 NMVOC emissions by sector, since 1990.	34
Figure 2.10 CO emissions by sector, since 1990.....	34
Figure 2.11 Trends in NO _x , NMVOC, SO ₂ and CO emissions from the energy sector (% of 1990 levels).	35
Figure 2.12 Trends in NO _x , NMVOC, SO ₂ , NH ₃ , CO, PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ and TSP emissions from the industrial sector (% of 1990 levels).	36
Figure 2.13 Trends in NO _x , NMVOC, NH ₃ , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ and TSP emissions from the agricultural sector (% of 1990 levels).	37
Figure 2.14 Trends in in NO _x , NMVOC, SO ₂ , NH ₃ , CO, BC, PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ and TSP emissions from the waste sector (% of 1990 levels).	37
Figure 2.15 Dioxin emissions by sector, since 1990.	39
Figure 2.16 PAH4 emissions by sector, since 1990.	41
Figure 2.17 HCB emissions by sector, since 1990.....	42
Figure 2.18 PCB emissions by sector, since 1990.....	43
Figure 2.19 Trends in POPs emissions from the energy sector (% of 1990 levels).	44
Figure 2.20 Trends in POPs emissions from the industrial sector (% of 1990 levels).	45
Figure 2.21 Trends in POPs emissions from the waste sector (% of 1990 levels).	46
Figure 2.22 Pb emissions by sector, since 1990.	47
Figure 2.23 Cd emissions by sector, since 1990.	47
Figure 2.24 Hg emissions by sector, since 1990.	48
Figure 2.25 As emissions by sector, since 1990.	49
Figure 2.26 Cr emissions by sector, since 1990.....	49
Figure 2.27 Cu emissions by sector, since 1990.	50
Figure 2.28 Ni emissions by sector, since 1990.....	50
Figure 2.29 Se emissions by sector, since 1990.	51



Figure 2.30 Zn emissions by sector, since 1990.	51
Figure 3.1 Dioxin emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.....	53
Figure 3.2 PAH4 emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.	54
Figure 3.3 HCB emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.	54
Figure 3.4 PCB emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.....	55
Figure 4.1 Dioxin emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.....	73
Figure 4.2 PAH4 emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.	73
Figure 4.3 HCB emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.	74
Figure 4.4 PCB emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.....	75
Figure 5.1 NO _x emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.	92
Figure 5.2 NMVOC emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.	93
Figure 5.3 NH ₃ emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.	93
Figure 5.4 PM _{2.5} emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.....	94
Figure 5.5 PM ₁₀ emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.	94
Figure 5.6 Ammonia (NH ₃) emissions from animal husbandry and manure management in tonnes. .	98
Figure 6.1 Dioxin emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.	103
Figure 6.2 PAH4 emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.....	103
Figure 6.3 HCB emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.....	104
Figure 6.4 PCB emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.	104
Figure 7.1 Eyjafjallajökull eruption at its peak in April 2010 (Photo: Þorsteinn Jóhannsson).....	116
Figure 7.2 Grímsvötn eruption in May 2011. (Photo NASA/GSFC/Jeff Schmaltz/MODIS Land Rapid Response Team).	117
Figure 7.3 Monthly emission from Holuhraun during the eruption.	119
Figure 7.4 SO ₂ dispersion during the eruption modelled by CALPUFF, presented as frequency of hourly concentrations higher than the 350 µg/m ³ health limit. The monitoring stations mentioned in the text and in Figure 7.5 are also shown (Gíslason, 2015).....	120
Figure 7.5 The SO ₂ concentration in air at four of the permanent gas monitoring stations presented in Figure 7.4. The 350 µg/m ³ health limit is shown by the red horizontal line. The grey vertical lines mark the eruption period. Permanent SO ₂ monitoring started at Höfn 28 October 2014. (Gíslason, 2015)	121
Figure 7.6 Holuhraun eruption in September 2014. The height of the lava fountains was around 100 m (Photo: Ólafur F. Gíslason).	122
Figure 8.1 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 1990.	123
Figure 8.2 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 1995.	124
Figure 8.3 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 2000.	124
Figure 8.4 emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 2005.	125
Figure 8.5 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 2010.	125
Figure 8.6 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 1990.	126
Figure 8.7 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 1995.	127
Figure 8.8 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 2000.	127
Figure 8.9 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 2005.	128
Figure 8.10 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 2010.	128



Index of Tables

Table 1.1 Key category analysis for reported POPs in 2017.....	19
Table 1.2 List of pollutants not estimated by sector.....	20
Table 1.3 Categories included elsewhere.....	22
Table 2.1 Emissions of SO ₂ , NO _x , NH ₃ , NMVOC, CO and PM in 1990 and 2017.	26
Table 2.2 Emissions of POPs in Iceland 1990 and 2017.	38
Table 2.3 Estimated emissions of heavy metals, 1990 and 2017.....	46
Table 3.1 Overview of emissions of POPs from the energy sector in 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated; NO - Not occurring).	52
Table 3.2 Overview of emissions of pollutants other than POP's in 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO - Not occurring, NR - Not reported).	55
Table 3.3 Electricity production in Iceland (GWh).	57
Table 3.4 Fuel combustion and waste incineration (kt) for electricity and heat production.	58
Table 3.5 Fuel use (kt), stationary combustion in the manufacturing industry.	59
Table 3.6 Emission factors for dioxin and PAH4 from stationary combustion in manuf. industry.	60
Table 3.7 Fuel use (kt), mobile combustion in the construction industry.....	61
Table 3.8 Fuel sales (kt.), domestic aviation.	62
Table 3.9 Fuel sales (kt), international aviation.	62
Table 3.10 Emission factors for dioxin, NO _x , CO and NMVOC by fuel type - 1990-2004 (Except dioxin, where EF apply for 1990-2016).....	63
Table 3.11 Emission factors for TSP and BC, international aviation - 1990-2004.	63
Table 3.12 Fuel use (kt), road transport.....	64
Table 3.13 Emission factors for dioxin, road vehicles.	65
Table 3.14 Emission factors for PAH4, road vehicles.	65
Table 3.15 Emission factors for NO _x , CO and NMVOC.....	65
Table 3.16 Fuel use (kt), national navigation.	66
Table 3.17 Emission factors for national navigation emissions.	66
Table 3.18 Fuel use (kt), commercial/institutional sector.	67
Table 3.19 Fuel use (kt), residential sector.	68
Table 3.20 Fuel use (kt), fishing sector.....	69
Table 3.21 Electricity production and emissions from geothermal energy in Iceland.....	70
Table 4.1 Dioxin, PAH, HCB and PCB emissions from industrial processes, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO - Not occurring).....	72
Table 4.2 NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , PM and CO emissions from industrial processes, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO - Not occurring, NR - not reported).	75
Table 4.3 Heavy metal emissions from industrial processes, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NO - Not occurring, NR - Not relevant)	76
Table 4.4 Emission factors for cement production	77
Table 4.5 Emission factors for mineral wool production (NH ₃ , TSP: Values are EFs for 1990-2008)....	78
Table 4.6 Production data for 1990, 1995 and 2000 for fertilizer and silica production (in kt).....	79
Table 4.7 Emission factors for secondary steel production.	80
Table 4.8 Raw materials use (kt) and production (kt), ferrosilicon and silicon production.	81
Table 4.9 Emission factors from Fe Si and Si production.	82
Table 4.10 Heavy metal contents in silica dust in 2014 (mg metal / kg dust).....	82
Table 4.11 Primary Aluminium production (kt).....	82



Table 4.12 Emission factors, primary aluminium production.	83
Table 4.13 Secondary aluminium production (kt).....	83
Table 4.14 Emission factors, secondary aluminium production.	84
Table 4.15 Emission factors for sector 2D3.....	84
Table 4.16 Emission factors for use of tobacco and of fireworks, per mass unit of imported goods...	89
Table 4.17 NMVOC emission factors for the production of various food and beverage products.....	90
Table 5.1 Dioxin, PAH4, HCB and PCB emissions from the agriculture sector, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NO - Not occurring).	91
Table 5.2 NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , PM, BC and CO emission estimates from the agriculture sector, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO - Not occurring).....	92
Table 5.3 Annual average population of livestock according to NFR categorization in Iceland for 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017.	96
Table 5.4. Parameters used in calculation of NH ₃ and NO emissions of manure management.....	97
Table 5.5 Pesticide use and regulation in Iceland.	100
Table 6.1 Overview of POPs emissions in 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated).	102
Table 6.2 Overview of NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃ , PM and CO emissions from the waste sector in 2017.	105
Table 6.3 Overview of heavy metals emissions from the waste sector in 2017.	105
Table 6.4 Emission factors used in solid waste disposal (NFR 5A).	106
Table 6.5 Emission factors used in composting (NFR 5B1).	107
Table 6.6 Vehicle and building fires, capital area.....	113
Table 6.7 Vehicle and building fires scaled for Iceland	114
Table 7.1 Eruption emission parameters.	118
Table 7.2 Highest one hour SO ₂ peak by country (Gíslason, 2015).....	122

Executive Summary

1.1 Background

The Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) entered into force in 1983. The Convention has been extended by eight Protocols, of which Iceland has ratified the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs). The Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants entered into force in 2003. According to Article 8 of the Convention, Parties shall exchange information on emissions of pollutants. In 2009, the national emission ceilings directive (NECD) 2001/81/EC was added to the EEA agreement, with national emission targets set for Iceland for SO₂, NO_x, NMVOC and NH₃. At the time of writing, work is underway at the EA and the Icelandic government to evaluate and work at the incorporation of the new National Emissions Ceiling directive (Directive 2016/2284) into the EEA agreement; at the end of April 2019, the EA concluded an contract with IIASA to obtain an analysis of reduction potentials for Iceland for NO_x, SO₂, NMVOC, NH₃ and PM_{2.5}, to be done in a way comparable to the analysis done for the EU Member States (see also TSAP Report no 16¹)

To comply with the requirements of the Convention and of the national emission ceilings directive, Iceland prepares an Informative Inventory Report (IIR) annually. The IIR together with the associated Nomenclature for Reporting tables (NFR tables) is Iceland's contribution to this round of reporting under the Convention and covers emissions in the period 1990 – 2016. This report emphasizes on anthropogenic emissions of Persistent Organic Pollutants (Dioxin, PAH4, HCB and PCB), as Iceland has only ratified the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants. Anthropogenic emissions of the indirect greenhouse gases (NO_x, CO and NMVOC) and SO₂ are provided in the NFR tables as they are calculated to comply with the reporting requirements of the UNFCCC and of the NECD. For this submission emission estimates for ammonia (NH₃), particulate matter (PM), black carbon (BC) and heavy metals (HM) are provided for a few emission sources. PCB and HM emissions are reported for the first time in this report.

This report and the NFR tables are available on the Centre on Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP) webpage:

http://www.ceip.at/ms/ceip_home1/ceip_home/status_reporting/2019_submissions/

1.2 Responsible institute

The Environment Agency of Iceland (EA), an agency under the direction of the Ministry for the Environment and Natural Resources is responsible for the annual preparation and submission of the Icelandic informative inventory report (IIR) and Nomenclature for Reporting tables (NFR tables) to the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution. The EA participates in meetings under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Task Force on Emission Inventories and Projections (TFEIP) and the related expert panels, where parties to the convention prepare the guidelines and methodologies on inventories.

1.3 Overview of POPs emissions

All sources of POPs emissions are included in the energy, the industry and the waste sector; activities belonging to the agriculture sector are either not occurring in Iceland, or do not generate POPs emissions.

¹ http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web/home/research/researchPrograms/air/policy/TSAP_16b.pdf

From 1990 to 2017 dioxin emissions decreased substantially (Figure ES. 1). In the most recent year of the time series, the largest contributors of dioxin emissions in Iceland were residential stationary combustion and other industry combustion (Energy sector).




Figure ES. 1 Trends in dioxin emissions by source, since 1990

PAH4 emissions from 1990 to the most recent year of the time series decreased substantially (Figure ES. 2). The largest contributors of PAH4 emissions in Iceland are waste incineration (Waste sector), the metal industry (Industry sector), road transport (Energy sector) and.




Figure ES. 2 Trends in PAH4 emissions by source, since 1990

The estimated hexachlorobenzene (HCB) emissions from 1990 to 2017 increase substantially (Figure ES. 3). The largest contributor of HCB emissions in Iceland is waste incineration (without energy recovery) followed by emissions originating from navigation and fishing. HCB emissions from the industry sector increased in 2004, following the opening of a secondary aluminium plant. Open burning of waste was a common waste management practice in Iceland pre-2004. However, an increase in the amount of waste incinerated in incineration plants without energy recovery occurred in 2004 while a reduction of the amount of waste burned in the open occurred in that same year. Interpretations of the HCB trend analysis should be undertaken with care as emissions have only been estimated for a few sources, for example the HCB emissions from open burning of waste have not been estimated.




Figure ES. 3 Trends in HCB emissions by sector, since 1990.

Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) emissions have increased across the time series (Figure ES. 4). The largest contributor of PCB emissions in Iceland is waste incineration (with no energy recovery). The only source of PCB estimated from industrial processes is secondary steel production (2C1). The only secondary steel plant in Iceland started its activities in 2014; In 2015, production was much less than in the year before, leading to a decrease in PCB emissions. Open burning of waste was a common waste management practice in Iceland pre-2004. However, an increase in the amount of waste incinerated in incineration plants without energy recovery occurred in 2004 while a reduction of the amount of waste burned in the open occurred in that same year.

Interpretations of the total PCB trend analysis should be undertaken with care as emissions have only been estimated for a few sources.




Figure ES. 4 Trends in PCB emissions by sector, since 1990.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background Information

The 1979 Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) was signed by Iceland on 13 November 1979 and ratified in May 1983. The Convention entered into force in August 1983. One of the requirements under the Convention is that Parties are to report their national emissions by sources.

The Convention has been extended by eight Protocols, of which the Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POP-Protocol) has been signed and ratified by Iceland. The POP-Protocol was ratified by Iceland in May 2003 and entered into force in October 2003.

In 2009, Directive 2001/81/EC² was incorporated into the Agreement on the European Economic Area (The EEA Agreement), with national emission targets set for Iceland for SO₂, NO_x, NMVOC and NH₃. The targets set were 90 kt, 27 kt, 31 kt and 8 kt, respectively, to be reached by 2010. In December 2016, Directive (EU) 2016/2284³ (National Emission Ceilings Directive, NECD) entered into force, repealing the previous emission Directive 2001/81/EC. The NECD includes the same pollutants as the directive it replaces, with the addition of CO, Cd, Hg, Pb, POPs (PAH, dioxins/furans, PCBs, HCB), PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and BC if available as obligatory reporting and TSP, As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se and Zn as voluntary reporting. At the time of writing, work is underway at the EA and the Icelandic government to evaluate and work towards the incorporation of the new National Emissions Ceiling Directive (2016/2284) into the EEA agreement; Iceland-specific targets are yet to be determined. At the end of April 2019, the EA concluded an contract with IIASA to obtain an analysis of reduction potentials for Iceland for NO_x, SO₂, NMVOC, NH₃ and PM_{2.5}, to be done in a way comparable to the analysis done for the EU Member States (see also TSAP Report no 16⁴).

The present report together with the associated NFR (Nomenclature for Reporting) tables are Iceland's contribution to the 2019 reporting under the Convention. As Iceland has only ratified the POPs Protocol, the report emphasizes anthropogenic emissions of POPs and covers anthropogenic emissions of dioxin, PAH4, HCB and PCB for the period 1990-2017. A description of the trends and calculation methods is given. Anthropogenic emissions of the indirect greenhouse gases (NO_x, CO, NMVOC), NH₃ and SO₂ are provided in the NFR tables, as they are calculated to comply with the reporting requirements of the UNFCCC and of the NECD. Emission estimates for particulate matter (PM), black carbon (BC) and heavy metals (HM) are provided for a few emission sources. A short description of the trends and the calculation methods for those pollutants are given in this report.

Estimates for SO₂, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ for the volcano Eyjafjallajökull which erupted in 2010, the volcano Grímsvötn which erupted in 2011 and Holuhraun eruption in 2014 and 2015 are also provided (Chapter 7).

² Directive 2001/81/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2001 on national emission ceilings for certain atmospheric pollutants.

³ Directive (EU) 2016/2284 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2016 on the reduction of national emissions of certain atmospheric pollutants, amending Directive 2003/35/EC and repealing Directive 2001/81/EC

⁴ http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web/home/research/researchPrograms/air/policy/TSAP_16b.pdf

1.2 Institutional Arrangements for Inventory Preparation

The Environment Agency of Iceland (EA), an agency under the auspices of the Ministry for the Environment and Natural Resources, has overall responsibility for the annual preparation and submission of the national inventory to the UNECE-LRTAP Convention. EA compiles and maintains the emission inventory and reports to the Convention. Figure 1.1 illustrates the flow of information and allocation of responsibilities. The methodologies and data sources used for different sectors are described in Chapter 1.3.




Figure 1.1 Information flow and distribution of responsibilities in the Icelandic emissions inventory system for reporting to the CLRTAP.

1.3 Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants

The Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) was adopted on 24 June 1998. It entered into force on 23 October 2003. It focuses on a list of 16 substances that have been singled out according to agreed risk criteria. The substances comprise eleven pesticides, two industrial chemicals and three by-products/ contaminants. The ultimate objective is to eliminate any discharges, emissions and losses of POPs. The Protocol bans the production and use of some products outright (aldrin, chlordane, chlordecone, dieldrin, endrin, hexabromobiphenyl, mirex and toxaphene). Others are scheduled for elimination at a later stage (DDT, heptachlor, HCB, PCB). Finally, the Protocol severely restricts the use of DDT, HCH (including lindane) and PCBs. The Protocol includes provisions for dealing with the wastes of products that will be banned. It also obliges Parties to reduce their emissions of dioxins, furans, PAHs and HCB below their levels in 1990 (or an alternative year between 1985 and 1995). For the incineration of municipal, hazardous and medical waste, it lays down specific limit values. Aldrin, chlordane, chlordecone, dieldrin, endrin, hexabromobiphenyl, mirex and

toxaphene have never been produced in Iceland. Of these chemicals only aldrin has been used in Iceland, though not since 1975. DDT and heptachlor have not been used in Iceland since 1975 and were banned with a regulation in 1996. Lindane (HCH) was used in Iceland until the early nineties. Sales statistics exist for 1990 to 1992, and the use of lindane was banned in 1999. PCB was banned in Iceland in 1988.

1.4 Inventory Preparation

The EA collects the bulk of data necessary to calculate yearly emissions, i.e. activity data and emission factors. Activity data is collected from various institutions and companies (according to Regulation No. 520/2017), as well as by the EA directly:

1. The National Energy Authority (NEA) collects annual information on fuel sales from the oil companies. This information was until 2008 provided on an informal basis. From 2008 and onwards, Act No. 48/2007 enables the NEA to obtain sales statistics from the oil companies.
2. Until 2011 the Farmers Association of Iceland (FAI), on behalf of the Ministry of Agriculture, was responsible for assessing the size of the animal population each year, when the Food and Veterinary Authority took over that responsibility. On request from the EA, the FAI assisted the development of a method to account for young animals that are mostly excluded from national statistics on animal population. Animal statistics have been further developed to better account for replacement animals in accordance with recommendations from the ERT that came to Iceland for an in-country review in 2011.
3. Statistics Iceland provides information on population, GDP, food and beverages, imports of solvents and other products, import of fertilizers and on import and export of fuels.
4. The EA- collects various additional data through the annual emission reports reported under the European Emissions Trading System (EU ETS, according to Act No. 70/2012 on Climate Change), European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR, according to Regulation No. 990/2008), Green Accounting reports from industry submitted under Regulation No. 851/2002.
5. Data for using the transport model COPERT originates from EMISIA SA⁵ and used for emission estimates from road transport (NFR 1A3b) for selected pollutants from 2000 onwards (see more details in the energy sector).
6. Aviation emissions for 2005-2017 are reported using the Eurocontrol dataset.
7. Emission factors are mainly taken from the *Emission Inventory Guidebook* (EEA, 2016), the *Emission Inventory Guidebook* (EEA, 2013), the *Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases* (UNEP, 2005), *Annual Danish Informative Inventory Report to UNECE* (NERI, 2016), *Emissions of Black carbon and Organic carbon in Norway 1990-2011* (Aasestad, 2013) as well as the Norwegian reports *Utslipp til luft av dioksiner i Norge – Dokumentasjon av metode og resultater*⁶ (Statistics Norway, 2002) and *Utslipp til luft av noen miljøgifter i Norge - Dokumentasjon av metode og resultater*⁷ (Statistics Norway, 2001).

⁵ <http://emisias.com/products/copert-data>

⁶ Utslipp til luft av dioksiner i Norge: Air emissions of dioxins in Norway – Documentation of methods and results

⁷ Utslipp til luft av noen miljøgifter i Norge – Dokumentasjon av metode og resultater: Air emissions of several pollutants in Norway - Documentation of methods and results.

8. The EA- also collects activity data on waste amounts.
9. Dioxin was measured at several locations in Iceland in 2011, including waste incineration plants, aluminium plants and the ferrosilicon plant. PAH4 was also measured at one aluminium plant and the ferrosilicon plant. The results from dioxin measurements from the waste incineration plant have been used for waste incineration emission estimates since the 2012 submission. Results from the measurements at industrial sites have been used since the 2013 submission.

The annual inventory cycle (Figure 1.2) describes individual activities performed each year in preparation for next submission of the emission estimates.




Figure 1.2 The annual inventory cycle.

A new annual cycle begins with an initial planning of activities for the inventory cycle by the inventory team and major data providers as needed, taking into account the outcome of the internal and external review. The initial planning is followed by a period assigned for compilation of the national inventory and improvement of the National System.

After compilation of activity data, emission estimates and uncertainties are calculated, and quality checks performed to validate results. All emission estimates are imported into the CRF Reporter software.

A series of internal review activities are carried out annually to detect and rectify any anomalies in the estimates, e.g. time series variations, with priority given to key source categories and those categories where data and methodological changes have recently occurred.

After an approval by the director and the inventory team at the EA, the gas air pollutant inventory is submitted by the EA.

1.5 Key Category Analysis (KCA)

A key category means a source category of emissions that has a significant influence on Iceland's total emissions. A KCA has been undertaken based on Approach 1 outlined in the 2016 EMEP Guidelines. The KCA has been performed using the current CLRTAP inventory data. Data for 1990 and the most recent year of the time series has been extracted for each pollutant and NFR code. A KCA has been performed for each pollutant, calculating both the level assessment and trend assessment. Memo items and notation keys have been excluded. The sectors that contribute to more than 80 % of the inventory are identified for each pollutant in ascending order.

Table 1.1 presents the results of the current key category analysis for POPs. The key category analyses for all other pollutants included in the inventory are presented in Annex III.

Table 1.1 Key category analysis for reported POPs in 2017.

Component	Key categories (Sorted from high to low from left to right)					Total (%)
DIOX	<i>Residential: Stationary NFR 1A4bi</i>	<i>Other Industry NFR 1A2gviii</i>	<i>Food processing, beverages and tobacco NFR 1A2e</i>	<i>Open burning of waste NFR 5C2</i>		83.42%
	(35.28%)	(26.65%)	(16.23 %)	(5.26 %)		
PAH4	<i>Open burning of waste NFR 5C2</i>	<i>Aluminium production NFR 2C3</i>	<i>Ferroalloy production NFR 2C2</i>	<i>Accidental fires NFR 5E</i>	<i>Road transport: Passenger cars NFR 1A3bi</i>	88.27%
	(23.47 %)	(18.25 %)	(17.96%)	(16.57%)	(12.03%)	
HCB	<i>Clinical waste incineration NFR 5C1biii</i>	<i>Municipal waste incineration NFR 5C1a</i>	<i>Fishing NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>Aluminium production NFR 2C3</i>		93.97%
	(36.44%)	(24.40%)	(17.03%)	(16.11 %)		
PCB	<i>Municipal waste incineration NFR 5C1a</i>	<i>Fishing NFR 1A4ciii</i>				87.56%
	(61.34%)	(26.22%)				

1.6 Quality Assurance & Quality Control

The objective of QA/QC activities in national emissions inventories is to improve transparency, consistency, comparability, completeness, accuracy, confidence and timeliness. A QA/QC plan for the annual inventory of Iceland has been prepared. The document describes the quality assurance and quality control program. It includes the quality objectives and an inventory quality assurance and a quality control plan. It also describes the responsibilities and the time schedule for the performance of QA/QC procedures. The QC activities include general methods such as accuracy checks on data acquisition and calculations and the use of approved standardized procedures for emission calculations, measurements, estimating uncertainties, archiving information and reporting. Source category specific QC measures have been developed for several key source categories. A quality manual for the Icelandic air emission inventory has been prepared. It is available on the EA's website (ust.is/library/Skrar/Atvinnulif/Loftslagsbreytingar/Iceland_QAQC_plan.pdf).

A range of QC checks have been performed on the Icelandic inventory:

- **Recalculation check** - comparing the values reported in the current and previous versions of the inventory.

- **Trends check** - to identify outliers and changes in the trend in the most recent three years of the inventory.
- **Negative and zero values checks** - to highlight the occurrence of negative values (LULUCF is not included) and zero values in the inventory.
- **Notation keys check** - to summarise the occurrence of each notation key to ensure consistency and accuracy in the inventory.
- **PAHs sum check** - to ensure that the sum of the four reported PAHs equals the reported “total” PAH emissions.
- **Particulate Matter check** - to ensure that reported TSP emissions are greater than or equal to PM₁₀, and similarly that reported PM₁₀ emissions are greater than or equal to PM_{2.5}.

In all cases, the findings of the checks are reviewed, not only to identify where corrections may be required, but also to consider whether there are any steps of the inventory compilation process that need improvement. In addition, reviewing the results also provides information on whether the individual checks are well designed and comprehensive. This ensures that all results from the QC process feed back into the continuous improvement programme. Further details are available under Annex II.

1.7 Uncertainty Evaluation

The uncertainty analysis is being developed and will be included in next submission.

1.8 General Assessment of Completeness

The aim is to make, in the highest possible level of disaggregation, estimates of all known emissions to air in the informative inventory report. The inventory is generally complete, however there are some pollutants and/or categories that have not been estimated at all or only for part of the time series. The activities/pollutants not included in the present submission were not estimated due to lack of emission factors (pollutant(s) listed as “not estimated” in the emission factor tables provided in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook), lack of data, and/or that additional work was impossible due to time constraints in the preparation of the emission inventory. Iceland uses the notation key NR for sources which are not estimated for all pollutants other than POPs and the pollutants that are reported to the UNFCCC under the Kyoto Protocol.

1.8.1 Categories not estimated (NE):

The table below shows an overview of the subsectors and the pollutants not estimated. In general, the notation key Not Estimated is used in the cases where the pollutant is mentioned in the “Not estimated” field of the emission factor tables in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Where the pollutant is mentioned in the “Not applicable” field, the notation key Not Applicable is used.

Table 1.2 List of pollutants not estimated by sector

NFR code	NFR category	Pollutants not reported (NE)	Reason
1A1a	Public electricity and heat production	NH ₃ , B(a)P, PAH, HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A2a	Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: Iron and steel	NH ₃ , HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A2b	Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: Non-ferrous metals	NH ₃ , HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016



NFR code	NFR category	Pollutants not reported (NE)	Reason
1A2e	Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: Food processing, beverages and tobacco	NH ₃ , HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A2f	Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: Non-metallic minerals	NH ₃ , HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A2gvii	Mobile Combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: (please specify in the IIR)	NH ₃ , BkF, IPy, HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A2gviii	Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: Other (please specify in the IIR)	NH ₃ , HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A3ai(i)	International aviation LTO (civil)	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A3aii(i)	Domestic aviation LTO (civil)	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A3bi-iv	Road transport: Passenger cars, LDV, HDV and mopeds&motorcycles (only 1990-1999)	NH ₃ , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , TSP, BC, Heavy metals	Not part of the COPERT dataset and No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A3bv	Road transport: Gasoline evaporation	B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH, HCB, PCBs, dioxins	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A3bv	Road transport: Gasoline evaporation (only 1990-1999)	NMVOC	Not part of the COPERT dataset
1A3bvi	Road transport: Automobile tyre and brake wear	B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH, HCB, PCBs	There is no T1 EF in GB 2016 for HCB and PCBs.
1A3bvi	Road transport: Automobile tyre and brake wear (1990-1999)	PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , TSP	Not part of the COPERT dataset
1A3bvii	Road transport: Automobile road abrasion	B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH, HCB, PCBs	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A3bvii	Road transport: Automobile road abrasion (1990-1999)	PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , TSP	Not part of the COPERT dataset
1A3dii	National navigation (shipping)	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(b)F, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A4bi	Residential: Stationary	NH ₃	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1A4ciii	Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: National fishing	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(b)F, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH	No T1 EF in GB 2016
1B2av	Distribution of oil products	Sox, PCDD/PCDF	No T1 EF in GB 2016
2A6	Other mineral products (mineral wool production)	Nox, NMVOC	No EF in GB 2016
2B1	Ammonia production	NH ₃	Missing AD
2C1	Iron and steel production	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(k)f, Ipy	No EF in GB 2016
2C2	Ferroalloys production	NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016
2C3	Aluminium production	NMVOC, NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016



NFR code	NFR category	Pollutants not reported (NE)	Reason
2D3g	Chemical products	NO _x , SO _x , PCDD/PCDF, B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH, HCB, PCBs	No EF in GB 2016
2D3i	Other solvent use	PCDD/PCDF, B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy, PAH, HCB, PCBs	No EF in GB 2016
3Da2a	Animal manure applied to soils	NMVOC, SO _x	No EF in GB 2016
3Da2b	Sewage sludge applied to soils	NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃	Not estimated because it occurs on a very small scale
3Da2c	Other organic fertilisers applied to soils (including compost)	NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃	Not estimated because it occurs on a very small scale
3Da3	Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals	NMVOC, SO _x	No EF in GB 2016
3Da4	Crop residues applied to soils	NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016
3Db	Indirect emissions from managed soils	NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016
3De	Cultivated crops	NO _x , SO _x , NH ₃ , CO	No EF in GB 2016
3Df	Use of pesticides	NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x , NH ₃	All pesticides mentioned in the Guidebook have been banned in Iceland for many years and before that were used to a very small degree.
5A	Biological treatment of waste - Solid waste disposal on land	NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016
5B1	Biological treatment of waste - Composting	NO _x , NMVOC, SO _x	No EF in GB 2016
5C1bii	Hazardous waste incineration	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy	No EF in GB 2016
5C1biii	Clinical waste incineration	NH ₃ , B(a)P, B(b)f, B(k)f, Ipy	No EF in GB 2016
5C1biv	Sewage sludge incineration	NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016
5C2	Open burning of waste	NH ₃	No EF in GB 2016
5D1	Domestic wastewater handling	NMVOC, NH ₃	No relevant activity data / No EF in GB 2016
5D2	Industrial wastewater handling	NMVOC, NH ₃	No relevant activity data / No EF in GB 2016
5D3	Other wastewater handling	NMVOC, NH ₃	No relevant activity data / No EF in GB 2016
5E	Other waste (please specify in IIR)	HCB, PCBs	No EF in GB 2016

1.8.2 Categories reported as Included Elsewhere (IE)

The table below indicates the categories where the notation key IE has been used in the reporting for some or all pollutants.

Table 1.3 Categories included elsewhere.

NFR code	NFR category	Pollutants included elsewhere (IE)	Reported under	
			NFR code	NFR category
1A2f	Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: Non-metallic minerals (Cement)	Dioxin, SO _x	2A1	Cement production



NFR code	NFR category	Pollutants included elsewhere (IE)	Reported under	
			NFR code	NFR category
1A3biv	Road transport: Mopeds and motorcycles (1990-1999)	all reported pollutants	1A3bi	Passenger cars
1A3eii	Transport: Other	all reported pollutants	1A2gvii	Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries and construction
1A4bii	Residential: Household and gardening (mobile)	all reported pollutants	1A2gvii	Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries and construction
1A4cii	Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road vehicles and other machinery	all reported pollutants	1A2gvii	Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries and construction
2B1	Amonia production	NOx	2B10a	Chemical Industry: Other (Fertilizer production)
3B4d	Manure management - goats	PM, TSP	3B2	Manure management - sheep
5C1bi	Industrial waste incineration	Dioxin	5C1a	Municipal waste incineration
5C1bii	Hazardous waste incineration	Dioxin	5C1a	Municipal waste incineration
5C1biii	Clinical waste incineration	Dioxin	5C1a	Municipal waste incineration
5C1biv	Sewage sludge incineration	Dioxin	5C1a	Municipal waste incineration

1.9 Recalculations and improvements

A recalculation file has been used for this submission. This QC file compares Year x-3 (2016) and the base year (1990) for the current and previous submissions for all pollutants. The data has been compiled to enable any changes in the data to be easily identified and justifications for changes provided where required. As far as possible, the recalculation check includes all reported sectors.

The main sector-specific recalculations and improvements done for this submission are mentioned below for each sector, and all recalculations are described in more details in each subsector in the relevant chapter.

1.9.1 Energy

The main recalculations in the energy sector are listed below. Details on all recalculations that were done for in the Energy chapter can be found in Annexes V and VI.

- Several emission factors were updated with the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook values. Most EF updated were for NO_x, NMVOC, CO and dioxins.
- All pollutant emissions from road transport were recalculated with COPERT v5.2 for 2000-2017.

The main improvements done in the energy sector include:

- A complete review and restructuring of the Energy sector, including updating/redesigning calculation spreadsheets and revising all assumptions and emission factors across the sector.
- COPERT v5.2 was used to estimate emissions of all pollutants from road transport for 2000-2017.

1.9.2 Industrial processes and product use (IPPU):

No recalculations and improvements were done in the IPPU sector.

1.9.3 Agriculture

The main recalculations and improvements in the Agriculture sector include:

- Livestock population numbers were updated for the whole time series (1990-2017). This was done due to changed reporting at the IFVA, which no longer publishes final livestock numbers for each year. Therefore, the currently used data was pulled directly from their livestock database (www.bustofn.is) where all the livestock census reports from farmers are stored. The current data should, therefore, be the most accurate data available.

1.9.4 Waste

The main recalculation and improvement in the waste sector include:

- Updated waste amounts for 2014-2016 with new data available.
- Emissions of HCB and PCB from Open burning of waste (5C2) were changed to NE in accordance with the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

1.10 Planned improvements

Various improvements are planned to increase the overall quality of the inventory and the report. Those include:

- Adding a comprehensive uncertainty analysis;
- Improving the workflow pertaining to keeping track and acting upon comments received by reviewers
- Reviewing and updating the overall workflow for preparing the inventory, including more quality checks and cross-checks between data sources
- Review the layout of the Informative Inventory Report

Furthermore, several sector-specific improvements are planned. The main improvements are mentioned below for each sector, and all planned improvements are described in more details in each subsector in the relevant chapter.

1.10.1 Energy

In 2018 a complete review and restructuring of the Energy sector was done, with the assistance of the consulting company Aether Ltd. For future submissions there is need to harmonize energy data processing between various organizations (such as EA, the national Energy Authority and Statistics Iceland), produce a complete uncertainty analysis, as well as update the IIR text. Furthermore, the possibility to estimate 1990-1999 Road transportation emissions with COPERT will be investigated. Additionally, work is underway with the EA team responsible for the surveillance of fuel imports in order to develop country-specific fuel specifications, in particular liquid fuels.

1.10.2 Industrial processes and product use

The main improvement planned for the IPPU sector consists of harmonising the reporting under CLRTAP with the reports under the E-PRTR Regulation (E-PRTR, according to Regulation No. 990/2008).

1.10.3 Agriculture

The main planned improvements for the Agriculture sector are to include more information on activity data regarding the NFR 3B and 3D in future submissions, in line with the 2016 CEIP in country review recommendations.



1.10.4 Waste

The main planned improvements in the Waste sector are to add further information on the methodological information to the IIR, improve activity data and estimates for wastewater handling and review methodology to estimate emissions from accidental fires.

2 Trends in Emissions

2.1 Emission Profile in Iceland

The emissions profile for Iceland differs from that seen for other European countries for a range of issues:

- Emissions from generation of **electricity and space heating** are very low owing to the use of renewable energy sources. Almost all electricity in Iceland is produced with hydropower (around 70%) and geothermal power (around 30%), with wind power and fossil fuel-derived power accounting for less than 0.1%;
- **Geothermal energy** is used for space heating in over 90% of all homes. It should be noted, though, that significant amounts of sulphur as hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) are emitted from geothermal power plants;
- Around 90% of the fuel used in the energy sector is used by **mobile sources** (transport, mobile machinery and fishing vessels);
- Emissions from **industrial processes**, especially from non-ferrous metal production, have a higher share in Iceland than in most other countries. This can be seen in the fact that around 75% of the electricity produced in Iceland in 2016 was used in the metal production industry. The production capacity has increased considerably since 1990.

The emissions profile in Iceland is further influenced by the fact that Iceland was severely hit by the economic downturn in 2008, when its three largest banks collapsed. During the years prior to the crisis the economy experienced a significant upswing, resulting among other things in an increase in fuel consumption. The crisis resulted in a serious contraction of the economy and as a result, oil consumption decreased. The result of this can be seen in several pollutants associated with fuel consumption, with a clear peak in 2007, or the year preceding the crisis. In recent years the economy has been experiencing an upswing and fuel consumption is again on the rise.

2.2 Emission Trends for NO_x, NMVOC, SO_x, NH₃, Particulate Matter, BC and CO

The total amount of SO_x, NO_x, NH₃, NMVOC, CO, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, TSP and BC emissions in Iceland in 1990 and 2016 is presented in Table 2.1, and an overview of all key categories for these pollutants is included in Annex III.

Nitrogen oxides (NO_x), non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC), carbon monoxide (CO), ammonia (NH₃) and particulate matter (TSP, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}) have an adverse effect on human health and the environment. Iceland implemented the National Emission Ceiling Directive 2001/81/EC into its legislation in 2009, with emission target reductions for NO_x, SO₂, NMVOC and NH₃, to be reached by 2010. These pollutants are reported here. Furthermore, emissions of NO_x, CO, NMVOC and SO₂ are also calculated to comply with the reporting requirements of the UNFCCC. A short description of the trends of those pollutants is given in the following section.

Table 2.1 Emissions of SO₂, NO_x, NH₃, NMVOC, CO and PM in 1990 and 2017.

	NO _x [kt] NO ₂	NMVOC [kt]	SO _x [kt] SO ₂	NH ₃ [kt]	PM _{2.5} [kt]	PM ₁₀ [kt]	TSP [kt]	BC [kt]	CO [kt]
1990	31.51	14.49	24.09	5.59	1.11	1.36	1.46	0.28	58.21
2017	22.56	5.63	49.73	5.32	1.28	1.68	1.96	0.20	112.82
Trend 1990-2017	-28%	-61%	106%	-5%	16%	24%	34%	-27%	94%

The emission trends of the total NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, CO, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP and BC emissions relative to 1990 levels is shown in Figure 2.1. The emissions of SO₂ have increased significantly since 1990 levels. This includes H₂S from geothermal plants - all sulphur species emitted are to be reported, as SO₂ equivalents. CO emissions have approximately doubled since 1990. The most significant decrease in emissions are NMVOC emissions which have roughly halved since 1990 levels.




Figure 2.1 Trends in NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP, BC and CO emissions (% of 1990 emissions).

For the current inventory year, the emissions of all pollutants included in the NECD 2001/81/EC were below the emission maxima set by the 2001 NECD: For SO₂, the target was 90 kt and has not exceeded during the reporting period; For NO_x, the maximum allowed is 27 kt, and the emissions have been below that value since 2008; For NMVOC, the maximum allowed is 31 kt, and the emissions have been decreasing steadily since 1994, where the maximum NMVOC emissions occurred (15 kt in that year). The NH₃ emissions have been stable between 5 and 6 kt since 1990, below the maximum allowed of 8 kt. As of May 2019, the implementation of the new NECD (Directive 2016/2284) into the EEA is still pending; no emission targets have been set yet for 2030.

2.2.1 Trends in sulphur oxides (SO_x) emissions

In 2017, total sulphur emissions in Iceland, calculated as SO₂ but including all sulphur species (such as H₂S), were 106% above the 1990 level. The key categories for sulphur emissions are geothermal energy and metal production. Figure 2.2 shows the sectoral emission trends since 1990. The main sources for SO_x include:

- Geothermal energy (NFR 1B2d):** Geothermal energy exploitation is by far the largest source of sulphur emissions in Iceland. Sulphur is emitted from geothermal power plants in the form of H₂S and the emissions have increased substantially since 1990 due to increased activity in this field, with electricity production at geothermal power plants increasing approximately 15-fold since 1990. However, in recent years the SO₂ emissions have started decreasing following the onset in 2014 of a sulphur capture and storage project (Sulfix) at one of the geothermal power plants (Hellisheiði Power Plant).

- Metal production (NFR 2C):** Emissions from industrial processes are dominated by metal production. Until 1996 industrial process SO₂ emissions were relatively stable. Since then, the metal industry has expanded which has led to substantially increased emissions of SO₂. Sulfur comes mostly from impurities in the carbon reductants used in the metal production process.




Figure 2.2 SO₂ emissions by sector, since 1990.

Volcanic eruptions contribute significantly to sulphur emissions, and emissions from this source are reported as a memo item for the years during which eruptions occurred, from 2010 onwards:

- 2010: Eyjafjallajökull.** The eruption lasted from 14 April until 23 May. During that time 127 kt of SO₂ were emitted or 71% more than total anthropogenic emissions in Iceland in 2010.
- 2011: Grímsvötn.** The eruption lasted from 21 until 28 May. During that time 1000 kt of SO₂ were emitted or 12 times more than total anthropogenic emissions in 2011.
- 2014-2015: Holuhraun.** A large eruption started on 29 August 2014 and ended on 27 February 2015 in the north of the Vatnajökull ice sheet. It was the biggest eruption in Iceland since the Laki eruption 1783. Total SO₂ emission from this eruption was estimated 12,006 kt.

2.2.2 Trends in nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions

In 2017, total NO_x emissions in Iceland were 28% below the 1990 level. The main sources of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) in Iceland are fishing, metal production, transport and mobile combustion in machinery, construction and other off-road vehicles. Figure 2.3 shows the sectoral emission trends since 1990.

- Fishing (NFR 1A4cii):** Emissions from fisheries rose from 1990 to 1996 because a substantial portion of the fishing fleet was operating in unusually distant fishing grounds. From 1996, the emissions decreased again reaching 1990 levels in 2001. Emissions increased again by 10% between 2001 and 2002. In 2003 emissions again reached the 1990 level. Emissions remain below 1990 levels, however there are large annual variations due to the inherent nature of fisheries.

- Transport (NFR 1A3):** NO_x emissions from transport come mostly from road transport. These emissions decreased rapidly after the use of catalytic converters in all new vehicles became obligatory in 1995, even though fuel consumption has significantly increased. However, a significant increase in the vehicle fleet in the past few years has had a negative impact on NO_x emissions, with emissions again on the rise.
- Metal production (NFR 2C):** Since 1990 the production capacity of the metal factories has seen a significant increase, and the NO_x emissions have increased accordingly.




Figure 2.3 NO_x emissions by sector, since 1990.

2.2.3 Trends in particulate matter (PM) and BC emissions

In 2017, TSP emissions were ca. 34% higher than the 1990 level, with a comparable increase for PM₁₀ (+24%) and PM_{2.5} (+16%). The main sources of PM emissions are fishing, metal production, municipal waste incineration and transport. Volcanic activity is also a significant contributor, but these emissions do not count towards the national totals and are reported under memo items. Figure 2.4, Figure 2.5 and Figure 2.6 show the sectoral emission trends in total suspended particulate (TSP), PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} since 1990.

- Fishing (NFR 1A4ciii):** Emissions from fisheries rose from 1990 to 1996 because a substantial portion of the fishing fleet was operating in unusually distant fishing grounds. From 1996, the emissions decreased again reaching 1990 levels in 2001. Emissions increased again by 10% between 2001 and 2002. In 2003 emissions again reached the 1990 level. Emissions remain below 1990 levels, however there are large annual variations due to the inherent nature of fisheries.
- Metal production (NFR 2C):** Production capacity in the metal production sector has increased substantially, leading to an increase in PM emissions.
- Waste (NFR 5):** It is important to note that abatement technologies are not included in these emissions estimates, suggesting there might be an overestimation of the PM emissions from

waste incineration from 2004. EA is planning to acquire technological specifications regarding abatement technologies for future submissions.

- Transport (NFR 1A3):** Fluctuations in PM emissions result from the combination of changes in the pollution control standards with increase in vehicle fleet size. It is important to note that PM emissions from road transport have not been estimated before 2000, due to the lack of data, suggesting a significant underestimation of these emissions before 2000.




Figure 2.4 TSP emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.5 PM₁₀ emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.6 PM_{2.5} emissions by sector, since 1990.

Black carbon emissions since 1990 have been estimated for several subsectors (see Figure 2.7), in particular within the Energy sector (public electricity and heat production, aviation, fishing/navigation, road transport) and within the Waste sector (Waste incineration). For the Energy sector, commercial fishing is the dominant source of BC emissions, with road transport a significant contributor. BC emissions from waste have been decreasing since 1990, approximately halving since 1990, due to the decrease in open burning of waste.




Figure 2.7 Black Carbon (BC) emission by sector, since 1990.

Volcanic eruptions contribute significantly to particulate matter emissions, and emissions from this source are reported as a memo item for the years during which eruptions occurred, from 2010 onwards:

- 2010: Eyjafjallajökull. The eruption lasted from 14 April until 23 May. During that time around 6,000 kt of PM₁₀ were emitted or around 10,000 times more than total estimated man-made emissions in 2010.
- 2011: Grímsvötn. The eruption lasted from 21 until 28 May. The eruption at Grímsvötn was much larger than at Eyjafjallajökull, and it has been estimated that during the first day more sulphur and particulates were emitted than during all the Eyjafjallajökull eruption. An estimate of the total particulates emitted has not been estimated but the EA has scaled the emissions of particulates using the ratio of sulphur emissions from the two eruptions (1000/127). This gives an approximate estimate of around 47,000 kt PM₁₀ and 13,000 kt of PM_{2.5}. As these emissions from volcanos are natural, they are not included in national totals.
- 2014-2015: Holuhraun. A large eruption started on 29 August 2014 and ended on 27 February 2015 in the north of the Vatnajökull ice sheet. Unlike the eruptions in Eyjafjallajökull and Grímsvötn, which were magmatophreatic eruptions, the eruption in Holuhraun was an effusive eruption i.e. the lava steadily flows out of the volcano without explosive activity. Ash production was negligible and emission of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} was not estimated.

2.2.4 Trends in ammonia (NH₃) emissions

In 2017, total NH₃ emissions in Iceland were 5% below the 1990 level. Ammonia emissions are mostly from the agriculture sector (NFR 3). Figure 2.8 shows the sectoral emission trends since 1990.

- **Agriculture (NFR 3):** Manure management, manure deposition of grazing animals on pastures, and fertilizer application are the main sources. Emissions have been fluctuating between 5 and 6 kt. NH₃ since 1990. Emissions decreased by 13% between 1990 and 2004 but have been increasing again since then. The overall trend between 1990 and 2017 was a small decrease. The main driver behind the general trend and its oscillations is the trend in livestock population. Sheep and cattle are the main ammonia emissions causing categories constituting around two thirds of total NH₃ emissions. NH₃ emissions from fertilizer application plays only a minor role.




Figure 2.8 NH₃ emissions by sector, since 1990.

2.2.5 Trends in non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) emissions

In 2017, total NMVOC emissions in Iceland were less than half the 1990 level. The main sources of NMVOC emissions are domestic solvent use, manure management, fishing and transport. Figure 2.9 shows the sectoral emission trends since 1990.

- Transport (NFR 1A3):** NMVOC emissions from transport come mostly from road transport. These emissions decreased rapidly after the use of catalytic converters in all new vehicles became obligatory in 1995.
- Solvent use (NFR 2D3):** The main source of NMVOC linked to solvent use is domestic solvent use, which in turn are linked to population size. The population in Iceland has been increasing steadily since 1990.
- Manure management (NFR 3B):** Horse and cattle manure management systems are responsible for close to 30% of NMVOC emissions in Iceland. The variations over the years are mostly linked to livestock population fluctuations.
- Fishing (NFR 1A4ciii):** Emissions from commercial fishing rose in the years 1990 to 1996 when a substantial portion of the fishing fleet was operating in distant fishing grounds. From 1996 emissions decreased, reaching the 1990 levels in 2001. Emissions rose again in 2002 but have declined since with exception of 2009 due to less fuel consumption. Emissions in the current emission year were around a third lower than the 1990 level. Annual changes are inherent to the nature of fisheries.




Figure 2.9 NMVOC emissions by sector, since 1990.

2.2.6 Trends in carbon monoxide (CO) emissions

In 2017, total CO emissions in Iceland were approximately double the 1990 level. Industrial Processes were the most prominent contributor to CO emissions in Iceland. Figure 2.10 shows the sectoral emission trends since 1990.

- Metal production (NFR 2C):** The main source of CO is primary aluminium production. The various increases correspond to expansions in production capacity.




Figure 2.10 CO emissions by sector, since 1990.

2.2.7 Trends in SO₂, NO_x, NH₃, NMVOC, CO, PM and BC by main source sectors

- Energy sector:** Figure 2.11 shows emission trends for SO₂, NO_x, NH₃, NMVOC, CO, PM and BC in the energy sector as a percentage of the 1990 levels. The contribution of the energy sector in the total SO₂ emissions has, however, remained relatively stable at around 80% until 2012 due to a similar increase in the emissions in the industrial sector over the same period of time. Since 2012, the SO₂ emissions from geothermal energy have decreased due to reinjection of geothermal sulphur into the subsurface. Emissions of BC in the energy sector is shown to have increased since 1990. However, BC emissions from road transportation has not been estimated before 2000 due to the lack of data, suggesting a significant underestimation of BC emissions before that year; the same applies to other PM emissions. Emissions of NO_x, NMVOC and CO have been generally decreasing in the energy sector since 1990, as has the contribution of this sector to the total emissions of these pollutants. Mobile fuel combustion (fishing, transport and machinery) is by far the largest pollutant source within the energy sector (apart from sulphur emissions from the geothermal industry).




Figure 2.11 Trends in NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂ and CO emissions from the energy sector (% of 1990 levels).

- Industrial processes and product use sector:** Figure 2.12 shows trends in the emissions of SO₂, NO_x, NH₃, NMVOC, CO, PM and BC in the industrial sector as a percentage of the 1990 levels. The industrial sector contributions to the total non-POPs pollutants emissions in 2017 are 10% for NO_x, 29% for NMVOC, 26% for SO₂, 94% for CO, 40% for PM_{2.5}, 37% for PM₁₀, 37% for TSP and 4% for BC. The contribution of NH₃ emissions to the total emissions is zero (not applicable or not estimated). The large increase in CO emissions from the industrial sector has made this sector the dominant contributor of CO emissions in Iceland. Emissions of all the reported non-POPs emissions have increased in the industrial sector since 1990, with the exception of NH₃ which has decreased. Contributions of the industrial sector to the total emissions has also increased over that same period.




Figure 2.12 Trends in NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, CO, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and TSP emissions from the industrial sector (% of 1990 levels).

- Agricultural sector:** Figure 2.13 shows trends in the emissions of SO₂, NO_x, NH₃, NMVOC, CO, PM and BC in the agricultural sector as a percentage of the 1990 levels. The agricultural sector contribution to the total emissions in 2017 is 8% for NO_x, 34% for NMVOC, 98% for NH₃, 3% for PM_{2.5}, 11% for PM₁₀ and 13% for TSP. The contribution of other non-POPs emissions to the total emissions is zero (not applicable or not estimated). No significant decrease in emissions have occurred in this sector since 1990. NO_x emissions have been fluctuating around the 1990 levels with a relatively high amplitude but still remains a negligible contributor to the total NO_x emissions throughout the period.




Figure 2.13 Trends in NO_x , NMVOC, NH_3 , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, PM_{10} and TSP emissions from the agricultural sector (% of 1990 levels).

- Waste sector:** Figure 2.14 shows trends in the emissions of SO_2 , NO_x , NH_3 , NMVOC, CO, PM and BC in the waste sector as a percentage of the 1990 levels. The waste sector contribution to the total emissions in 2017 is 6% for NMVOC; NO_x , SO_2 , NH_3 and CO emissions from the waste sector contribute to less than 0.5% of the total emissions for each pollutant. Changes occurring in 2003 are due to the move from open burning of waste to municipal waste incineration.




Figure 2.14 Trends in NO_x , NMVOC, SO_2 , NH_3 , CO, BC, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, PM_{10} and TSP emissions from the waste sector (% of 1990 levels).

2.3 Emission Trends for Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

The total amount of dioxins, PAH4, HCB and PCB emitted in Iceland in 1990 and 2017 is presented in Table 2.2. Dioxin and PAH4 have decreased while HCB and PCB show a substantial increase.

Table 2.2 Emissions of POPs in Iceland 1990 and 2017.

Year	Dioxin [g I-TEQ]	PAH4 [t]	HCB [kg]	PCB [kg]
1990	30.67	0.59	0.024	0.031
2017	1.94	0.092	0.091	0.095
Trend	-94%	-84%	280%	210%

2.3.1 Trends in dioxin emissions

In 1990, the total emissions of dioxins in Iceland were 30.67g I-TEQ. In 2017 total emissions were 1.94 g I-TEQ. This demonstrates a decrease of more than 90% over that time period. Figure 2.15 shows the dioxin emissions by source from 1990.

Dioxins form a family of toxic chlorinated organic compounds that share certain chemical structures and biological characteristics. Dioxins are members of two closely related families: the polychlorinated dibenzo(p)dioxins (PCDDs; 75 congeners) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs; 135 congeners). Dioxins bio-accumulate in humans and wildlife due to their fat solubility and 17 of these compounds are especially toxic. Dioxins are formed during combustion processes such as commercial or municipal waste incineration and from burning fuels like wood, coal or oil. Dioxins can also be formed in natural processes such as forest fires. Dioxins also enter the environment through the production and use of organochlorine compounds, chlorine bleaching of pulp and paper, certain types of chemical manufacturing and processing and other industrial processes that create small quantities of dioxins. Cigarette smoke also contains small amounts of dioxins.

Emissions of dioxins are presented in g I-TEQ (International Toxic Equivalents). 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is the most toxic of the dioxin congeners. Other congeners (or mixtures thereof) are given a toxicity rating from 0 to 1, where TCDD is 1. The total dioxin toxic equivalence (TEQ) value expresses the toxicity as if the mixture were pure TCDD.




Figure 2.15 Dioxin emissions by sector, since 1990.

The main reason for the significant reduction of dioxin emissions are the discontinued use of waste oil use in commercial fuel combustion after 1994, as well and a general decrease in waste incineration. In recent years the main contributor to dioxin emissions has been the energy sector, with manufacturing industries and residential combustion being the largest contributors. Other important sources under the waste sector are bonfires and accidental fires. Transport (energy sector) and industrial processes (industry) are only responsible for very small contributions to the national total.

- **Waste sector (NFR 5):** Practices of waste disposal treatment have undergone a radical change in Iceland since 1990. This is one of the main reasons for the substantial decline in dioxin emissions since 1990. Below are described various factors that have influenced the dioxin emission profile from the waste sector:
 - Open pit burning that used to be the most common means of waste disposal outside the capital area, has gradually decreased since 1990. Open pit burning is practically non-existent today, the last site was closed by the end of 2010;
 - The total amount of waste being incinerated has decreased;
 - In recent years, those incineration plants have been closed down. At the time of this writing (spring 2019), there is only one incineration plant operating in Iceland. The incineration plant is called Kalka and it does not recover energy. Emissions from bonfires around New Year celebrations are included in the waste incineration sector. Emissions from bonfires have decreased since 1990, due to the fact that bonfires are fewer and better controlled. Guidelines for bonfires, published in 2000, include restrictions on size, burnout time and the material allowed.
 - A peak in emissions from accidental fires occurred in 2004 when a major fire broke out at a recycling company (Hringrás). In the fire 300 tonnes of tires, among other separated waste materials, burned. A fire broke out in the same company in 2011

and was estimated to be 10% the size of that in 2004. In 2014, a major fire broke out in an industrial laundry service when, among other materials, around 60-80 tons of asphalt roll roofing burned.

- **Energy generation (NFR 1A1a):** Dioxin emissions from electricity generation and space heating are very low because in both cases renewable energy sources are used. Emissions in this sector are dominated by emissions from waste incineration with energy recovery (which occurred in the years 1993-2012), reported under 1A1a.
- **Transport (NFR 1A3):** Dioxin emissions from road transport substantially decreased since 1990 despite an increase in the number of vehicles and fuel consumption. This is due to the phase-out of fuel additives associated with leaded petrol.
- **Fishing (NFR 1A4ciii):** Emissions have decreased slightly since 1990 from the fishing sector as well as from the other transport sector due to less fuel consumption in these sectors. Emissions from commercial fishing are high compared to the fuel consumption. The emission factors for burning fuel at sea are much higher than when burning fuel on land, due to the presence of salt (and therefore chlorine) in the air going to the engines.
- **Metal production (NFR 2C):** Dioxin emissions from industrial processes sector have substantially increased during the period, due to increased activity in the metals production sector. Aluminium production has increased by approximately an order of magnitude since 1990, and ferrosilicon production has approximately doubled in the same period. A secondary steel making facility opened in 2014, contributing to a large percentage of the dioxin emissions from the industry sector. Fluctuations in secondary Aluminium production in the years 2014-2017 have a significant impact on the emission profile.

2.3.2 Trends in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) emissions

In 1990, the total emissions of PAH4 in Iceland were 589 kg. In 2017 total emissions were 92 kg. This shows a decrease of 84% over the time period. Figure 2.16 shows the emissions by source from 1990 to 2017.

The polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are molecules built up of benzene rings which resemble fragments of single layers of graphite. PAHs are a group of approximately 100 compounds. Most PAHs in the environment arise from incomplete burning of carbon-containing materials like oil, coal, wood or waste. Fires can produce fine PAH particles; they bind to ash particles and sometimes move long distances through the air. Thus, PAHs have been ubiquitously distributed in the natural environment for thousands of years. The four compounds benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene are used as PAH indicators for the purposes of emission inventories, as specified in the POP - Protocol.




Figure 2.16 PAH4 emissions by sector, since 1990.

The key sectors leading to PAH4 emissions are waste, metal production, accidental fires and road transport. The main reasons for the reduction in PAH4 emissions since 1990 is decreased emissions from waste incineration, similar to the trend in dioxin emissions discussed above.

- Waste (NFR 5):** PAH4 emissions from the waste incineration have decreased by around 92% since 1990, partly because outmoded incineration plants and open pit burning have been closed down. Accidental fires are an important source of PAH4 emissions in Iceland. Emissions from accidental fires were significantly higher in 2017 than in 1990. A peak in emissions from accidental fires can be seen in 2008 when unusually many vehicle fires were registered.
- Metal production (NFR 2C):** Since 2005 PAH4 emissions from industrial processes (Industry) have increased due to substantially increased production capacity in the metal production sector. The contribution of the sector to the total PAH4 emissions has been steadily increasing from 2% in 1990 to around 36% in 2017. The main increase in emissions happened in the years 1998-2000 as well as in 2006-2008. Between 1998 and 2000 the increase in emissions was due to increased production capacity both in the aluminium and the ferrosilicon industry. In the years 2006-2008 the cause was increased production capacity in the aluminium industry.
- Transport (NFR 1A3):** Road transport is also an important source of PAH4 emissions in Iceland. PAH4 emissions from this sector are estimated to have increased by approx. 57% since 1990.

2.3.3 Trends in hexachlorobenzene (HCB) emissions

Total HCB emissions in 2017 are 91 g compared to 24 g in 1990. There have been significant changes in HCB emissions during the period 1990-2017, as can be seen in Figure 2.17.

Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) or perchlorobenzene is a chlorocarbon with the molecular formula C_6Cl_6 . HCB is a fungicide that was first introduced in 1945 for seed treatment, especially for control of bunt of wheat. HCB is currently emitted as a by-product in the manufacture of several chlorinated solvents. Overall, processes resulting in dioxin formation also result in HCB emissions. HCB is considered to be probable human carcinogen. HCB is a very persistent environmental chemical due to its chemical stability and resistance to biodegradation.

Analysis of trends in HCB emissions in Iceland must be interpreted with care as only few sources have been estimated, which reflects the lack of emission factors in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. For instance, open pit burning was occurring between 1990 and 2003 but the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook does not provide emission factors for HCB for open burning, thus HCB emissions estimates from the waste sector are almost non-existent until 2003. In 2004 the incineration plant Kalka opened and emission factors for HCB emissions from incineration are reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, and are therefore reported from 2004 and onwards.




Figure 2.17 HCB emissions by sector, since 1990.

The main sources of estimated HCB emissions are clinical waste incineration, incineration with energy recovery (occurring in the years 1993-2012, and reported under Energy production 1A1a), fishing and secondary aluminium production.

- **Waste (NFR 5):** As shown in Figure 2.17, waste was responsible for 66% of the estimated HCB emissions in Iceland in 2017.
- **Waste incineration with energy recovery (NFR 1A1a):** The increase in HCB emissions in 1993, and the reduction of HCB emissions in 2013 in the energy sector is explained by a shut-down of four waste incineration plants with energy recovery on the period 2007-2012.

- Fishing (NFR 1A4ciii):** Emissions from commercial fishing rose in the years 1990 to 1996 when a substantial portion of the fishing fleet was operating in distant fishing grounds. Since then emissions have been fluctuating, due to changed conditions in the fishing industry (renewing of fishing fleet, status of fish stocks, etc), as well as different ratios of use of marine gas oil versus heavy fuel oil.
- Metal production (NFR 2C):** A sudden increase in HCB emissions from industrial processes is seen in 2004 when a secondary aluminium production plant was established. From 2009, production started decreasing, until 2013 where another secondary production plant opened, reversing the decreasing trend.

2.3.4 Trends in polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) emissions

Analysis of trends in PCB emissions in Iceland must be interpreted with care as only few sources have been estimated, which reflects the lack of emission factors in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

The main source of PCB in Iceland is waste incineration, even though PCB emissions from waste has decreased significantly since 1990. Emissions due to waste incineration with energy recovery, which was occurring during the period 1993-2012, are reported under 1A1a Energy industries. Fishing also contributes to a significant part of PCB emissions. The only source of PCB estimated from industrial processes is secondary steel production (2C1). The only secondary steel plant in Iceland started its activities in 2014.

Analysis of trends in PCB emissions in Iceland must be interpreted with care as only few sources have been estimated, which reflects the lack of emission factors in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Open pit burning was occurring between 1990 and 2003 but the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook state that no PCB emissions occur from open burning. In 2004 the incineration plant Kalka opened and emission factors for PCB emissions from incineration are reported in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, and are therefore reported from 2004 and onwards.




Figure 2.18 PCB emissions by sector, since 1990.

- **Waste (NFR 5):** As shown in Figure 2.18, waste was responsible for 73% of the estimated PCB emissions in Iceland in 2017.
- **Waste incineration with energy recovery (NFR 1A1a):** The increase in PCB emissions in 1993, and the reduction of PCB emissions in 2013 in the energy sector is explained by a shut-down of four waste incineration plants with energy recovery on the period 2007-2012.
- **Fishing (NFR 1A4ciii):** Emissions from commercial fishing rose in the years 1990 to 1996 when a substantial portion of the fishing fleet was operating in distant fishing grounds. Since then emissions have been fluctuating, due to changed conditions in the fishing industry (renewing of fishing fleet, status of fish stocks, etc), as well as different ratios of use of marine gas oil versus heavy fuel oil. Those two fuel types have very different emission factors for PCB.
- **Metal production (NFR 2C):** The only PCB emissions reported from the Industrial processes and product use sector are from secondary steel production, which was occurring in the years 2014 to 2017. Fluctuations in PCB emissions from this activity reflects fluctuations in yearly production.

2.3.5 Trends in persistent organic pollutants (POPs) by main source sectors

Analysis of trends in POP emissions in Iceland must be interpreted with care as only few sources have been estimated, which reflects the lack of emission factors in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

- **Energy sector:** POPs emissions trends in the energy sector are shown in Figure 2.19 as a percentage of the 1990 levels. In 2017 the energy sector contributed to 87% and 24% of total dioxin and PAH4 emissions, respectively. The contribution of the energy sector to the total PAH4 emissions has decreased since 1990 even though the emissions have increased over the same period of time.




Figure 2.19 Trends in POPs emissions from the energy sector (% of 1990 levels).

- Industrial sector:** POPs emissions trends in the industrial sector are shown in Figure 2.20 as a percentage of the 1990 levels. The industrial sector has not reduced its emissions of any POPs pollutant since 1990. In 2017 the industrial sector contributed 36% of total PAH4 emissions, 16% of total HCB emissions, 2% of total dioxin emissions and 0% of total PCB emissions (the latter due to the closure of the only PCB source in the industrial sector, which was a secondary steel production facility which operated from 2014 to 2016). The main source of HCB is secondary aluminium production, and the large fluctuations for HCB in the graph below reflect changes in secondary aluminium production.




Figure 2.20 Trends in POPs emissions from the industrial sector (% of 1990 levels).

- Agricultural sector:** No POPs emissions are occurring in Iceland from categories belonging to the agricultural sector.
- Waste sector:** POPs emissions trends in the waste sector are shown in Figure 2.21 as a percentage of the 1990 levels. In 2017 the waste sector contributed to 66% of total HCB emissions, 11% of total dioxin emission, 40% of total PAH4 emissions and 73% of total PCB emissions.




Figure 2.21 Trends in POPs emissions from the waste sector (% of 1990 levels).

2.4 Emission trends for Heavy Metals

Analysis of trends in heavy metal emissions in Iceland must be interpreted with care as only few sources have been estimated, which reflects the lack of emission factors in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

Emission estimate for 1990 and 2017 shown in Table 2.3. Not all possible categories were estimated, and future improvements include making the heavy metal estimates more complete where possible. Categories not estimated include, amongst others, automobile road abrasion and tyre and brake wear, aluminium production, solvent and product use, pesticides and fertilizer use and wastewater handling. Furthermore, heavy metal estimates from road transport are not available prior to 2000. Because of the incomplete documentation of heavy metal emissions in Iceland, all trends and contributions from various sectors should be viewed with caution.

Table 2.3 Estimated emissions of heavy metals, 1990 and 2017.

	Pb [t]	Cd [t]	Hg [t]	As [t]	Cr [t]	Cu [t]	Ni [t]	Se [t]	Zn [t]
1990	0.29	0.0087	0.0133	0.0554	0.0548	0.38	1.56	0.0344	1.47
2017	1.96	0.0467	0.0616	0.0595	0.0852	1.24	1.30	0.232	1.23

2.4.1 Trends in priority Heavy Metals (Pb, Cd, Hg)

Figure 2.22, Figure 2.23 and Figure 2.24 show emission trends for Pb, Cd and Hg per sector. Overall the emission profiles are roughly similar for these three heavy metals, as their emission are linked to the same sources. The main contributors to the estimated emissions are energy (since 1993), and waste (since 2004). In 1993, waste incineration with recovery of energy (included in the Energy sector under NFR 1A1a Public electricity and heat production) started in Iceland, leading to an increase in Pb, Cd and Hg. The amount of waste burned with recovery of energy peaked in 2007, and after that decreased until 2013 after which year this activity stopped. Municipal waste incineration without energy recovery started in 2001 and increased by a factor of 50 in 2004; it has been

approximately constant since then. By far the biggest source of Pb and Cd in the industry sector is the use of fireworks. In 2007, at the height of the Icelandic economic upswing, record sales of fireworks caused the emission of close to one tonne of Pb.




Figure 2.22 Pb emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.23 Cd emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.24 Hg emissions by sector, since 1990.

2.4.2 Trends in additional Heavy Metals (As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se, Zn)

Figure 2.25 to Figure 2.30 show emission trends for As, Cr, Cu, Ni, Se and Zn per sector. Except for Zn, the trends are overall dominated by emissions from the energy sector. Trends in As, Cr, Ni and to a lesser extent Se all show similar patterns, with the main sources being fuel usage in commercial fishing and road transport (apart from As, for which emissions from road transport were not estimated). The sharp increases in 2000 in Cu, Cr and Zn are due to the fact that emissions from road transport were not estimated for these elements prior to 2000. In the industrial sector, the main source of arsenic emissions is metal production. All other non-priority heavy metals are largely produced by fireworks, with sharp peaks in emission in 2007 where fireworks sales reached an all-time maximum. In the waste sector, heavy metal emissions come mostly from waste incineration, followed by accidental fires. The emission pattern for Zn is different (Figure 2.30), with the main contribution being road transport (not estimated before 2000) and waste incineration.




Figure 2.25 As emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.26 Cr emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.27 Cu emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.28 Ni emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.29 Se emissions by sector, since 1990.




Figure 2.30 Zn emissions by sector, since 1990.

3 Energy (NFR sector 1)

3.1 Overview

The energy sector in Iceland is unique in many ways. Iceland ranks first among Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries in the per capita consumption of primary energy. However, the proportion of domestic renewable energy in the total energy budget is approx. 85%, which is a much higher share than in most other countries. The cold climate and sparse population call for high energy use for space heating and transport. Also, key export industries such as fisheries and metal production are energy intensive. The metal production industry uses around three-quarters of the total electricity produced in Iceland. Iceland relies heavily on its geothermal energy sources for space heating (over 90% of all homes) and electricity production (approx. 30% of the electricity) and on hydropower for electricity production (70% of the electricity). Thus, atmospheric pollutant emissions in the energy sector originate predominantly from mobile sources: road transport, fishing and off-road machinery including construction, as well as waste incineration with energy recovery. One exception to this is the emission of H₂S from geothermal powerplants, which is by far the largest key category in Iceland's inventory for sulphur (calculated as SO₂-equivalent).

The EA has been working with a consulting company (Aether Ltd.) since 2015 to improve the Icelandic inventory, and in 2018 a complete review and restructuring of the Energy sector took place in collaboration with experts from Aether, including updating/redesigning calculation spreadsheets as well as checking all emission factors across the sector and replacing by default values where appropriate. Further work is planned, in collaboration with the National Energy Authority, the Icelandic Transport Authority and Statistic Iceland in order to harmonize all datasets used. Additionally, work is underway with the EA team responsible for the surveillance of fuel imports in order to develop country-specific fuel specifications, in particular liquid fuels.

The energy sector is divided into the following subsectors:

- [Energy industries \(NFR 1A1\)](#)
- [Manufacturing Industries and Construction \(NFR 1A2\)](#)
- [Transport \(NFR 1A3\)](#)
- [Other sectors \(NFR 1A4\)](#)
- [Fugitive emissions \(NFR 1B2\) \(including emissions from geothermal utilization\)](#)

3.1.1 Sectoral trends – POP's

Summary tables for the POP's emissions from the energy sector is shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Overview of emissions of POPs from the energy sector in 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated; NO - Not occurring).

		Dioxin [g I-TEQ]	B(a)P [t]	B(b)F [t]	B(k)F [t]	IPy [t]	PAH4 [t]	HCB [kg]	PCB [kg]
1A1	Energy industries	1.E-05	NE/NO	6.E-09	6.E-09	2.E-07	NE/NO	NE/NO	NE/NO
1A2	Manufact. industries and construction	0.842	1.E-03	2.E-03	1.E-6	1.E-06	0.00285	NA/NO	NA/NO
1A3	Transport	0.0817	0.00378	0.00582	0.00512	0.00394	0.0186	9.E-04	4.E-04



		Dioxin [g I-TEQ]	B(a)P [t]	B(b)F [t]	B(k)F [t]	IPy [t]	PAH4 [t]	HCB [kg]	PCB [kg]
1A4	Other sectors	0.763	1.E-05	2.E-5	1.E-05	2.E-05	7.E-5	0.0154	0.0250
1B2	Fugitive emissions f. distribution of oil production and energy production	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO
Energy, Total		1.687	0.00485	0.0076	0.00513	0.00396	0.0216	0.0163	0.0254

Trends in POP's emission estimates are shown in Figure 3.1 through Figure 3.4 by subsector.




Figure 3.1 Dioxin emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.




Figure 3.2 PAH4 emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.




Figure 3.3 HCB emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.




Figure 3.4 PCB emissions from the energy sector, since 1990.

3.1.2 Sectoral trends – Other pollutants

Summary tables for the non-POP's emissions from the energy sector is shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Overview of emissions of pollutants other than POP's in 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO - Not occurring, NR - Not reported⁸).

		NO _x [kt NO ₂]	NM VOC [kt]	SO _x [kt SO ₂]	NH ₃ [kt]	PM _{2.5} [kt]	PM ₁₀ [kt]	TSP [kt]	BC [kt]	CO [kt]
1A1	Energy industries	2.E-03	2.E-05	2.E-03	NE/NO	5.E-05	1.E-04	2.E-04	8.E-06	4.E-04
1A2	Manufact. industries and construction	1.51	0.138	0.382	NE/NO	0.0884	0.0884	0.0884	0.054	0.428
1A3	Transport	3.89	0.85	0.230	0.067	0.136	0.187	0.250	0.049	4.80
1A4	Other sectors	13.10	0.463	3.34	NE/NO	0.381	0.415	0.415	0.087	1.24
1B2	Fugitive emissions f. distribution of oil production and energy production	NO NE NA	0.282	32.76	NO NE NA	NO NR NA	NO NR NA	NO NR NA	NO NR NA	NO NE NA
Energy, Total		18.5	1.73	36.7	0.0671	0.606	0.691	0.754	0.191	6.5

⁸ Iceland uses the notation key NR for sources which are not estimated for all pollutants other than POPs and the pollutants that are reported to the UNFCCC under the Kyoto Protocol.



(Table continued)		Pb	Cd	Hg	As	Cr	Cu	Ni	Se	Zn
		[t]	[t]	[t]	[t]	[t]	[t]	[t]	[t]	[t]
1A1	Energy industries Manufact.	1.E-04	3.E-05	3.E-05	5.E-05	3.E-05	7.E-05	4.E-04	2.E-04	2.E-04
1A2	industries and construction	6.E-05	4.E-04	1.E-04	2.E-05	2.E-03	6.E-02	2.E-03	4.E-04	0.0555
1A3	Transport	0.0922	6.E-04	2.E-03	5.E-04	0.0363	0.742	0.0156	0.00179	0.319
1A4	Other sectors	0.0234	0.00202	0.00466	0.0292	0.0320	0.159	1.26	0.0205	0.200
1B2	Fugitive emissions f. distribution of oil production and energy production	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO	NR/NO
Energy, Total		0.1158	0.00297	0.00723	0.0297	0.0702	0.96	1.28	0.0229	0.574

3.2 General Methodology

Emissions from fuel combustion activities are estimated at the sector level based on methodologies suggested by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines and the 2016 EEA/EMEP/EEA Guidebook. They are calculated by multiplying energy use by source and sector with pollutant specific emission factors. Activity data is provided by the National Energy Authority (NEA), which collects data from the oil companies on fuel sales by sector. The division of fuel sales by sector does not entirely match the 2006 IPCC and NFR categories, thus the EA has developed a method to attribute fuel consumption to the various IPCC/NFR categories. This applies for the sectors 1A1 Energy industries, 1A2 Manufacturing industry and 1A4a/b Commercial/Institutional and Residential sector. The adjustment is done in the following way for gasoil: First fuel consumption needed for the known electricity production with fuels is calculated (1A1a – electricity production), assuming 34% efficiency of the diesel engines. The values calculated are compared with the fuel sales for the category 10X60 Energy industries (nomenclature from the NEA). Fuel consumption attributed to 1A2a Iron and Steel, 1A2b Non-ferrous metals and one company under 1A2f non-metallic minerals is taken from EU ETS reports (cf. Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council) submitted by the ferroalloy, aluminum and mineral wool companies. The rest of the fuel consumption is then attributed as follow:

- In years where there is less fuel sale to energy industries as would be needed for the electricity production, the fuel needed to compensate is taken from the category 10X90 Other; and if that is not sufficient from the category 10X40 House heating and swimming pools.
- In years where there is surplus, the extra fuel is added to the category 10X40 House heating and swimming pools.
- NEA has estimated the fuel use by swimming pools (1A4a), but it should be noted that the majority of swimming pools in Iceland use water heated by heat-exchangers in geothermal power plants. The estimated fuel use values are given in the lower table of Annex I. These values are subtracted from the adjusted 10X40 category, and the rest is attributed 1A4c – Residential.
- For years where there is still fuel in the category 10X90 Other, this is added to the 10X5X Industry. This is the fuel use in 1A2 – Industry.

Activity data for fuel combustion as received by the NEA can be found in Annex I: Explanation of EA's Adjustment of Data on Fuel Sales by Sector.

Fuel combustion activities fall into two main categories; stationary and mobile combustion. Stationary combustion includes Energy Industries, Manufacturing Industries and a part of the Other sectors (Residential and Commercial /Institutional sector). Mobile combustion includes Civil Aviation, Road Transport, Navigation, Fishing (part of the Other sectors), Mobile Combustion in Construction (part of Manufacturing Industries and Construction sector) and International Bunkers. Emissions from take-off and landing of all flights (i.e. domestic and international) count towards the national totals, whereas emissions occurring during cruise for all flights are reported as memo item.

3.3 Energy Industries (NFR 1A1)

Energy Industries include emissions from electricity and heat production. Iceland has extensively utilized renewable energy sources for electricity and heat production, thus emissions from this sector are low. For dioxin, PAH4, SO₂ and NMVOC waste incineration with energy recovery is the main source of emissions for this category. However, waste incineration with energy recovery has not been occurring in Iceland since 2013. Activity data on fuel use for the energy industries are based on data provided by the NEA and adjusted by EA, see Annex I. Activity data on waste is collected by EA directly from the plants.

3.3.1 Electricity & heat (NFR 1A1a)

The main sources of electricity in Iceland are hydropower and geothermal energy. In recent years, wind power development has taken place (Table 3.3). As can be seen in this table, only a very small fraction of electricity is produced with fuel combustion: electricity was produced with fuel combustion at two locations that are located far from the distribution system (two sparsely populated islands, Grimsey and Flatey); furthermore, some public electricity facilities have emergency backup fuel combustion power plants which are used when problems occur in the distribution system. Those plants are, however, very seldom used, apart from testing and during maintenance.

Table 3.3 Electricity production in Iceland (GWh).

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Hydropower	4,159	4,678	6,352	7,014	12,592	13,781	13,470	14,059
Geothermal	283	288	1,323	1,658	4,465	5,003	5,067	5,170
Fuel combustion	5.6	8.4	4.4	7.8	1.7	4.0	2.7	2.1
Wind power	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	11	9	8
Total (GWh)	4,447	4,975	7,679	8,680	17,059	18,799	18,549	19,239

Activity data (the amount of gasoil used) for electricity production with fuel combustion is calculated from the information on electricity production (GWh), based on the energy content of the gasoil (43 TJ/kt) assuming 34% efficiency.

Geothermal energy is the main source of heat production in Iceland. Some district heating facilities, that lack access to geothermal energy sources, use electric boilers to produce heat from electricity. They depend on curtailable energy. These heat plants have backup fuel combustion in case of electricity shortages or problems in the distribution system. Three district heating facilities burned waste to produce heat and were connected to the local distribution system, however since 2013 no

more waste burning with energy recovery is occurring in Iceland. Emissions from these waste incineration plants are reported under Energy Industries.

3.3.1.1 Activity data

Activity data for electricity and heat production with fuel combustion and waste incineration are given in Table 3.4. No fuel consumption for heat production was reported by the NEA for 2010 and 2011. The use of residual fuel oil in 2007 was much higher than in surrounding years. In 2007 a new aluminium plant was established in Iceland. Because the Kárahnjúkar hydropower project (hydropower plant built for this aluminium plant) was delayed, the aluminium plant was supplied with electricity for a while from the distribution system. This led to electricity shortages for the district heating system and industry depending on curtailable energy leading to increased fuel combustion. The different fuel composition from year to year (waste, fuel) effects the IEF (Implied Emission Factor). For example, the IEF for dioxin in this sector is higher in years when fuel combustion is low and the sector is dominated by waste incineration. The following years have been unusual: 1995 (issues in the electricity distribution system caused by snow avalanches in northwest Iceland (the Westfjords) and icing in the northern part of the country), 1997/1998 (unfavourable weather conditions for hydropower plants during the winter) and 2007 (explained above).

Table 3.4 Fuel combustion and waste incineration (kt) for electricity and heat production.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gas/Diesel oil (electricity)	1.40	2.12	1.12	1.97	0.43	1.01	0.67	0.52
Residual fuel oil (heat)	2.99	3.08	0.07	0.20	NO	0.14	0.02	0.04
Solid waste (heat)	NO	4.65	6.05	5.95	8.11	NO	NO	NO

3.3.1.2 Emission factors

Emission factors are Tiers 1 factors taken from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (Chapter 1.A.1. Energy Industries, Tables 3-5 (Fuel oil) and 3-6 (Gas oil)). Emission factors for the burning of waste with energy recovery are taken from Table 3-2 of chapter 5C1a of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Due to the lack of emission factors given in the 2016 Guidebook the following pollutants are not estimated:

- Gas oil: NH₃, PCB, HCB, BaP, BbF, BkF.
- Residual fuel oil: NH₃, PCB, BaP, HCB.

3.3.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

The emissions factor for SO_x from gas oil was updated to the T1 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. This decreased the SO_x emissions for the whole timeseries. In 2016 the emissions decreased by 66%, which amounts to 0.0013 kt.

3.3.1.4 Planned improvements

For future submissions the activity data for this subsector will be reviewed in collaboration with the NEA.

3.4 Manufacturing Industries & Construction (NFR 1A2)

3.4.1 Manufacturing industries, stationary combustion (NFR 1A2a-g)

3.4.1.1 Activity Data

Information on the total amount of fuel used by the manufacturing industries was obtained from the NEA and attributed to the various subsectors by EA (see Annex I), using data reported under the EU ETS for the larger companies. Fuel consumption in the fishmeal industry from 1990 to 2002 was estimated from production statistics, but the numbers for 2003 to 2014 are based on data provided by the industry (application for free allowances under the EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) for the years 2005 to 2010, information from the Icelandic Association of Fishmeal Manufacturers for 2003, 2004 and 2011 and 2012). The difference between the given total for the sector and the sum of the fuel use of the reporting industrial facilities are categorized as 1A2gviii other non-specified industry. The total fuel consumption per fuel type can be seen in Table 3.5. Emissions from fuel use in the ferroalloys production is reported under 1A2a. Emissions from the cement industry (the single operating cement plant was closed down in 2011) and the mineral wool production are reported under 1A2f. For PAH4, emissions from the mineral wool production are not estimated, and for dioxin, emissions from the cement industry are reported under industrial processes (2A1).

Table 3.5 Fuel use (kt), stationary combustion in the manufacturing industry.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gas/Diesel oil	5.07	1.13	10.25	22.19	9.39	10.16	14.00	11.02
Residual fuel oil	55.93	56.22	46.21	25.01	16.55	10.18	8.65	5.43
LPG	0.48	0.39	0.86	0.93	1.05	0.50	0.91	0.72
Electrodes (residue)	0.80	0.29	1.50	NO	0.40	NO	NO	NO
Other bituminous coal	18.60	8.65	13.26	9.91	3.65	NO	NO	NO
Petroleum coke	NO	NO	NO	8.13	NO	NO	NO	NO
Waste oil	NO	4.99	6.04	1.82	1.36	1.59	0.86	NO

3.4.1.2 Emission factors

As a part of the revision of the energy sector, all emission factors were reviewed and updated in accordance with the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Because of that, there were unusually many recalculations because of emission factor updates, especially for main pollutants, particulate matter and dioxin. All emissions factor updates can be seen in Annex V: Recalculations of the energy sector (excluding road transport).

PAH and dioxin emission factors for liquid fuels are taken from table 3-4 (Tier 1 EF for 1A2 combustion in industry using liquid fuels) from chapter 1.A.2 of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. However, it is assumed that the PAH emission factors given in the Table should be in $\mu\text{g}/\text{GJ}$ rather than mg/GJ (after comparison with Table 3-37, Volume 1.A.4). PAH and dioxin emission factors for gaseous fuels are taken from table 3-3 in the same chapter of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

The emission factors for dioxin and PAH4 are presented in Table 3.6.

Table 3.6 Emission factors for dioxin and PAH4 from stationary combustion in manuf. industry.

	Dioxin [µg I-TEQ/GJ]	B(a)P [µg/GJ]	B(b)F [µg/GJ]	B(k)F [µg/GJ]	IPy [µg/GJ]
Gas/Diesel Oil	0.0014	1.9	15	1.7	1.5
Residual fuel oil	0.0014	1.9	15	1.7	1.5
LPG	0.0005	0.72	2.9	1.1	1.08
Electrodes residues	IE ¹	0.14	PR: 0.05	PR: 0.01	PR:0.8
Other Bituminous Coal	IE ¹	0.14	PR: 0.05	PR: 0.01	PR:0.8
Petroleum coke	IE ¹	1.9	15	1.7	1.5
Waste oil	0.0014	1.9	15	1.7	1.5

¹Coal, electrodes residues and petroleum coke are only used in the cement plant; all dioxin emissions from the cement plant are reported under 2A1. PR: profile ratio.

SO₂, NO_x, CO and NMVOC emission factors are taken from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Sulphur emissions from use of petroleum coke occur in the cement industry. Further waste oil has mainly been used in the cement industry. Emission estimates for SO₂ for the cement industry are based on measurements.

This inventory includes emissions of Particulate Matter and Heavy Metal emissions for all fuel types, based on Tier 1 emission factors taken from Tables 3-2 (solid fuels), 3-3 (gaseous fuels) and 3-4 (liquid fuels) in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook on 1A2.

Due to the lack of emission factors given in the 2016 Guidebook the following pollutants are not estimated:

- All liquid fuels and LPG: NH₃, PCB, HCB
- Other bituminous coal: NH₃

3.4.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

Various recalculations were done since the last submission, mainly corrections and updates of emission factors to default Guidebook values. Detailed list of emission factor updates can be seen in Annex V: Recalculations of the energy sector (excluding road transport). The main recalculations were;

- Updated NO_x and CO emission factors for liquid fuels with 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook defaults.
- Updated NMVOC emission factor for gaseous fuels with 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook defaults.
- Error in previous submissions for BC emissions corrected
- Emission factor for dioxin was updated for all fuel types using 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook defaults.

3.4.1.4 Planned improvements

For future submissions the activity data for this subsector will be reviewed in collaboration with the NEA.

3.4.2 Manufacturing industries, mobile combustion (NFR 1A2gvii)

3.4.2.1 Activity data

Activity data for mobile combustion in off-road vehicle and machinery is provided by the NEA. Currently, activity data and information available from the National Energy Authority do not allow to separate fuels sold to machinery in construction, agriculture or other uses for the entire time series, but provides data on fuel sold from fuel delivery trucks (as opposed to fuel sold at petrol stations)

Thus category 1A2gvii off-road vehicles and other machinery includes all emissions derived from fuels sold to off-road machinery, including Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing: Off-road vehicles and other machinery (1A4cii) as well as transport activities not reported under road transport such as ground activities in airports and harbours (1A3eii). The latter two categories are marked as “IE” in the NFR tables and are all included under 1A2gvii. Activity data for fuel combustion are given in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7 Fuel use (kt), mobile combustion in the construction industry.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gas/Diesel oil	37.98	46.74	61.89	67.78	32.23	30.03	34.13	35.39
Biodiesel	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	0.081	0.018

3.4.2.2 Emission factors

Emission factors for dioxins from this sector are taken from “Utslipp til luft av dioxiner i Norge” (Statistics Norway, 2002). They are 0.1 µg/t fuel. BaP and BbF emissions are estimated from this source with 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook default emission factors from table 3-1 in chapter 1A4 Non-road mobile machinery. SO₂ emissions are calculated from the S-content of the fuels. Emission factors for NO_x, CO, NMVOC, particles as well as BC are the default values from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (Table 3-1, chapter 1A4).

3.4.2.3 Recalculations and improvements

Several recalculations were done for this subcategory as a part of the energy sector revision. Detailed information on the recalculations can be found in Annex V: Recalculations of the energy sector (excluding road transport). The main recalculations were:

- Emissions of most pollutants from biodiesel from 1A2gvii Off-road machinery added with 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook default emission factors from table 3-1 in chapter 1A4 Non-road mobile machinery.
- Emissions of several heavy metals were also added with an emission factor from the same table.
- Emissions of BaP and BbF were estimated from this source for the first time with 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook default emission factors from table 3-1 in chapter 1A4 Non-road mobile machinery.

3.4.2.4 Planned improvements

For future submissions the activity data for this subsector will be reviewed in collaboration with the NEA. Furthermore, NH₃, PCB and HCB emission estimates will be added where possible.

3.5 Transport (NFR 1A3)

3.5.1 Civil aviation (NFR 1A3a)

Emissions from aviation are divided into four groups: International Landing and Take-Off (LTO) (1A3ai(i)), Domestic LTO (1A3ai(i)), International cruise (1A3ai(ii)) and Domestic cruise (1A3ai(ii)). As defined by Eurocontrol “LTO” includes taxi out, take off, climb out (up to a height of 3000 ft.), final approach (from a height of 3000 ft.), landing and taxi in. “Cruise” includes climb from a height of 3000 ft. up to the cruise level, cruise, and descent down to a height of 3000 ft. Emissions occurring during LTO of both domestic and international flights are included in national totals, whereas emissions occurring during the cruise part of the flights are reported as “memo” item and are thus not counted in the national totals.

Emissions for the years 2005-2017 are taken directly from the Eurocontrol dataset for Iceland, which differentiates between Domestic, International, LTO and Cruise emissions. The pollutants reported

from the Eurocontrol dataset include NO_x, SO_x, CO, NMVOC, TSP, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. For the years 1990-2004, emissions were estimated based on fuel type (jet kerosene vs. aviation gasoline), and emissions attributed to either LTO or Cruise using a ratio calculated from the Eurocontrol dataset (see below), with sales data allowing the distinction between international and domestic use.

3.5.1.1 Activity data

Activity data is provided by the NEA, which collects data on fuel sales by sector. This data distinguishes between national and international usage. In Iceland, there is one main airport for international flights, Keflavík Airport. Under normal circumstances almost all international flights depart and arrive from Keflavík Airport, except for flights to Greenland, the Faroe Islands, and some flights with private airplanes which depart/arrive from Reykjavík airport. Domestic flights sometimes depart from Keflavík airport in case of special weather conditions. Oil products sold to Keflavík airport are reported as international usage. The deviations between national and international usage are believed to level out. Activity data stems from different datasources depending on the year:

- 1990-2004: Use of jet kerosene and aviation gasoline is based on the NEA's annual sales statistics for fossil fuels.
- 2005-2017: Fuel activity data is included in the Eurocontrol dataset. However, the dataset only includes total amount of fuel burnt (in kt), without differentiating between jet kerosene and aviation gasoline. Since these two types of fuel have slightly different NCV's (44.3 TJ/kt for aviation gasoline, 44.1 TJ/kt for jet kerosene), in order to obtain total fuel activity data in TJ, the NEA's annual sales statistics were used as an approximation of the ratio of aviation gasoline to jet kerosene to calculate a weighted-average NCV, which was used to convert the total burnt fuel reported by Eurocontrol into TJ.

Activity data for fuel sales for domestic and international aviation are given in Table 3.8 and Table 3.9. Note that these are the sales statistics provided by the NEA, and do not include information from Eurocontrol.

Table 3.8 Fuel sales (kt.), domestic aviation.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Jet Kerosene	8.41	8.25	7.73	7.39	6.07	5.74	12.30	6.9
Aviation gasoline	1.68	1.13	1.10	0.87	0.65	0.49	0.50	0.4

Table 3.9 Fuel sales (kt), international aviation.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Jet Kerosene	69.40	74.64	129.15	133.20	119.52	213.74	290.78	363.67
Aviation gasoline	0.20	0.18	0.03	0.40	0.01	0.01	NO	NO

3.5.1.2 Emission factors

1990-2004: Total emissions (LTO + Cruise) were calculated using following emissions factors: Emission factors for dioxin were taken from the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005) and from "Utslipp til luft av dioxiner i Norge" (Statistics Norway, 2002). PAH4 emissions were not estimated as no emission factors are included in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, nor are those emissions estimated by Eurocontrol. SO₂ emissions were calculated from the S-content of the fuels provided by the National Energy Authority. Emission factors for NO_x, CO and NMVOC were taken from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and the revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas

Inventories: Reference Manual (IPCC, 1996). As per the Eurocontrol dataset there is no particle emission from turboprop airplanes, only from jets; However, data on plane types for the time period 1990-2004 is not available. Thus, particulate matter emissions are not estimated for domestic aviation for that time. For international aviation, it was assumed that TSP = PM₁₀ = PM_{2.5}, as is the case in the Eurocontrol dataset. An emission factor was calculated from the Eurocontrol dataset using the average IEF for 2005 til 2017 for international LTO and cruise, respectively, and applied to the period 1990-2004.

In order to allocate emissions to LTO and Cruise, respectively, a distribution factor was calculated using the 2005 Eurocontrol data for each pollutant, and this factor was applied to the 1990-2004 dataset.

2005-2017: Emissions were taken from the Eurocontrol dataset without further calculations, with the exception of dioxin for which estimates were not provided in that dataset. Dioxin was therefore calculated in the same way as for the period 1990-2004.

The emission factors for the period 1990-2004 are presented in Table 3.10, and for particle matter in international aviation in Table 3.11.

Table 3.10 Emission factors for dioxin, NO_x, CO and NMVOC by fuel type - 1990-2004 (Except dioxin, where EF apply for 1990-2016)

	Dioxin [µg I-TEQ/t fuel]	NO _x [kg/TJ]	CO [kg/TJ]	NMVOC [kg/TJ]
Jet Kerosene	0.06	250	100	50
Aviation gasoline	2.2	250	100	50

Table 3.11 Emission factors for TSP and BC, international aviation - 1990-2004.

	TSP [kg/TJ]	PM ₁₀ [kg/TJ]	PM _{2.5} [kg/TJ]	BC % of TSP
International LTO	2.86	2.86	2.86	18%
International Cruise	4.41	4.41	4.41	18%

3.5.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were done for this submission.

3.5.1.4 Planned improvements

Planned improvements include a reassessment of the emission factors used in the emission estimates for the period 1990-2004, as well as to establish the continuity of the time series when changing from pre-Eurocontrol (1990-2004) to the Eurocontrol dataset (estimates since 2005).

3.5.2 Road transport (NFR 1A3b)

This sector covers the emission estimates from exhaust emissions from various types of road transportation vehicles.

3.5.2.1 Methodology

The transport model COPERT v.5.2 (developed by Emisia SA) was used to produce emission estimates for all pollutants for 2000-2017. The following text is taken from the COPERT website regarding the applied methodology⁹:

⁹ <http://emisiasa.com/products/copert>

“The COPERT methodology is part of the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory Guidebook for the calculation of air pollutant emissions.”

Data acquired from Emisia was used to estimate emissions for the years 2000-2017, except data for average temperature and fuel consumption in road transport where data from the Icelandic Met Office and the National Energy Authority was used.

For NH₃, PM, BC, Heavy metals, HCB and PCB only emissions for the years 2000-2017 are reported because of lack of data to run COPERT for the years 1990-1999.

Dioxin and PAH pollutants were estimated for 1990-1999 by multiplying fuel use (by type of fuel and vehicle) with fuel and vehicle pollutant specific emission factors. Emissions for 200-2017 were estimated using COPERT.

For NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂ and CO, COPERT was used to estimate emission for 2000-2017 and T1 methodology from the 1996 IPCC guidelines was used to estimate emissions from 1990-1999, as was done in previous submissions. Calculations of SO₂ emissions in COPERT are based on country specific sulphur content in fuels, where it is assumed that all sulphur is converted to SO₂. Country specific measurements are only available from 2006, so for previous years the maximum allowed sulphur content according to European regulations was used as an approximation.

3.5.2.2 Activity data

Total use of diesel oil and gasoline are based on the NEA's annual sales statistics for fossil fuels (Table 3.12). Biofuels were added for the first time in last year's inventory, also from NEA's sales statistics, and emissions of some of the pollutants were estimated, to the extent possible.

Table 3.12 Fuel use (kt), road transport.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gasoline	127.81	135.60	142.60	156.73	148.21	132.47	136.36	133.8
Diesel oil	36.57	36.86	47.46	83.48	106.43	126.37	146.08	164.2
Biomethane	NO	NO	NO	NO	0.44	1.38	1.42	1.51
Biogasoline	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	1.93	4.70	4.57
Biodiesel	NO	NO	NO	NO	0.14	11.92	11.41	13.16

For the period 1990 to 1999 the fuel consumption attribution to the various vehicle groups, i.e. passenger cars, light duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles was estimated by the NEA. For that time period emissions from motorcycles are included in emissions from other vehicles.

Data for 2000-2017 from COPERT included numbers of vehicle and kilometres driven for each vehicle category. Total fuels sales from the NEA were set into COPERT, which then estimates fuel use for each vehicle category based on numbers of vehicle and kilometres driven.

Biofuels

Last year's inventory included for the first time emissions from biofuel used in road transport. This year emissions from biofuels were estimated using COPERT. Biofuels in Iceland include biogasoline (bioethanol) and biodiesel which are mixed with their fossil equivalent and sold as a mixture at the fuel stations. Biomethane from landfill gas is also used for road transport. These fuels were input into COPERT which calculated the emissions.

3.5.2.3 Emission factors

3.5.2.3.1 Emission factor information for 1990-1999.

Emission factors for dioxin are taken from the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005). They are presented in Table 3.13.

Emission factors for PAH are taken from the chapter "An approach to estimation of PAH emission" in the Emission Inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2007). They are presented in Table 3.13.

Table 3.13 Emission factors for dioxin, road vehicles.

	Dioxin [µg I-TEQ/t fuel]
Gasoline, leaded	2.2
Gasoline, unleaded, no catalyst	0.1
Gasoline, unleaded, with catalyst	0
Gas/ Diesel oil	0.1

Table 3.14 Emission factors for PAH4, road vehicles.

	B(a)P [mg/GJ]	Fuel cons. [l/100 km]	B(a)P µg/kg fuel	Ratio to B(a)P		
				B(b)F	B(k)F	IPy
Passenger cars - gasoline, conventional	1.1	8.5	17.14	1.2	0.9	1
Passenger cars - gasoline, catalyst	0.4	8.5	6.23	0.9	1.2	1.4
Light duty vehicles - gasoline	1.1	13.6	10.71	1.2	0.9	1
Pass. cars diesel - direct inj.	0.7	7	11.79	0.9	1	1.1
Pass. cars diesel - indirect inj.	2.8	7	47.14	0.9	0.8	0.9
Light duty vehicles - direct inj.	0.7	10.9	7.57	0.9	1	1.1
Light duty vehicles - indirect inj.	2.8	10.9	30.29	0.9	0.8	0.9
HDV (diesel)	1	29.9	3.94	5.6	8.2	1.4
Other use, gas/diesel oil, (*HDV)	1	29.9	3.94	5.6	8.2	1.4

SO₂ emissions are calculated from the S-content of the fuels. Emission factors for the pollutants NO_x, NMVOC and CO are taken from the revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 1996) and depend on vehicle type and emission control. Country specific measurements are only available from 2006, so for previous years the maximum allowed sulphur content according to European regulations was used as an approximation.

Emission factors for other pollutants depend upon vehicle type and emission control. They are taken from the revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 1996) and are presented in Table 3.15. Other pollutants are reported as Not Estimated for 1990-1999.

Table 3.15 Emission factors for NO_x, CO and NMVOC.

	NO _x [g/kg fuel]	CO [g/kg fuel]	NMVOC [g/kg fuel]
Passenger cars - gasoline, uncontrolled	27	550	63
Passenger cars - gasoline, non-catalyst control	37	300	72
Passenger cars - gasoline - three way catalyst	8.2	45.9	7.1
Light duty vehicles - gasoline	29	360	59
Heavy duty vehicles - gasoline	40	346	32
Motorcycles - gasoline	2.7	730	530
Passenger cars - diesel	11	12	3
Light duty vehicle - diesel	16	18	4.6
Heavy duty vehicles - diesel	42	36	8

3.5.2.3.2 Emission factor information for 2000-2017

COPERT was used for estimation of emissions of all pollutants for 2000-2017. All emission factors in COPERT are based on the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

3.5.2.4 Recalculations and improvements

The COPERT calculations with new data for 2000-2017 changed the emissions of all pollutants to various amounts. Changes for all pollutants can be seen in Annex VI: Recalculations of road transport sector (1A3b) using COPERT.

NMVOC emissions from gasoline evaporation, PM and HM from automobile tyre and break wear and PM from road abrasion have been estimated for the first time for 2000-2017 with COPERT.

3.5.2.5 Planned improvements

Planned improvements include:

- As part of the overall Energy sector review all calculation spreadsheets related to road transport calculations will be reviewed and improved to correlate with the calculations in COPERT.
- investigate the possibility to acquire comprehensive dataset for use in the COPERT transport model for 1990-1999 to complete the timeseries.

3.5.3 National navigation (NFR 1A3dii)

Emissions are calculated by multiplying energy use with a pollutant specific emission factor.

3.5.3.1 Activity data

Total use of residual fuel oil and gas/diesel oil for national navigation is based on the NEA's annual sales statistics for fossil fuels. Activity data for fuel combustion are given in Table 3.16.

Table 3.16 Fuel use (kt), national navigation.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gas/Diesel oil	11.75	7.04	3.43	6.20	8.46	7.89	8.53	9.90
Residual fuel oil	7.17	4.76	0.54	0.88	2.61	0.44	0.18	NO

3.5.3.2 Emission factors

Emission factors for all pollutants are T1 emission factors from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook on navigation (Shipping), Tables 3.1 (bunker fuel oils) and 3.2 (marine diesel/gas oil). All emission factors are presented in Table 3.17.

Table 3.17 Emission factors for national navigation emissions.

	Dioxins µg/t fuel	BbF g/t fuel	HCB mg/t fuel	PCB mg/t fuel	Pb g/t fuel	Cd g/t fuel	Hg g/t fuel
Marine diesel oil	0.13	NE	0.08	0.038	0.13	0.01	0.03
Bunker fuel oil	0.47	NE	0.14	0.57	0.18	0.02	0.02
	As g/t fuel	Cr g/t fuel	Cu g/t fuel	Ni g/t fuel	Se g/t fuel	Zn g/t fuel	SO ₂ kg/t fuel
Marine diesel oil	0.04	0.05	0.88	1	0.1	1.2	20
Bunker fuel oil	0.68	0.72	1.25	32	0.21	1.2	20
	NO _x kg/t fuel	NMVOC kg/t fuel	CO kg/t fuel	TSP kg/t fuel	PM10 kg/t fuel	PM2.5 kg/t fuel	BC % of TSP
Marine diesel oil	78.5	2.8	7.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	31%
Bunker fuel oil	79.3	2.7	7.4	6.2	6.2	5.6	12%

3.5.3.3 Recalculations and improvements

As a part of the revision of the energy sector several emission factors changed for this subsector. More detailed information can be seen in Annex V: Recalculations of the energy sector (excluding road transport).

- SO₂ emission factor was changed to 2016 EMEP/EEA GuidebookT1 default for marine diesel oil and bunker fuel oil. This led to an increase in emissions of 0.13 kt in 2016.
- It was concluded that the emission factor used for BaF for previous submissions was not justified and therefore it is now reported as NE as in EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016.
- Emission factor for dioxins was updated using the value in EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016.

3.5.3.4 Planned improvements

There are no planned improvements.

3.5.4 International navigation (memo item - NFR 1A3di(i))

The reported fuel use numbers are based on fuel sales data from the retail suppliers. The retail supplier divides their reported fuel sales between international navigation and national navigation based on whether the vessel is sailing to an Icelandic or a foreign harbor (regardless of flag).

The emission factors used to estimate emissions from international navigation are the same as those used for national navigation and can be found in Table 3.17.

3.5.5 Transport: Other (NFR 1A3eii)

Emissions from other transport activities not reported under road transport, such as ground activities in airports and harbor, are included in Mobile construction in manufacturing industries and construction (1A2gvii) since currently available activity data from the National Energy Authority do not allow to allocate fuels sold to machinery to the various subsectors.

3.6 Other Sectors (NFR 1A4)

3.6.1 Commercial, institutional & residential fuel combustion (NFR 1A4a, 1A4b)

Since Iceland relies largely on its renewable energy sources, fuel use for residential, commercial, and institutional heating is low. Residential heating with electricity is subsidized and occurs in areas far from public heat plants. Two waste incineration plants used waste to produce heat. One of them used the heat for heating a swimming pool and a school building (Skaftárhreppur, closed down in December 2012), and the other one used the heat for heating a swimming pool (Svínafell, closed down in 2010). Commercial/Institutional fuel combustion also includes the heating of swimming pools with gas oil, but only a few swimming pools in the country are heated with oil.

3.6.1.1 Activity data

Activity data for fuel use is provided by the NEA, which collects data on fuel sales by sector. EA adjusts the data provided by the NEA as further explained in Annex I. Activity data for waste incineration are collected by the EA directly. Activity data for fuel combustion and waste incineration in the Commercial/Institutional sector are given in Table 3.18.

Table 3.18 Fuel use (kt), commercial/institutional sector.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gas/Diesel oil	1.80	1.60	1.60	1.00	0.30	0.30	0.15	0.15
Waste oil	3.27	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
LPG	0.29	0.31	0.46	0.50	0.17	0.37	0.41	0.12
Solid waste	NO	0.45	0.58	0.58	0.35	NO	NO	NO

Activity data for fuel combustion in the Residential sector is given in Table 3.19. The table shows that the use of kerosene increased substantially from 2005 to 2010. Kerosene is used in summerhouses but also, to some extent, in the Commercial sector for heating of commercial buildings. The usage has been very low over the years and therefore the kerosene utilisation has all been allocated to the Residential sector. The increase in usage in the years 2008 to 2011 is believed to be attributed to rapidly rising fuel prices in the transport sector. This has motivated some diesel car owners to use kerosene on their cars as the kerosene does not have CO₂ tax, despite the fact that it is not good for the engine. Since 2012 the CO₂ tax covers also kerosene and the use decreased rapidly again.

Table 3.19 Fuel use (kt), residential sector.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Gas/Diesel oil	8.73	6.36	6.03	3.24	1.92	1.17	0.95	2.32
LPG	0.42	0.45	0.72	0.93	1.42	0.93	0.96	1.38
Kerosene	0.51	0.15	0.15	0.17	1.22	0.19	0.03	0.05

3.6.1.2 Emission factors

Emission factors (EFs) for stationary combustion are taken from 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook except EFs for dioxin from stationary combustion of LPG and waste oil which are taken from Utslipp til luft av dioxiner i Norge (Statistics Norway, 2002). They are 0.06 µg/t fuel for LPG (Liquified Petroleum Gas) and 4 µg/t for waste oil.

Emissions from waste incineration with recovery, where the energy is used for swimming pools/school buildings are reported here. The IEF for dioxin in the sector shows fluctuations over the time series. From 1994 to 2012 (as stated above one plant was closed down in 2010 and the other one in 2012) waste was incinerated to produce heat at two locations (swimming pools, school building). The IEF for dioxin for waste is considerably higher than for liquid fuel. Further waste oil was used in the sector from 1990 to 1993. This combined explains the rise in IEF for the whole sector.

3.6.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

Several recalculations were done for this sector as a part of the energy sector review which can be seen in Annex V. The main ones were;

- EFs were updated for NO_x, NMVOC, CO and dioxins using 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.
- Errors in calculation files for the previous submissions were corrected for BC, Heavy Metals and PAH.

3.6.1.4 Planned improvements

For future submissions the activity data for this subsector will be reviewed in collaboration with the NEA.

3.6.2 Agriculture, forestry & fishing (NFR 1A4c)

Emissions from fuel use by machinery and off-road vehicles in agriculture and forestry are included in Mobile construction in manufacturing industries and construction (1A2gvii) since currently available activity data from the National Energy Authority do not allow to allocate fuels sold to machinery to the various subsectors. Thus, emissions reported here only stem from the fishing fleet. Emissions from commercial fishing are calculated by multiplying energy use with a pollutant specific emission factor.

3.6.2.1 Activity data

Total use of residual fuel oil and gas/diesel oil for the commercial fishing is based on the NEA's annual sales statistics for fossil fuels and includes both national and international fishing. Fuel sales data provided by the NEA allows the correct attribution of fuel sold to fishing vessels vs. international ships for the time period 1995 to the current year. However, during the years 1990 to 1994 fuel sales statistics were recorded differently and fuel sold for international use was recorded without information on whether it was used for a fishing vessel or another type of ship. Therefore, the share of fuel use by fishing vessels had to be approximated for the years 1990-1994. This was done by averaging the percentage of fuel sold to fishing vessels relative to total fuel sales over the years 1995 to 1999, for diesel oil and fuel oil; this percentage was then applied to the fuel sales for the years 1990 to 1994.

Activity data for fuel combustion in the Fishing sector are given in Table 3.20.

Table 3.20 Fuel use (kt), fishing sector.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Marine diesel oil	199.80	231.81	256.85	199.94	158.25	142.52	133.61	131.17
Bunker fuel oil	32.62	57.15	22.27	32.61	69.89	52.45	29.00	35.16

3.6.2.2 Emission factors

Emission factors for all pollutants are T1 emission factors from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook on navigation (Shipping), Tables 3.1 (bunker fuel oils) and 3.2 (marine diesel/gas oil). All emission factors are the same as those used for national navigation, and are presented in

Table 3.17 in the discussion pertaining to national navigation.

3.6.2.3 Recalculations and improvements

As a part of the revision of the energy sector several emission factors changed for this subsector.

- SO₂ emission factor was changed to 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook T1 default for marine diesel oil and bunker fuel oil. That increased the emissions of 0.13 kt in 2016.
- It was concluded that the emission factor used for BaF for previous submissions was not justified and therefore it is now reported as NE as in EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016.
- Emission factor for dioxins was updated using the value in EMEP/EEA Guidebook 2016.
- Error for calculations of BC was found in previous submissions which was corrected. This correction increased emissions of 0.016 kt.

3.6.2.4 Planned improvements and improvements

Work is scheduled to attempt to move from Tiers 1 to Tiers 2 for all pollutants for which Fishing is a key category (Dioxin, HCB, PCB, PAH, NO_x, NMVOC, Particulate matter and most heavy metals).

3.7 Fugitive Emissions (NFR 1B2)

In Iceland, fugitive emissions occur only from two sources: Distribution of oil products (1B2av) and Geothermal energy production (1B2d).

3.7.1 Distribution of oil products (NFR 1B2av)

NMVOC emissions from distribution of oil products are estimated by multiplying the total imported fuel with an emission factor.

3.7.1.1 Activity data

The calculations are based on yearly fuel import data provided by Statistics Iceland.

3.7.1.2 Emission factors

The emission factor is taken from Table 4.2.4 2006 IPCC Guidelines Tanker Trucks and Rail Cards and is 0.00025 Gg per 1000 m³ total oil transported.

3.7.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

As a part of the energy sector review the emission factor for NMVOC emissions from distribution of oil products was updated.

3.7.1.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are planned for this sector.

3.7.2 Geothermal energy (NFR 1B2d)

Iceland relies heavily on geothermal energy for space heating and to a significant extent for electricity production (27% of the total electricity production in 2016). Geothermal energy is generally considered to have a relatively low environmental impact. Emissions of CO₂ are commonly considered to be among the negative environmental effects of geothermal power production, even though they have been shown to be considerably less extensive than from fossil fuel power plants, or 19 times less (Baldvinsson, 2011). Very small amounts of methane, but considerable quantities of sulphur in the form of hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) are emitted from geothermal power plants. The H₂S values are stoichiometrically converted to SO₂ and reported as such.

3.7.2.1 Activity data and emissions

The H₂S concentration in the geothermal steam is site and time-specific and can vary greatly between areas and the wells within an area as well as by the time of extraction. The total emissions estimate of H₂S is based on direct measurements. The enthalpy and flow of each well are measured and the H₂S concentration of the steam fraction determined at the wellhead pressure. The steam fraction of the fluid and its H₂S concentration at the wellhead pressure and the geothermal plant inlet pressure are calculated for each well. Information about the period each well discharged in each year is then used to calculate the annual H₂S discharge from each well and finally the total H₂S is determined by adding up the H₂S discharge from individual wells.

The CarbFix project, located at the Hellisheiði Power Plant, has been pioneering CO₂ capture and reinjection on site into the basaltic subsurface, and has proven rapid and complete reaction to calcium carbonate precipitates (Matter, et al., 2016). A sister project, SulFix, consists of separating H₂S from the steam and also reinjecting the gas into the subsurface and mineralizing on contact with the basalt host rock. Injection of H₂S started in 2014 at Hellisheiði. This project has had a significant impact on sulphur emissions from geothermal power production at Hellisheiði, with a decrease of average H₂S emissions per kWh from 5.3 g/kWh in 2013 to 3.4 g/kWh, corresponding to a decrease in emission from this subsector from 53 kt SO₂ in 2013 to 33 kt SO₂ in 2017.

Table 3.21 shows the electricity production with geothermal energy and the total Sulphur emissions (calculated as SO₂).

Table 3.21 Electricity production and emissions from geothermal energy in Iceland.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Electricity production (GWh)	282.91	288.18	1,322.95	1,658.00	4,465.00	5,003.00	5,067.28	5,169.60
Sulphur emissions (as SO₂, kt)	13.33	11.01	26.02	30.31	57.70	40.54	35.20	32.76

3.7.2.2 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were done for this sector.



3.7.2.3 *Planned improvements*

For future submissions the plan is to differentiate between emissions linked to electricity production and those linked to district heating.

4 Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) (NFR sector 2)

4.1 Overview

As a result of the expansion of the industrial sector, the contribution of this sector to the total emissions has been increasing since 1990. By far the main contributor to the emissions from this sector is metal production (aluminium, ferrosilicon alloy, and silicon metal in recent years). The emission trends of the various pollutants closely match the opening and closing of various facilities.

While most of the air pollutant emissions from the industrial processes sector can be traced back to the metal production industry, exceptions include NMVOC and Hg, which mostly originate from solvents and product use, NH₃ which comes from the mineral wool industry, and most heavy metals other than Hg that are emitted during the use of fireworks and tobacco (2G Other solvent and product use).

The Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) sector is divided into the following subsectors:

- [Mineral Industry \(NFR 2A\)](#)
- [Chemical Industry \(NFR 2B\)](#)
- [Metal Production \(NFR 2C\)](#)
- [Solvent and Product Use \(NFR 2D\)](#)
- [Other solvent and product use \(NFR 2G\)](#)
- [Food & Beverages Industry \(NFR 2H2\)](#)

4.1.1 Sectoral trends - POPs

The emissions from the industrial processes sector in 2017 are shown below in Table 4.1, and the trends since 1990 are shown for Dioxin, PAH₄, HCB and PCB in Figure 4.1 to Figure 4.4.

Table 4.1 Dioxin, PAH, HCB and PCB emissions from industrial processes, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO – Not occurring)

	Dioxin [g I-TEQ]	B(a)P [t]	B(b)f [t]	B(k)f [t]	IPY [t]	PAH4 [t]	HCB [kg]	PCB [kg]
2A Mineral industry	6.E-05	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO
2B Chemical industry	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
2C Metal production	0.0435	0.00254	0.0219	0.00636	0.00235	0.0332	0.0146	NO
2D Solvent and product use	2.E-06	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO
2G Other solvent and product use	2.E-05	2.E-05	1.E-05	1.E-05	1.E-05	6.E-05	NA/NE/NO	NA/NE/NO
2H Other industry production	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Industrial Processes, Total	0.0436	0.0026	0.0219	0.00637	0.00236	0.0332	0.0146	NA/NO/NE

The main source of POPs is the metal production industry (2C). In 2017, three primary aluminium smelters, one secondary aluminium production facility, one ferrosilicon plant as well as one silicon plant were operating in Iceland. A secondary steel plant was operating from 2014 til 2016, and closed down officially in February 2017, however no production occurred in the first weeks of 2017. Other solvent and product use (2D, 2G) also emit POPs, but to a very small extent compared to the metal production industry.

Figure 4.1 shows the dioxin emissions from the industrial sector. The increases in 1998-1999 and in 2007-2008 correspond to the opening of two new primary aluminium smelters, and the increase in 2014 corresponds to the opening of a secondary steel production facility, whose production was fluctuating from year to year leading to fluctuations in dioxin emissions. The dioxin emissions from 2A mineral industry mostly originated from a cement factory that ceased production in 2011.

PAH4 emissions, shown in Figure 4.2, also originate almost exclusively from the metal production industry. As for dioxin, the stepwise increase in emissions corresponds to the expansion of the industry.




Figure 4.1 Dioxin emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.




Figure 4.2 PAH4 emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.




Figure 4.3 HCB emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990

Figure 4.3 shows HCB emission trends. The main HCB source was the cement industry until 2004 when a secondary aluminium production facility opened leading to an increase in HCB emissions. In 2010, this facility started stepping down the production, with a corresponding decrease in HCB emissions. In 2013, another secondary aluminium plant opened, leading to a new increase in production. HCB emissions from primary aluminium production, solvents and other product use are not estimated due to the fact that there is no emission factor available in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

Figure 4.4 shows the PCB emissions. Only one PCB source is estimated for this sector, which is the secondary steel production industry. Operations at the plant started in 2014, and the fluctuation in emissions directly reflect fluctuations in production. The facility stopped production at the end of 2016. No other sources are estimated in the IPPU sector, mostly because no PCB emissions are expected from most sources within the sector, with the exception of some solvents and product use for which there are no available emission factors in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.




Figure 4.4 PCB emissions from the industrial sector, since 1990.

Overall, the POPs emissions have been increasing since 1990, with a clear correlation between the emissions and the opening and closing of various facilities.

4.1.2 Sectoral trends - other pollutants

Table 4.2 and Table 4.3 show the 2017 emissions for NO_x, NMVOC, SO_x, NH₃, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP, BC and CO, as well as heavy metals. Figures showing the evolution of the emissions since 1990 for each pollutant, by subsector, are shown in Annex IV.

Table 4.2 NO_x, NMVOC, SO_x, NH₃, PM and CO emissions from industrial processes, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO – Not occurring, NR – not reported).

	NO _x [kt] NO ₂	NMVOC [kt]	SO _x [kt] SO ₂	NH ₃ [kt]	PM _{2.5} [kt]	PM ₁₀ [kt]	TSP [kt]	BC [kt]	CO [kt]
2A Mineral industry	NO/NE/NA	NO/NE/NA	0.001	0.0223	0.00942	0.0107	0.0121	2.E-04	0.0265
2B Chemical industry	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
2C Metal production	2.23	0.00514	12.98	NO/NE	0.471	0.547	0.627	0.00713	106.2
2D Solvent and product use	NO/NE/NA	1.236	NO/NE/NA	NA/NO	2.E-04	0.0014	0.00649	1.E-05	NO/NE/NA
2G Other solvent and product use	6.E-04	0.00112	0.00183	0.00096	0.0378	0.0669	0.0729	2.8E-05	0.0171
2H Other industry production	NA	0.371	NA	NA	NR	NR	NR	NR	NA
Industrial Processes, Total	2.23	1.61	12.99	0.0232	0.519	0.626	0.719	0.00736	106.2

Table 4.3 Heavy metal emissions from industrial processes, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NO - Not occurring, NR - Not relevant)

		Pb [t]	Cd [t]	Hg [t]	As [t]	Cr [t]	Cu [t]	Ni [t]	Se [t]	Zn [t]
2A	Mineral industry	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO
2B	Chemical industry	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
2C	Metal production	0.0018	1.E-04	NO	0.00248	0.00185	0.00227	NO	NR/NO	0.0053
2D	Solvent and product use	NA/NR/NO	NA/NR/NO	0.00195	NA/NR/NO	NA/NR/NO	NA/NR/NO	NA/NR/NO	NA/NR/NO	NA/NR/NO
2G	Other solvent and product use	0.476	9.E-04	4.E-05	8.E-04	0.00951	0.270	0.0182	2.E-06	0.158
2H	Other industry production	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Industrial Processes, Total		0.478	0.0010	0.00199	0.00332	0.0114	0.272	0.0182	2.E-06	0.164

The metal production subsector accounts for most of the NO_x, SO_x, PM, BC and CO emissions within the sector, whereas solvent and product use as well as other industry production are the biggest source of NMVOC and heavy metals. A large share of heavy metal emissions in Iceland comes from fireworks use.

In general, emissions of most pollutants have increased since 1990, mirroring the expansion of the industry, the population growth (30% between 1990 and 2015), with dips of varying magnitude after 2007 following a major financial crisis that drastically affected the Icelandic economy.

4.2 General methodology

Methodology is generally based on the most recent EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2016). In most cases, emissions are calculated by multiplying the quantity of production or product use with pollutant-specific emissions factors. Emissions factors are also taken from the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Releases of Dioxins, Furans and Other Unintentional POPs (UNEP, 2013), Utslipp til luft av dioxiner i Norge (Statistics Norway, 2002), the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC, 2006) as well as plant-specific emission factors derived from direct measurements at the plants. Activity data is collected from data reported under the EU ETS (as per Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council), Statistics Iceland, Green Accounting or directly from the operators. Detailed, activity-specific methodology for emission estimates is described for each subsector. Work is underway to harmonise this reporting with data reported under the E-PRTR Regulation (Regulation (EC) No 166/2006).

4.3 Mineral Industry (NFR 2A)

4.3.1 Cement production (NFR 2A1)

The single cement plant in Iceland produced cement from shell sand and rhyolite in a rotary kiln using a wet process. The raw material calcium carbonate, which came from shell sand, was calcinated in the production process. The resulting calcium oxide was heated to form clinker and then crushed to form cement.

The production at the cement plant in Iceland slowly decreased after 2000. The construction of the Kárahnjúkar hydropower plant (building time from 2002 to 2007) along with increased activity in the construction sector (from 2003 to 2007) increased demand for cement, and the production at the cement plant increased again between 2004 and 2007, although most of the cement used in the country was imported. In 2011, clinker production at the plant was 69% less than in 2007, due to the collapse of the construction sector. Late 2011 the plant ceased operation.

4.3.1.1 Activity data

Process specific data on cement production, clinker production and amounts of coal were collected by the EA directly from the cement production plant.

4.3.1.2 Emission factors

Emission factor for dioxin is taken from the Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2013). The factor applies for wet kilns, with ESP/FF temperature < 200°C and is 0.05 µg I-TEQ/t cement. The HCB emission factor is based on the chapter Sources of HCB emissions from the Emission Inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2007). Emission factors for TSP, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are based on measurements and the BC emission factor (3% of PM_{2.5}) is based on the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Emission estimates for SO₂ are based on measurements from the plant but include both process-related and combustion-related emissions, and the total SO₂ emissions are reported under 2A1 Cement production. Emissions of PAH, NO_x, CO and NMVOC originate mainly from combustion and are reported under 1A2f (Stationary combustion in manufacturing industries and construction: non-metallic minerals); process-related emissions for those pollutants are marked Not Estimated as they are in Table 3.1 of Section 2.A.1 Cement production in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. All emission factors used are summarized in the table below.

Table 4.4 Emission factors for cement production

	Dioxin [µg/t I-TEQ]	HCB [µg/t]	TSP [kg/kt]	PM ₁₀ [kg/kt]	PM _{2.5} [kg/kt]	BC % of PM _{2.5}
Cement production	0.05	11	220	200	100	3

4.3.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made to cement production (2A1) for this submission.

4.3.1.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.3.2 Lime production (NFR 2A2)

This activity does not occur in Iceland.

4.3.3 Glass production (NFR 2A3)

This activity does not occur in Iceland.

4.3.4 Quarrying and mining of minerals other than coal (NFR 2A5a)

This activity is currently not estimated. It is planned to provide estimates for this in future submissions.

4.3.5 Construction and demolition (NFR 2A5b)

This activity is currently not estimated. It is planned to provide estimates for this in future submissions.

4.3.6 Storage, Handling and Transport of mineral products (NFR 2A5c)

This activity is currently not estimated. It is planned to include this within the mineral production chapter.

4.3.7 Mineral wool production (NFR 2A6)

There is one mineral wool production plant in operation in Iceland. Although it is an activity falling under Annex I of Directive 2003/87/E (ETS Directive), it is excluded from the EU ETS scheme following the conditions described in Article 27 of the ETS Directive. The operator submits annual emission reports to the EA, using the same template as the companies reporting within the EU ETS scheme.

4.3.7.1 Activity data

Activity data for the mineral wool plant originates from the annual emission reports mentioned above, as well as annual Green Accounting reports.

4.3.7.2 Emission factors

Emissions of dioxins are calculated from the amount (weight) of electrodes used in the production process. The emission factor is taken from *Utslipp til luft av dioxiner i Norge* (Statistics Norway, 2002) and is 1.6 µg I-TEQ/t electrodes. PAH emissions are not estimated. Emissions of SO₂ are calculated using the S content of the electrodes used. Emission factors of CO, NH₃ and TSP were calculated based on measurements at the factory. In the case of NH₃ and TSP, measurements were available for 2009, 2011, 2013 and 2015. For those years the actual measurements were used to derive a year-specific emission factor. For the years in between, the average of the emission factor of the previous year and of the following year was used. For all years prior to 2009, the average of 2009, 2011, 2013 and 2015 was used. PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} were calculated from TSP using the TSP vs. PM₁₀ vs. PM_{2.5} ratios given in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016). BC was calculated using the ratio to PM_{2.5} given in the 2016 Guidelines. NO_x and NMVOC emissions originate from combustion and are reported under sector 1A2gviii. Table 4.5 shows the emission factors used for mineral wool production.

Table 4.5 Emission factors for mineral wool production (NH₃, TSP: Values are EFs for 1990-2008).

	NH ₃	CO	TSP	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	BC	Dioxin
	[t/kt]	[t/kt]	[t/kt]	% of TSP	% of TSP	% of PM _{2.5}	[µg/t]
Mineral wool production	2.64	2.66	2.56	0.88	0.78	0.02	1.6

4.3.7.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made to mineral wool production (2A6) for this submission.

4.3.7.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.



4.4 Chemical Industry (NFR 2B)

4.4.1 Ammonia production (NFR 2B1)

Ammonia was produced amongst other fertilizers during the period 1990-2004. The associated emissions are marked as Included Elsewhere under 2B1 Ammonia Production and are included in the emissions reported under 2B10a other: Fertilizer Production. The emission estimation methodology associated with ammonia production is also described there.

4.4.2 Nitric acid production (NFR 2B2)

This activity does not occur in Iceland.

4.4.3 Adipic acid production (NFR 2B3)

This activity does not occur in Iceland.

4.4.4 Carbide production (NFR 2B5)

This activity does not occur in Iceland.

4.4.5 Titanium dioxide production (NFR 2B6)

This activity does not occur in Iceland.

4.4.6 Soda ash production (NFR 2B7)

This activity does not occur in Iceland. Emissions from the use of soda ash in the silica (diatomite) industry (NFR 2B10a; reported until 2004) are reported under that NFR code.

4.4.7 Chemical industry: Other (NFR 2B10a)

The only chemical industry that existed in Iceland was the production of fertilizer and silica/diatomite. The fertilizer production plant was closed down in 2001 and the diatomite production plant was closed down in 2004. This industry is not considered to be a source of POPs nor heavy metals.

4.4.7.1 Activity data

When the fertilizer production plant was operational it reported its emissions of NO_x and N₂O to the EA. At the diatomite production plant, silica containing sludge was burned to remove organic material. Emissions of CO₂ and NO_x were estimated on the basis of the C-content and N-content of the sludge provided by the operator. Activity data for both industries are presented in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Production data for 1990, 1995 and 2000 for fertilizer and silica production (in kt).

	1990	1995	2000	
Fertilizer production [kt]	63.73	58.52	41.54	Facility closed in 2001
Diatomite production, [kt]	26.11	28.14	27.61	Facility closed in 2004

4.4.7.2 Emission factors

For diatomite production, emissions of CO₂ and NO_x were estimated based on the C-content and N-content of the sludge provided by the operator. Average NO_x IEF for the period 1990-2004 was 15.6 t NO_x/kt Si production. Other emissions from soda ash use were not estimated and are considered to be small.

For the fertilizer production, the average IEF for NO_x for the period 1990-2001 was 0.296 t NO_x/kt fertilizer production. As there is no data readily available about the types of fertilizers produced at the time, no other pollutants were estimated for this industry.

4.4.7.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.4.7.4 *Planned improvements*

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.5 Metal Production (NFR 2C)

4.5.1 Iron and steel production (NFR 2C1)

From 2014 to 2016 a secondary steelmaking facility was operating. It produced steel from scrap iron and steel from the aluminium smelters. Carbonates and slags were added to the smelting process, which occurred in an electric arc furnace.

4.5.1.1 *Activity data*

Activity data used to estimate emissions from secondary steel production are total steel production, which is obtained from yearly Green Accounting reports submitted by the facility to the EA.

4.5.1.2 *Emission factors*

All emissions are calculated using Tier 2 emission factors for electric arc furnaces (Table 3.15, (EEA, 2016)), with the exception of HCB for which there is no Tier 2 estimate. In this case we used the Tier 1 emission factor, which is unrelated to technology.

Table 4.7 shows all emission factors used.

Table 4.7 Emission factors for secondary steel production.

	NO _x [kg/t]	NM VOC [kg/t]	SO ₂ [kg/t]	TSP [kg/t]	PM ₁₀ [kg/t]	PM _{2.5} [kg/t]	BC % of PM _{2.5}	CO [kg/t]
Secondary steel	0.13	0.046	0.06	0.03	0.024	0.021	0.36	1.7
	Dioxin [µg I- TEQ/t]	HCB [mg/t]	PCB [mg/t]					
Secondary steel	3	0.03	2.5					
	As [g/t]	Cd [g/t]	Cr [g/t]	Cu [g/t]	Hg [g/t]	Ni [g/t]	Pb [g/t]	Zn [g/t]
Secondary steel	0.015	0.2	0.1	0.02	0.05	0.7	2.6	3.6

4.5.1.3 *Recalculations and improvements*

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.5.1.4 *Planned improvements*

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.5.2 Ferroalloys production (NFR 2C2)

As of 2017, two factories were producing Ferroalloys in Iceland. Elkem Iceland (Elkem Ísland ehf.) has been producing FeSi75 since 1979, whereas United Silicon (Sameinað Sílikon hf.) started production of Silicon metal in November of 2016 and stopped production in September 2017, filing for bankruptcy in January 2018. Both operators are under the EU Emission Trading Scheme (as per Directive 2003/87/EC). In both factories, raw ore, carbon material and slag forming materials are mixed and heated to high temperatures for reduction and smelting. In the case of Elkem, electric (submerged) arc furnaces with consumable Soederberg electrodes are used. The furnaces are semi-covered.

Waste gases are cleaned via dry absorption units (bag-house filters). When the temperature inside the units gets too high, emergency bypass of the bag-house filters is induced. The operating permit



for the ferrosilicon plant contains provisions on the maximal duration of such incidences (in percent over the year).

4.5.2.1 Activity data

The consumption of reducing agents and electrodes are collected by the EA directly from the single operating ferroalloys production plant. Further information on total production is given. Activity data for raw materials and products and the resulting emissions are given in Table 4.8.

Table 4.8 Raw materials use (kt) and production (kt), ferrosilicon and silicon production.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Electrodes	3.83	3.88	5.73	6.00	4.79	5.27	5.42	6.03
Coking coal	45.12	52.38	73.20	86.87	96.10	115.10	123.56	129.82
Coke oven coke	24.92	30.14	46.63	42.59	30.26	30.85	24.67	24.59
Charcoal	NA	NA	NA	2.08	NA	NA	0.960	2.398
Wood	16.65	7.73	16.20	15.55	11.29	27.20	27.81	40.68
Limestone	NA	NA	0.469	1.621	0.497	2.19	2.38	1.69
Production (FeSi, Si)	62.79	71.41	108.70	110.96	102.21	117.95	125.69	121.40
Microsilica	14.02	15.94	22.70	25.84	18.12	22.18	21.30	21.83
Slag	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.23	NA

4.5.2.2 Emission factors

4.5.2.2.1 FeSi production:

In 2011, emissions of dioxin and PAH4 (BaP, BaF, BkF, IPy) were measured at the ferrosilicon plant. These measurements were used to obtain plant specific emission factors per tonne of production that were used for the whole time series. Emission factors for CO, NO_x and NMVOC were taken from Table 8.18 of the BREF document for the non-ferrous metals industries (Cusano, et al., 2017). In the case where a range was given, the highest value of the range was chosen. The emission factors are presented in Table 4.9. Sulphur emissions were calculated from S-content of the reducing agents for the time period 1990-2002 and were taken directly from Green Accounting reports submitted yearly by the factory since 2003.

Emissions of particulates for the period 1990-2011 are calculated by adding up the emissions from filtered exhaust and the amount of particulates that are released during emergency bypass of the exhaust. Emission factor for filtered exhaust is taken from Table 8.12 of the BREF document for Best Available Techniques for the non-ferrous metals industries (Cusano, et al., 2017). It is 5 mg/Nm³. This factor is then multiplied with the plant specific yearly amount of exhaust (in Nm³). To calculate the bypass emissions, first the total Microsilica, fine (collected and sold e.g. to cement producers) and coarse (cyclone dust) are added up and divided by the hours per year (8760 hrs.) to get Microsilica production rate per hour. This is known for all years since 2005. The production rate is then multiplied with the bypass time per furnace and the ratio of the FeSi production per furnace of the total FeSi production each year. The bypass rate is known since 2002 and taken from Green Accounts, submitted in accordance with Regulation No 851/2002. The bypass rate for previous years was calculated as the average of the years 2002 to 2006. Microsilica (fine and coarse) production rate and production per furnace were extrapolated for the years 1990 to 2001 based on total produced FeSi at the plant each year. Since 2012, TSP are obtained from the yearly Green Accounting report submitted to EA. The emission factor for BC is taken from the Norwegian IIR (Norwegian Environment Agency, 2016).

4.5.2.2.2 Si production:

Emission factors for Particulate Matter are Tier 1 default values as published in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. The NO_x emission factor is taken from the BREF document on non-ferrous minerals (Cusano, et al., 2017). SO₂ emissions are reported by the operator to the EA in the annual Green Accounting report. Emissions from the other pollutants are not estimated due to lack of available information in the EMEP/EEA Guidebooks and in the BREF document cited above.

All emission factors used for calculating emissions from FeSi and Si production are presented in the table below.

Table 4.9 Emission factors from Fe Si and Si production.

	NO_x [kg/t prod.]	NM VOC [kg/t prod.]	CO [kg/t prod.]	TSP [kg/t prod.]	PM₁₀ % of TSP	PM_{2.5} % of TSP
FeSi	11	0.045	2.5	2.4	95	95
Si	13	NE	NE	1	0.85	0.6
	BC % of PM _{2.5}	Dioxin [µg/t FeSi]	B(a)P [mg/t FeSi]	B(b)F [mg/t FeSi]	B(k)F [mg/t FeSi]	IPy [mg/t FeSi]
FeSi	0.23	0.114	2.79	102.22	29.68	9.39
Si	10	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE

Several heavy metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn) were measured in silicon dust in the ferrosilicon plant in 2014. These measurements were used in combination with the emitted TSP to calculate heavy metals emissions since 1990. Hg was found to be below detection (i.e. < 9 mg/kg silicon dust) in all samples. The heavy metal contents in silica dust are shown in Table 4.10.

Table 4.10 Heavy metal contents in silica dust in 2014 (mg metal / kg dust).

	As [mg/kg]	Cd [mg/kg]	Cr [mg/kg]	Cu [mg/kg]	Hg [mg/kg]	Pb [mg/kg]	Zn [mg/kg]
Content in silicon dust	11.8	0.46	8.8	10.8	< 9	8.7	25.2

4.5.2.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.5.2.4 Planned improvements

It is planned to revise the particulate matter estimates in future submissions, and to harmonise this reporting with the E-PRTR reports.

4.5.3 Primary aluminium production (NFR 2C3)

In 2017 aluminium was produced at three primary aluminium plants in Iceland. Best Available Technology (BAT) is used at all plants, i.e. closed prebake systems with point feeding of alumina, efficient process control, hoods covering the entire pot and efficient collection of air pollutants.

Primary aluminium production results in emissions of dioxins, PAH₄, NO_x, CO, particulate matter and SO₂. Emissions originate from the consumption of electrodes during the electrolysis process.

4.5.3.1 Activity data

The EA collects annual process specific data from the three operators through EU ETS and Green Accounting reports. The total production of the three aluminium plants is given in Table 4.11.

Table 4.11 Primary Aluminium production (kt).

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Primary Al production	87.8	100.2	226.4	272.5	818.9	857.3	847.9	882.45

4.5.3.2 Emission factors

In 2011 emissions of dioxin were measured at one of the aluminium plants. The same plant also measured PAH4 in 2002 and in 2011, and the average emission factors from these two measurements were calculated. The measurements were used to obtain plant specific emission factors per tonne of production that were used for the whole time series. Of the total pot gases 98.5 % are collected and cleaned via dry adsorption unit. Thus, 1.5% of the pot gases leak unfiltered to the atmosphere. Both dioxin and PAH4 are below detection limit in the cleaned gas. Emission factors are derived from the concentration of dioxin and PAH4 in the raw gas. They are presented in Table 4.12.

NO_x and CO were taken from Table 3.2 of (EEA, 2016). Particulate matter was calculated from information on particulates per tonne of produced aluminium that the aluminium plants report in their Green Accounting reports submitted to the EA. Ratios of TSP:PM₁₀:PM_{2.5} as well as the BC emission factor were also taken from the 2016 Guidebook. Emissions of SO₂ are estimated from S-content of alumina and electrodes for the time prior to reporting of SO₂ emission in the Green Accounts (2003-2013, depending on the company), and from SO₂ emission calculations reported in the Green accounts in the later years. All emission factors are presented in Table 4.12.

Table 4.12 Emission factors, primary aluminium production.

	Dioxin [µg/t Al]	PAH4 [mg/t Al]	B(a)P % of PAH4	B(b)F % of PAH4	B(k)F % of PAH4	IPy % of PAH4
Emission factors	0.0329	0.0189	13%	61%	18%	8%
	CO [kg/t Al]	NO _x [kg/t Al]	PM10 % of TSP	PM2.5 % of TSP	BC % of PM2.5	
Emission factors	120	1	78%	67%	2.3%	

4.5.3.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.5.3.4 Planned improvements

All emission factors used in Iceland are in the process of being compared with those used in other Nordic countries, as part of a Nordic cooperation project that was funded by KoL under the Nordic Council of Ministers, and took place between 2016 and 2018. As a result of the project, it will be reassessed some emission factors should be changed. Furthermore, work is underway to harmonise this reporting with the E-PRTR reports.

4.5.4 Secondary aluminium production (NFR 2C3)

Secondary aluminium production started in 2004 at Alur in Helguvík. In 2012, another facility, Kratus, opened next to the Norðurál smelter at Grundartangi. At the end of 2014, Alur was acquired by Kratus and all secondary aluminium production moved to Grundartangi; subsequently, Kratus changed again its name to Alur. The plant recycles scrap aluminium from the two primary aluminium plants in southwest of Iceland, by melting scrap metal in batches in a rotary kiln.

4.5.4.1 Activity data

All activity data, consisting of produced secondary aluminium, is obtained in Green Accounting reports submitted yearly to the EA.

Table 4.13 Secondary aluminium production (kt).

	2005	2010	2014	2015	2016	2017
Secondary Al production	2.25	2.04	2.42	2.20	2.10	2.92

4.5.4.2 Emission factors

Emissions of dioxin, HCB and PM are estimated. The dioxin emission factor comes from the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2013). The lowest value (0.5 µg/t aluminium) for secondary aluminium production was chosen as the plant only recycles scrap metal from primary aluminium plants and no coated aluminium, so organic compounds in the input material is minimum. Also, no chlorine is added in the process and further oxy-fuel burners are used. The HCB, TSP, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and BC emission factors are taken from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016). Measurements of dioxin at the plant in 2012, showed that the EF of 0.5 µg/t represents the plant well.

Table 4.14 Emission factors, secondary aluminium production.

	Dioxin [µg/t Al]	HCB [g/t Al]	TSP [kg/t]	PM ₁₀ [kg/t]	PM _{2.5} [kg/t]	BC % of PM _{2.5}
Emission factors	0.5	5	2	1.4	0.55	2.3

4.5.4.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.5.4.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6 Solvent and Product Use (NFR 2D)

Activities related to 2D Solvent and product use mostly generate NMVOC. When volatile chemicals are exposed to air, emissions are produced through evaporation of the chemicals. The use of solvents and other organic compounds in industrial processes and households is an important source of NMVOC evaporation. Emissions of other pollutants than NMVOC were only estimated from road paving with asphalt (2D3b - Dioxin, PM and BC), Domestic solvent use (2D3a - Hg) and other solvent use (Creosotes - 2D3i - PAH). In most cases where the emissions are reported as NE / Not estimated in the NFR tables, emission factors are marked as "Not estimated" in the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016). The categories Paint Application, Degreasing, and Other NMVOC emissions from printing and other product use have in common that their activity data consists of data about imported goods. This data was received from Statistics Iceland.

Emission factors for all subcategories of 2D3 are presented in Table 4.15 below. References and more details about individual emission factors are included in the respective under chapters.

Table 4.15 Emission factors for sector 2D3.

	unit	NMVOC [g/unit]	TSP [kg/unit]	PM ₁₀ [kg/unit]	PM _{2.5} [kg/unit]	BC [% of PM _{2.5}]
2D3a Domestic solvent use	head	1800	-	-	-	-
2D3b Road paving with asphalt	t asphalt	16	14	3	0.4	5.7%
2D3d Coating applications	kg paint	230	-	-	-	-
2D3e Degreasing	kg cleaning product	460	-	-	-	-
2D3f Dry cleaning	kg textile treated	177	-	-	-	-
2D3g Chemical products - paint manufacturing	kg product	11	-	-	-	-
2D3h Printing	kg ink	500	-	-	-	-
2D3i Creosotes	kg creosote	105	-	-	-	-



	unit	NMVOC [g/unit]	TSP [kg/unit]	PM ₁₀ [kg/unit]	PM _{2.5} [kg/unit]	BC [% of PM _{2.5}]
2D3i Organic solvent-borne preservatives	kg preservative	945	-	-	-	-
	unit	Dioxin [µg I- TEQ/unit]	BaP [mg/unit]	BbF [mg/unit]	BkF [mg/unit]	Ipy [mg/unit]
2D3a Domestic solvent use	head	-	-	-	-	-
2D3b Road paving with asphalt	t asphalt	0.007	-	-	-	-
2D3d Coating applications	kg paint	-	-	-	-	-
2D3e Degreasing	kg cleaning product	-	-	-	-	-
2D3f Dry cleaning	kg textile treated	-	-	-	-	-
2D3g Chemical products - paint manufacturing	kg product	-	-	-	-	-
2D3h Printing	kg ink	-	-	-	-	-
2D3i Creosotes	kg creosote	-	1.05	0.53	0.53	0.53
2D3i Organic solvent-borne preservatives	kg preservative	-	-	-	-	-
	unit	Hg [mg/unit]				
2D3a Domestic solvent use	head	5.6				
2D3b Road paving with asphalt	t asphalt	-				
2D3d Coating applications	kg paint	-				
2D3e Degreasing	kg cleaning product	-				
2D3f Dry cleaning	kg textile treated	-				
2D3g Chemical products - paint manufacturing	kg product	-				
2D3h Printing	kg ink	-				
2D3i Creosotes	kg creosote	-				
2D3i Organic solvent-borne preservatives	kg preservative	-				

4.6.1 Domestic solvent use including fungicides (NFR 2D3a)

Domestic solvent use is calculated using a default per capita value, as per Tier 1, Table 3.1 Chapter 2.D.3.a of the Guidebook (EEA, 2016).

4.6.1.1 Activity data

Activity data consists of the Icelandic population and is given by Statistics Iceland.

4.6.1.2 Emission factors

The emission factor for NMVOC for western Europe was used, or 1.8 kg NMVOC/capita (EEA, 2016). Hg was also estimated, using the default value of 5.6 mg/capita. Both emission factors come from Table 3.1, Chapter 2.D.3.a of the 2016 Guidebook (EEA, 2016).

4.6.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.1.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.2 Road paving with asphalt (NFR 2D3b)

Asphalt road surfaces are composed of compacted aggregate and asphalt binder. Gases are emitted from the asphalt plant itself, the road surfacing operations, and subsequently from the road surface.

4.6.2.1 Activity data

Information on the amount of asphalt produced comes from Statistics Iceland until 2011, and directly from the companies producing asphalt since 2012.

4.6.2.2 Emission factors

The emission factors for NMVOC and BC are taken from Table 3.1 in Chapter 2.D.3.b, Tier 1, in the Guidebook (EEA, 2016) Emissions factors for TSP are based on measurements from the second-largest asphalt production plant. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ emission factors are then calculated by using the same ratio to TSP as given in Table 3.1, chapter 2.D.3.b in the Guidebook (EEA, 2016). Emissions of SO₂, NO_x, and CO are expected to originate mainly from combustion and are therefore not estimated here but accounted for under sector 1A2g.

4.6.2.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.2.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.3 Coating applications (NFR 2D3d)

The emissions in this category stem from paint applications. Only NMVOC emissions are estimated; emissions from other pollutants are either considered minimal or non-existent.

4.6.3.1 Activity data

The EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016) provides emission factors based on amounts of paint applied. Data exists on imported paint since 1990 (Statistics Iceland) and on domestic production of paint since 1998 (Icelandic Recycling Fund, 2019). The total amount of solvent based paint is multiplied with the emission factor. For the time before 1998 no data exists about the amount of solvent based paint produced domestically. Therefore, the domestically produced paint amount of 1998, which happens to be the highest of the time period for which data exists, is used for the period from 1990-1997.

4.6.3.2 Emission factors

The Tier 1 emission factor from the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016) refers to all paints applied, e.g. waterborne, powder, high solid and solvent based paints. The existing data on produced and imported paints, however, makes it possible to narrow activity data down to conventional solvent based paints. Therefore, Tier 2 emission factors for conventional solvent based paints could be applied. The activity data does not allow for a distinction between decorative coating application for construction of buildings and domestic use of paints. Their NMVOC emission factors, however, are identical: 230 g/kg paint applied.

4.6.3.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.3.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.4 Degreasing & dry cleaning (NFR 2D3e & f)

Degreasing and dry cleaning only generate NMVOC emissions. Emissions related to degreasing were estimated by Tier 1, based on amounts of cleaning products used, and those related to dry cleaning

by Tier 2, based on the default amount of textile cleaned per capita. Since there is an overlap in chemicals used for these two activities, they are discussed in the same chapter.

4.6.4.1 *Activity data*

There is data on the amount of cleaning products imported provided by Statistics Iceland. Of the chemicals listed by the EMEP/EEA Guidebook, activity data is available for: methylene chloride (MC), tetrachloroethylene (PER), trichloroethylene (TRI) and xylenes (XYL). In Iceland, though, PER is mainly used for dry cleaning (expert judgement). In order to estimate emissions from degreasing more correctly without underestimating them, only half of the imported PER was allocated to degreasing. Emissions from dry cleaning are estimated without using data on solvents used (see below). The use of PER in dry cleaning, though, is implicitly contained in the method. In Iceland, xylenes are mainly used in paint production (expert judgement). Furthermore, only half of the imported xylenes were allocated to degreasing. Emissions from paint production are estimated without using data on solvents used but xylene use is implicitly contained in the method. In addition to the solvents mentioned above, 1,1,1,- trichloroethylene (TCA), now banned by the Montreal Protocol, is added for the time period during which it was imported and used. Another category included is paint and varnish removers.

Emissions from dry cleaning were calculated using the Tier 2 emission factor for open-circuit machines provided by the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016). Activity data for calculation of NMVOC emissions is the amount of textile treated annually, which is assumed to be 0.3 kg/head, default value from (EEA, 2016) and calculated using demographic data.

4.6.4.2 *Emission factors*

The amount of imported solvents for degreasing was multiplied with the NMVOC Tier 1 emission factor from EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016) for degreasing: 460 g/kg cleaning product.

The NMVOC emission factor for open-circuit machines is 177g/kg textile treated. Since all dry cleaning machines used in Iceland are conventional closed-circuit PER machines, the emission factor was reduced using the respective EMEP/EEA Guidebook reduction default value of 0.89.

4.6.4.3 *Recalculations and improvements*

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.4.4 *Planned improvements*

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.5 Chemical products (NFR 2D3g)

The only activity identified for the subcategory chemical products, manufacture and processing is manufacture of paints. NMVOC emissions from the manufacture of paints were calculated using Tier 2 of the Guidebook (EEA, 2016).

4.6.5.1 *Activity data*

The activity data consists of the amount of paint produced domestically as discussed above in chapter 4.7.2 Coating Applications.

4.6.5.2 *Emission factor*

NMVOC emissions from the manufacture of paints were calculated using the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016) Tier 2 emission factor of 11 g/kg product.

4.6.5.3 *Recalculations and improvements*

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.5.4 *Planned improvements*

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.6 Printing (NFR 2D3h)

4.6.6.1 *Activity data*

Import data on ink was received from Statistics Iceland.

4.6.6.2 *Emission factors*

NMVOE emissions for printing were calculated using the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016) Tier 1 emission factor of 500g/kg ink used.

4.6.6.3 *Recalculations and improvements*

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.6.4 *Planned improvements*

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.7 Other product use (NFR 2D3i)

Wood is preserved to protect it against fungal and insect attack and also against weathering. There are three main types of preservative: creosote, organic solvent-based (often referred to as 'light organic solvent-based preservatives' (LOSP)) and water borne. Creosote is oil prepared from coal tar distillation. Creosote contains a high proportion of aromatic compounds such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). In Iceland, creosotes were used from 1990 to 2010, and have been banned since 2011. Other wood preservation substances used in Iceland are organic solvent-borne preservatives.

4.6.7.1 *Activity data*

Activity data consists of annual import of creosotes and organic solvent-borne preservatives, and the assumption that all these products are applied during the year of import. Import data on both wood preservatives was received from Statistics Iceland.

4.6.7.2 *Emission factors*

Emission factors for PAH are taken from chapter 2.D.3.i, 2.G of the Emission Inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2016). They are 1.05 mg BaP per kilogramme of creosote, 0.53 mg per kilogramme creosote of the other 3 PAH: BbF, BkF and IPy. NMVOE emissions from wood preservation were calculated using the EMEP/EEA Guidebook Tier 2 emission factors for creosote preservative type (105 g/kg creosote) and organic solvent borne preservative (945 g/kg preservative).

4.6.7.3 *Recalculations and improvements*

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.7.4 *Planned improvements*

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

4.6.8 Other solvent and product use (NFR 2G)

The two emission sources estimated in this category are use of tobacco and fireworks.

Tobacco smoking is a minor source of dioxins, PAH and other pollutants including heavy metals, whereas fireworks are the most significant source of heavy metals in the industrial processes sector.

4.6.8.1 *Activity data*

Activity data consist of all smoking tobacco and all fireworks imported and are provided by Statistics Iceland. Fireworks import data could only be obtained for the period 1995-2016, and for the period 1990-1994 emissions were calculated assuming the same activity data as in 1995.

4.6.8.2 Emission factors

For tobacco use, emission factors for NO_x, CO, NH₃, TSP, PM, BC, NMVOC, dioxin and PAH4 were taken from Table 3-14 in Chapter 2.D.3.i, 2.G in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016). Emission factors for heavy metals are taken from the Danish IIR (Nielsen, et al., 2016), which uses emission factors derived from burning of wood.

For firework use, emission factors for SO₂, CO, NO_x, TSP, PM and heavy metals were taken from Table 3-13 in Chapter 2.D.3.i, 2.G of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016). It should be noted that the heavy metal emission factors presented in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (2016), in particular that for Pb, might not represent the legislation currently in place, which generally bans lead (Pb) in fireworks. For lack of a better emission factor value Iceland estimates the Pb emissions using the available default value, however this might represent a substantial overestimation of Pb emissions from fireworks. All emission factors are presented in Table 4.16.

Table 4.16 Emission factors for use of tobacco and of fireworks, per mass unit of imported goods

	NO _x [kg/t]	NMVOC [kg/t]	SO ₂ [kg/t]	NH ₃ [kg/t]	TSP [kg/t]	PM ₁₀ [kg/t]	PM _{2.5} [kg/t]	BC % of PM _{2.5}	CO [kg/t]
Tobacco	1.8	4.84	NE	4.15	27	27	27	0.45	55.1
Fireworks	0.26	NA	3.02	NE	109.83	99.92	51.94	-	7.150

	Dioxin [ng I-TEQ/t]	B(a)P [g/t]	B(b)F [g/t]	B(k)F [g/t]	IPy [g/t]
Tobacco	100	0.111	0.045	0.045	0.045
Fireworks	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE

	As [g/t]	Cd [g/t]	Cr [g/t]	Cu [g/t]	Hg [g/t]	Ni [g/t]	Pb [g/t]	Se [g/t]	Zn [g/t]
Tobacco	0.159	0.02	0.152	0.35	0.01	0.03	0.64	0.01	1.61
Fireworks	1.33	1.48	15.6	444	0.057	30	764	NE	260

4.6.8.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.6.8.4 Planned improvements

Heavy metal emission factor for firework use will be reassessed and revised where necessary.

4.7 Food & Beverages Industry (NFR 2H2)

The only other industry production occurring in Iceland is the food and beverages industry. The only pollutant emitted in this industry is NMVOC.

4.7.1.1 Activity data

Production statistics were obtained by Statistics Iceland for beer, fish, meat and poultry for the whole time series. Statistics for coffee roasting and animal feed were available for the years 2005 to 2017. Production statistics were extrapolated for the years 1990 to 2004. Further production of bread, cakes and biscuits was estimated from consumption figures.



4.7.1.2 Emission factors

Emission factor for NMVOC were taken from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016), and are presented in Table 4.17.

Table 4.17 NMVOC emission factors for the production of various food and beverage products

	NMVOC kg/t produced
Meat, fish and poultry	0.3
Cakes, biscuits and breakfast cereals	1
Beer and malt	0.035
Bread (European)	4.5
Coffee roasting	0.55
Animal feed	1

4.7.1.3 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were made for this submission.

4.7.1.4 Planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned for this subsector.

5 Agriculture (NFR sector 3)

5.1 Overview

Iceland is self-sufficient in all major livestock products, such as meat, milk, and eggs. Traditional livestock production is grassland based and most farm animals are native breeds, i.e. dairy cattle, sheep, horses, and goats, which are all of an ancient Nordic origin, one breed for each species. These animals are generally smaller than the breeds common elsewhere in Europe. Beef production, however, is partly through imported breeds, as is most poultry and all pork production. There is not much arable crop production in Iceland, due to a cold climate and short growing season. Cropland in Iceland consists mainly of cultivated hayfields, but barley and rapeseed are grown on limited acreage.

Emission estimates from the agriculture sector include emission estimates from the following sources:

- [Manure Management \(NFR 3B\)](#)
- [Crop Production & Agricultural Soils \(NFR 3D\)](#)
- [Agriculture Other Including Use of Pesticides \(NFR 3Df and 3I\)](#)

Each of these sources are described in more detail in sections 5.3 to 5.5.

Ammonia, nitric oxide, NMVOC and particulate matter emissions are estimated for animal husbandry and manure management (3B) as well as crop production and agricultural soils (3D).

The main pollutant emitted from the agriculture sector is ammonia (NH₃) and the largest source is manure management. Dioxin, PAH, HCB, PCB and Heavy Metals emissions are not applicable, not occurring or not estimated. Summary tables for the emissions from the agriculture sector are shown below in Table 5.1 and Table 5.2.

5.1.1 Sectoral trends – POPs

Emissions of POPs from the agriculture sector are either not occurring or not applicable as seen in table 5.1 below.

Table 5.1 Dioxin, PAH4, HCB and PCB emissions from the agriculture sector, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NO - Not occurring).

	Dioxin [g I-TEQ]	B(a)P [t]	B(b)F [t]	B(k)F [t]	IPy [t]	PAH4 [t]	HCB [kg]	PCB [kg]
3B Manure management	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO
3D Crop production and agricultural soils	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Field burning of agricultural wastes and 3F, 3I agriculture other sectors	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Agriculture, Total	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO	NA/NO

5.1.2 Sectoral trends – other pollutants

Ammonia, nitric oxide (expressed as NO_x), NMVOC and particulate matter emissions are estimated for animal husbandry and manure management (3B) as well as crop production and agricultural soils (3D). The estimated emissions are presented below in Table 5.2.



Table 5.2 NO_x, NMVOC, SO_x, NH₃, PM, BC and CO emission estimates from the agriculture sector, 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated, NO - Not occurring).

	NO _x [kt] NO ₂	NMVOC [kt]	SO _x [kt] SO ₂	NH ₃ [kt]	PM _{2.5} [kt]	PM ₁₀ [kt]	TSP [kt]	BC [kt]	CO [kt]
3B Manure management	0.04	1.94	NA/NO	2.41	0.03	0.12	0.18	NA/NO	NA/NO
3D Crop production and agricultural soils	1.75	8.E-08	NA/NE	2.81	0.003	0.07	0.07	NR/NO	NA/NE
3F, 3I Field burning of agricultural wastes and Agriculture other sectors	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Agriculture, Total	1.79	1.94	NA/NE/NO	5.23	0.04	0.19	0.25	NA/NR/NO	NA/NE/NO

Emission trends of estimated pollutants from 1990 - 2017 can be seen in Figures 5.1 -5.4.



Figure 5.1 NO_x emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.

Trends in NO_x emissions from agriculture can be seen in Figure 5.1. NO_x emissions are predominantly from 3D crop production and agricultural soils with peaks in emissions in 2008 and 2014.




Figure 5.2 NMVOC emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.

Trends in NMVOC emissions from agriculture can be seen in Figure 5.2. NMVOC emissions mainly arise from manure management. A significant reduction in emissions occurred between 2001-2003, which was mainly caused by a drop in the population of dairy cows.




Figure 5.3 NH₃ emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.

Trends in NH₃ emissions from agriculture can be seen in Figure 5.3. The trend in NH₃ emissions is relatively steady which is driven by relatively constant sheep livestock numbers.



Figure 5.4 PM_{2.5} emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.




Figure 5.5 PM₁₀ emissions in the agriculture sector, 1990-2017.

Figure 5.4 and Figure 5.5 show a steady increase in particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) emissions from the agriculture sector. The trend is driven by increased emissions from manure management, which are mainly due to a growing poultry population.

According to the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook, heavy metal emissions in the agriculture sector only arise from the burning of crop residues. Since this activity does not occur in Iceland, there are no heavy metal emissions from the agriculture sector.

5.2 General Methodology

The methodology is based on chapters 3B and 3D of the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2016). All equations as well as the majority of emission factors and other parameters stem from the Guidebook chapters correspondingly. For brevity the Guidebook is referred to as the EMEP GB. Equations and parameters are not listed here, reference is made to the information in the EMEP GB instead.

Ammonia, nitric oxide, TSP, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions are estimated with Tier 2 methods. In the absence of higher tiers for 3D, NO and NMVOC emissions are estimated with Tier 1 e.g. horses in solid storage.

For estimating emissions of NH₃ and NO_x in 3B manure management, the flow approach is used as outlined in the EMEP GB. This considers the flow of total ammoniacal N (TAN) through the manure management system. In the EMEP GB this flow is modelled by a series of equations that considers the amount of TAN and losses at all different stages of the manure management process. The set of equations provided by the EMEP GB was applied to more disaggregated livestock categories than the NFR methodology demands (e.g. mature ewes, rams, animals for replacement, and lambs instead of just sheep). The resulting emissions were then aggregated to the respective NFR categories.

NH₃ and NO_x emissions from grazing animals are part of this N flow approach and are therefore calculated in this context, although they are reported under agricultural soils (3D). Similarly, the manure that is available as organic fertilizer for application to land is determined from the N flow approach and is used as an input term in estimating the NH₃ and NO_x. Activity data, emission factors and other parameters used in these calculations will be discussed in the following chapters.

The Tier 2 methodology for PM emissions consists of the multiplication of livestock populations with default emission factors for slurry and solid manure applied to the time animals spent in housing.

5.3 Manure Management (NFR 3B)

5.3.1 Activity data

All emission estimates in 3B depend on annual average populations (AAP) of livestock categories. Data on livestock population comes from a census conducted by the Icelandic Food and Veterinary Authority (IFVA). Since this data represents livestock populations at a certain point in time (during winter) it does not reflect their seasonal changes, e.g. animals with a life spanning only one summer. Also, for some livestock categories, it does not include data on young animals, e.g. fattening pigs. Therefore, the number of animals not included in the census is estimated using information on fertility rates, number of offspring, number of animals slaughtered, etc. When calculating the AAP of livestock categories, the amount of livestock with a lifespan of less than one year is weighted with its respective lifespan, e.g. a 6 month lifespan equals a factor of 0.5. The inclusion of young animals leads to livestock populations being considerably higher for some categories than the ones published by the IFVA¹⁰. For the complete methodology of calculating the AAP please refer to Iceland's National Inventory Report on Greenhouse Gas Emissions (EAI, 2018).

For this submission, livestock population numbers were updated for the whole time series (1990-2017). This was done due to changed reporting at the IFVA, which no longer publishes final livestock numbers for each year. Therefore, the currently used data was pulled directly from their livestock

¹⁰ <http://mast.is/default.aspx?pageid=647aa097-b558-452c-99de-8994d03bf7c7>

database (www.bustofn.is) where all the livestock census reports from farmers are stored. The current data should, therefore, be the most accurate data available.

Table 5.3 shows the AAP of Icelandic livestock categories for selected years since 1990. The most prominent trends in the development of livestock populations since 1990 are a decrease in the dairy cattle and sheep populations and an increase in non-dairy, swine and poultry population.

Table 5.3 Annual average population of livestock according to NFR categorization in Iceland for 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2014	2015	2016	2017
3B1a Dairy cattle	32.249	30.428	27.066	24.488	25.379	26.159	27.441	26.354	26.742
3B1b Non-dairy cattle	42.654	42.771	45.078	41.482	47.130	48.285	51.335	53.691	54.153
3B2 Sheep	860.988	719.530	729.387	710.953	744.286	758.794	739.754	745.549	719.120
3B3 Swine	29.768	30.746	32.242	39.350	38.032	36.210	42.542	42.511	43.221
3B4a Buffalo	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
3B4d Goats	485	511	548	657	1.015	1.444	1.476	1.735	1.899
3B4e Horses	73.867	80.246	75.630	76.629	78.849	75.450	75.450	75.450	73.837
3B4f Mules and asses	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
3B4gi Laying hens	214.975	164.402	193.097	152.217	144.429	219.155	119.811	196.216	213.373
3B4gii Broilers	454.305	188.812	338.756	613.643	485.829	544.971	586.256	550.889	613.177
3B4giii Turkeys	0	3.044	10.908	8.146	8.196	10.466	11.810	8.720	8.277
3B4giv Other poultry	5.277	5.270	2.498	1.772	1.347	1.205	1.057	1.316	869
3B4h Other (fur animals)	49.592	37.893	41.431	37.093	39.904	51.791	48.038	38.773	34.445

5.3.2 Emission factors & associated parameters

NH₃ and NO Tier 2 emissions depend on the total amounts of N and TAN in manure. Total N is calculated by multiplying livestock AAP with the nitrogen excretion rate per animal. TAN is calculated by multiplying total N with livestock specific TAN fractions provided in the EMEP GB. The nitrogen excretion (NEX) rate per livestock category is calculated using default values from p. 10.58 of vol. 4-2 of the 2006 IPCC guidelines (IPCC, 2006) that take animal weight and therefore the smaller size of Icelandic breeds into account. The NEX for dairy cattle is country specific (Ketilsdóttir & Sveinsson, 2010). Total N and TAN have to be allocated to either slurry or solid manure management. Fractions for slurry and solid manure management are country specific and identical to the ones used in Iceland's National Inventory Report (EAI, 2018). The same is valid for the fractions of the year spent inside. Two more parameters used in the calculation of TAN mass flow are the amount of hay used in animal housing and the amount of N contained in it (only for solid manure management). These amounts (for sheep, goats, and horses) are based on EMEP GB default data of hay used per day adjusted for the time periods animals stay inside. The above-mentioned parameters are summarized in Table 5.4. All manure is assumed to be stored before spreading. Emission factors for animal manure either managed as slurry or solid manure during housing, storage, spreading, and grazing are given as shares of TAN by livestock category in the EMEP GB. In the absence of default values for sheep slurry, EMEP GB default values for cattle were used instead.

Table 5.4. Parameters used in calculation of NH₃ and NO emissions of manure management.

Livestock sector (NFR)	Mean NEX [kg head-1 yr-1]	Prop. TAN(of N)	Fraction slurry	Fraction solid	Housing period [days]	Straw [kg/yr]	NMVOC [kg head-1 yr-1]
3B1a Dairy cattle	90.1 (72-95) ¹	0.6	1	0	265		
3B1b Non-dairy cattle	39.9 (15-60) ²	0.6	1	0	322		
3B2 Sheep	16.8 (7-30) ³	0.5	0.35	0.65	128	133	0.53
3B3 Swine -fattening pigs	7.6	0.7	1	0	365		
3B3 Swine -Sows	23	0.7	1	0	365		
3B4d Goats	20.3	0.5	0	1	201	134	0.54
3B4e Horses	19.3 (6-36) ⁴	0.6	0	1	51	140	0.58
3B4gi Laying hens	1.4	0.7	0	1	365		
3B4gii Broilers	1.6	0.7	0	1	365		
3B4giii Turkeys	1.4	0.7	0	1	365		
3B4giv Other poultry	0.8 (0.2-1.2) ⁵	0.7	0	1	365		
3B4h Other (fur animals)	8.3 (5-12) ⁶	0.6	0	1	365		

¹ Range for time period due to increase in milk production; ² Range given for subcategories (cows and steers used for producing meat, heifers, and young cattle); ³ Range given for subcategories (ewes, rams, animals for replacement, and lambs); ⁴ Range given for subcategories (mature horses, young horses, and foals); ⁵ Range given for subcategories (ducks and geese); ⁶ Range given for subcategories (foxes, minks, and rabbits).

Tier 2 calculations of particulate matter emissions are based on information on the amount of time livestock spends in housing and the fractions of manure either managed as slurry or as solid manure (see Table 5.4 above). The majority of laying hens in Iceland is kept in cages.

5.3.3 Emissions

NH₃ emissions reported under 3B manure management exclude emissions from manure deposited on fields by grazing animals, which are reported under 3D agricultural soils. Total ammonia (NH₃) emissions from manure management have decreased slightly, from 2.64 kt. in 1990 to 2.41 kt. in 2017. This decrease is mostly due to decreasing emissions from sheep, although it is mostly offset by increasing emissions. Sheep account for roughly half of total NH₃ emissions and cattle for approximately a third. Around a third of emissions occur during livestock housing, a quarter during manure storage and 2/5 after spreading of manure. The described trends and fractions can be seen in Figure 5.6.




Figure 5.6 Ammonia (NH₃) emissions from animal husbandry and manure management in tonnes.

Nitric oxide emissions, in contrast to ammonia emissions, occur only during storage. They have been decreasing from 57 tonnes in 1990 to 44 tonnes in 2017, or by roughly 22%. This decrease is mainly due to the decrease in sheep population already mentioned above. NO emissions from sheep constitute 70% of total NO emissions from livestock. NO emissions from poultry amount to 17% of total NO emissions. Other livestock categories with considerable shares are fur animals and horses. Cattle and swine emissions constitute negligible amounts due to the fact that their manure is stored as slurry, which gives rise to considerably lower emissions than solid manure management systems.

NMVOC emissions in 1990 were 1.98 kt. for manure management and have decreased slightly since then and are now 1.94 kt. The largest source of NMVOC emissions are cattle 49%, horses 30% and sheep 10%.

PM₁₀ emissions increased from 107 tonnes in 1990 to 122 tonnes in 2017 (14%). Emissions were highest in 2007 at 126 tonnes. Both the general increasing trend since 1990 and the decrease since 2007 are almost exclusively due to variations in the broiler population, which quintupled between 1996 and 2007. Other livestock categories that emit substantial shares of total PM₁₀ emissions from animal husbandry (besides broilers, which emitted on average around 30% of total PM₁₀ emissions between 1990 and 2017) are laying hens, dairy cattle, swine and sheep (each around 7- 20%).

Total PM_{2.5} emissions varied between 28 and 34 tonnes (highest in 2017) from 1990 to 2017 and showed no clear trend. In the latest year, emissions from cattle constituted 46% of total emissions and emissions from broilers and laying hens each amounted to approximately 15% of total emissions.

TSP emissions have been slightly increasing from 171 t. in 1990 to 185 t. in 2017, the increase is mostly due to poultry and swine.

5.3.4 Recalculations and improvements

Livestock population numbers were updated for the whole time series (1990-2017). This was done due to changed reporting at the IFVA, which no longer publishes final livestock numbers for each year. Therefore, the currently used data was pulled directly from their livestock database

(www.bustofn.is) where all the livestock census reports from farmers are stored. The current data should, therefore, be the most accurate data available.

5.3.5 Planned Improvements

More information on activity data regarding the NFR 3B will be included in future submissions, in line with the 2016 CEIP in country review recommendations.

5.4 Crop Production & Agricultural Soils (NFR 3D)

5.4.1 Activity data

Activity data for NH₃, NO and NMVOC emissions consists of the amount of fertilizer nitrogen applied to agricultural soils. For NH₃ this amount is divided into type of fertilizer N. The total amount of N in fertilizer is provided in the annual reports of the IFVA

(<http://mast.is/matvaelastofnun/utgafa/skyrslur/#arsskyrslur>). No data exists that provides information on the types of N fertilizer. However, it is known that

- N in fertilizer applied in Iceland is mainly contained in calcium ammonium nitrate
- the two other fertilizer types of importance are ammonium nitrate and other NK
- less than one per cent of nitrogen is contained in urea (Bjarnason, written communication)

Calcium ammonium nitrate, ammonium nitrate and other NK have identical EF. Therefore, their share of total fertilizer was set to 99%. Urea has a considerably higher EF. Its share was set to one per cent.

Activity data for particulate matter emissions consists of the areas of crops cultivated. The total amount of cropland is recorded in the Icelandic geographic land use database (IGLUD), which is maintained by the Agricultural University of Iceland. Data regarding the area of barley fields comes from the Farmers Association of Iceland¹¹ and Bragason (written communication). The area of grass fields is calculated by subtracting the area of barley fields from the total cropland area. Barley fields are cultivated and harvested once a year and the produce is cleaned and dried. Grass fields are cultivated about once every 10 years and hay is cut twice per year on average (Brynjólfsson, written communication).

5.4.2 Emission factors

NH₃ emission factors were taken from Table 3.2 in the EMEP GB 2016 (p.17). These emission factors depend on the mean spring air temperature, i.e. the mean temperature of the three month period following the day when accumulated day degrees since 1 January have reached 400 °C. According to this definition the mean spring temperature in Iceland is about 9 °C.

NO and NMVOC emission factors were taken from Table 3.1 and Table 3.3 of the EMEP GB 2016 (p.14 & p.18) and were 0.04 and 0.86 kg/ha fertilizer applied, respectively.

PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emission factors for barley and grass were taken from Tables 3.7 and 3.8 of the EMEP GB 2016 (p.20).

Emissions

Total NH₃ emissions for crop production and agricultural soils varied between 2.7 and 3 kilotonnes between 1990 and 2017. In 2017 65% of emissions originate from animal manure applied to soils,

¹¹ <http://bondi.lbhi.is/lisalib/getfile.aspx?itemid=2211>

29% originate from manure deposited by livestock during grazing and 6% from inorganic N-fertilizers. Total emissions do not show any discernible trend over time, primarily because the size of (and thus emissions from) the sheep population decreases with time, while the horse population increases. N fertilizer application was highest in 2008 but a weakening of the Icelandic currency has made the import of fertilizer more expensive and thus lead to diminishing application.

The emission development of NO and NMVOC are linearly dependent on the application of fertilizer and therefore show the same development with a peak in 2008 at 1.88 kilotonnes and a decline since then. In 2017 NO emissions amounted to 1.75 kilotonnes and NMVOC emissions from crop production and agricultural soils were 78 grams.

PM₁₀ emissions decreased due to the decrease in total cropland from 78 tonnes in 1990 to 67 tonnes in 2017. It is estimated that PM_{2.5} emissions have dropped from 3.2 tonnes in 1990 to 3.0 tonnes in 2017. The drop in PM_{2.5} less than in PM₁₀ due to a significant increase in the barley cultivation.

5.4.3 Recalculations and improvements

For the 2018 submission, information on Nitrogen fertilizers used in forestry in 2016 was not available and the same amount as that used in 2015 was used. For this submission, updated data was available for 2016, and this caused an increase of 0.04 kt NH₃ emissions under 3Da1 for the year 2016. No other years were affected by this change.

5.4.4 Planned Improvements

More information on activity data regarding the NFR 3D will be included in future submissions, in line with the 2016 CEIP review recommendations.

5.5 Agriculture Other Including Use of Pesticides (NFR 3Df and 3I)

The POP-protocol focuses on a list of 16 substances, 11 of which are pesticides. A number of pesticides, however, had already been banned in Iceland in 1996 in order to conform to EU legislation (Iceland is part of the European Economic Area). The only pesticide of the ones listed in chapter 3Df of the EMEP GB not banned until 2009 is lindane. The last recorded sale of lindane took place in 1992 when 1 kg was sold. In 1990 and 1991, 2 and 16.2 kg were sold, respectively. It is assumed that the lindane sold was applied during the same year. An EF of 0.5 as listed in Table 3.1 of the chapter 3Df of the EMEP GB (p. 5) was applied to these values resulting in HCH emissions of 1, 8, 1, and 0.5 kg for the years 1990-1992. Table 5.5 gives an overview of the use of pesticides in Iceland.

Table 5.5 Pesticide use and regulation in Iceland.

Pesticide	Last recorded use	Year of ban
Aldrin	1975	1996
Chlordane	No recorded use	1996
DDT	1975	1996
Dieldrin	No recorded use	1996
Endrin	No recorded use	1996
Heptachlor	1975	1996
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	No recorded use	1996
Mirex	No recorded use	1998
Toxaphene	No recorded use	1998
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	No recorded use	1998
Lindane	1992	2009

6 Waste (NFR sector 5)

6.1 Overview

During most of the 20th century solid waste disposal sites (SWDS) in Iceland were numerous, small and located close to the locations of waste generation so that the waste did not have to be transported far for disposal. In 1967 the waste disposal site in Gufunes was set into operation and most of the waste of the capital's population landfilled there. Prior to that year, the waste of the capital area was landfilled in smaller SWDS.

Until the 1970s the most common form of waste management outside the capital area was open burning of waste. In some communities, waste burning was complemented with landfills for bulky waste and ash. The existing landfill sites did not have to meet specific requirements regarding location, management and aftercare before 1990 and were often just holes in the ground. Some communities also disposed of their waste by dumping it into the sea. Akureyri and Selfoss, two of the biggest communities outside the capital area opened municipal SWDS in the 1970s and 1980s.

Before 1990 three waste incinerators were opened in Keflavík, Húsavík and Ísafjörður. In total they burned around 15,000 tonnes of waste annually. They operated at low or varying temperatures and the energy produced was not recovered. Waste incineration in Iceland as such started in 1993 with the opening of the incineration plant in Vestmannaeyjar, an archipelago to the south of Iceland. In 2004 the incineration plant Kalka located at the southwest part of Iceland opened and this facility is currently the only waste incineration plant in Iceland. Open burning of waste was banned in 1999. The last place to burn waste openly was the island of Grímsey which stopped doing so by end of 2010.

Recycling and biological treatment of waste started on a larger scale in the beginning of the 1990s. Their share of total waste management increased rapidly since then.

Reliable data about waste composition does not exist until recent years. In 1991 the waste management company Sorpa Ltd. started serving the capital area and has gathered data about waste composition of landfilled waste since 1999. For the last few years the waste sector has had to report data about amounts and kinds of waste landfilled, incinerated, and recycled.

The special treatment of hazardous waste did not start until the 1990s, i.e. hazardous waste was landfilled or burned like non-hazardous waste. Special treatment started with the reusing of waste as energy source. In 1996 the Hazardous waste committee (Spilliefnanefnd) was founded and started a collection scheme for hazardous waste. The collection scheme included fees on hazardous substances that were refunded if the substances were delivered to hazardous waste collection points. Hazardous substances collected included oil products, organic solvents, halogenated compounds, isocyanates, oil-based paints, printer ink, batteries, car batteries, preservatives, refrigerants, and more. After collection, these substances were destroyed, recycled or exported for further treatment. The Hazardous waste committee was succeeded by the Icelandic recycling fund in late 2002.

Clinical waste has been incinerated in incinerators either at hospitals or at waste incineration plants. Kalka is currently the only incineration plant in Iceland.

The trend in waste management practices has been toward managed SWDS as municipalities have increasingly cooperated with each other on running waste collection schemes and operating joint landfill sites. This development has resulted in larger SWDS and enabled the shutdown of a number

of small sites. Currently a large majority of landfilled waste is being disposed of in managed SWDS. Recycling of waste has increased due to efforts made by the government, local municipalities, recovery companies, and others. Composting started in the mid-1990s and has increased since then.

Emission estimates from the waste sector include emission estimates from the following sources:

- [Solid waste disposal on land \(NFR 5A\)](#)
- [Biological treatment of solid waste \(NFR 5B\)](#)
- [Waste incineration without energy recovery \(NFR 5C\)](#)
- [Wastewater treatment and discharge \(NFR 5D\)](#)
- [Other waste \(NFR 5E\)](#)

Each of these sources are described in more details in sections 6.3 to 6.7. Emissions estimates for waste incineration without energy recovery is included in this section, while emission estimates for waste incineration with energy recovery are reported under sector 1A.

6.1.1 Sectoral trends – POP's

A summary of emission estimates for the waste sector is provided in Table 6.1 for POP's pollutants.

Table 6.1 Overview of POPs emissions in 2017 (NA – Not applicable, NE – Not estimated).

	Dioxin [g I-TEQ]	B(a)P [t]	B(b)F [t]	B(k)F [t]	IPy [t]	PAH4 [t]	HCB [kg]	PCB [kg]
5A Solid waste disposal on land	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5B1 Composting	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5C Waste incineration	0.108	0.004	0.008	0.010	1.E-07	0.022	0.060	0.070
5D Wastewater handling	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE
5E Other waste	0.099	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.015	NE	NE
Waste, Total	0.208	0.006	0.013	0.014	0.003	0.037	0.060	0.070

Trends in POP's emission estimates are shown in Figure 6.1 through Figure 6.4 by subsector.




Figure 6.1 Dioxin emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.




Figure 6.2 PAH4 emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.




Figure 6.3 HCB emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.




Figure 6.4 PCB emissions from the waste sector, since 1990.

The sudden increase in HCB and PCB is misleading since HCB and PCB emissions have not been estimated for open burning of waste. The main reason for this is that 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook does not provide emission factors for the estimation of HCB and PCB emissions for open burning. In 2004 the incineration plant Kalka was opened which moved management practices away from open burning and more into incineration. The 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook does provide emissions factor for incineration, which is why there is a big change in estimations of HCB and PCB between 2003-2004.

6.1.2 Sectoral trends – Other emissions

A summary of emission estimates for other pollutants than POP's is provided in Table 6.2 and Table 6.3 for the year 2016.

Table 6.2 Overview of NO_x, NMVOC, SO_x, NH₃, PM and CO emissions from the waste sector in 2017.

	NO _x [kt] NO ₂	NMVOC [kt]	SO _x [kt] SO ₂	NH ₃ [kt]	PM _{2.5} [kt]	PM ₁₀ [kt]	TSP [kt]	BC [kt]	CO [kt]
5A Solid waste disposal on land	NA	0.32	NA	NE	6.9E-06	4.6E-05	9.6E-05	NA	NA
5B1 Composting	NE	NE	NE	0.01	NR	NR	NR	NR	1.2E-02
5C Waste incineration	0.029	0.012	0.022	4.E-05	0.116	0.170	0.229	0.007	0.105
5D Wastewater handling	NA	NE	NA	NE	NR	NR	NR	NR	NE
5E Other waste	8.1E-04	0.004	0.009	NA	0.007	0.007	0.007	NR	0.016
Waste, Total	0.030	0.341	0.031	0.005	0.123	0.178	0.236	0.007	0.133

Table 6.3 Overview of heavy metals emissions from the waste sector in 2017.

	Pb [t]	Cd [t]	Hg [t]	As [t]	Cr [t]	Cu [t]	Ni [t]	Se [t]	Zn [t]
5A Solid waste disposal on land	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5B1 Composting	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
5C Waste incineration	1.25	0.042	0.052	0.026	0.0031	0.0056	0.0021	3.E-04	0.044
5D Wastewater handling	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
5E Other waste	0.114	2.E-04	1.E-05	5.E-05	5.E-04	4.E-03	4.E-04	NR	0,32
Waste, Total	1.36	0.043	0.052	0.026	0.0037	0.009	0.0025	3.E-04	0.49

6.2 General Methodology

The methodology is mainly based on EMEP air pollutant emission inventory Guidebook (EMEP, 2016). Emissions estimates are calculated by multiplying relevant activity data by source with pollutant specific emissions factors. Emissions factors are taken from Emissions Inventory Guidebook (EEA, 2016), the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005), Annual Danish Informative Inventory Report to the UNECE (National Environmental Research Institute, 2011) and measurements at incineration plants.

The activity data used in for the emission estimates is mainly based on treated waste in Iceland which is reported annually to the EA. This follows an exclusion of waste being treated outside of Iceland and its associated emissions. In addition to data on treated waste in Iceland, activity data for accidental fires, cremation and bonfires is used for estimating emissions from these sources.

6.3 Solid waste disposal (NFR 5A)

For most of the 20th century solid waste disposal sites (SWDS) in Iceland were numerous, small and located close to the locations of waste generation so that the waste did not have to be transported far for disposal. In 1967 the waste disposal site in Gufunes was set into operation and most of the waste of the capital's population landfilled there. Prior to that year, the waste of the capital area was landfilled in smaller SWDS.

The trend in waste management practices has been toward managed SWDS as municipalities have increasingly cooperated with each other on running waste collection schemes and operating joint landfill sites. This development has resulted in larger SWDS and enabled the shutdown of a number

of small sites. Currently a large majority of landfilled waste is being disposed of in managed SWDS. Recycling of waste has increased due to efforts made by the government, local municipalities, recovery companies, and others. Composting started in the mid-1990s and has increased since then.

6.3.1 Methodology

Tier 1 approach of the 2016 EMEP/EEA guidelines is used for the emission estimates for all estimated pollutants. Thus, the total mass of waste disposed of in all landfill sites in Iceland is multiplied with its pollutant specific emission factor.

6.3.2 Activity data

Total mass of waste landfilled in Iceland is used for the emission estimates. Further information on the annual mass of waste landfilled and the source of data can be found in Iceland's National Inventory Report on Greenhouse Gas Emissions.

6.3.3 Emission factors

Emission factors from the tier 1 approach of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook is used for estimating emissions from solid waste disposal and are presented Table 6.4. Emission factors are assumed constant for all the years in the calculations. This section discusses the emission estimates from solid waste disposal on land and covers the emissions of NMVOCs, TSP, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

The 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook mentions the possibility of small quantities of NO_x, NH₃ and CO being emitted from this activity. However, no emission factors for are provided in the Guidebook and these emissions have not been estimated in Iceland. Emissions of Hg are not estimated in accordance with Table 3-1 in chapter 5A of the Guidebook. Other pollutants are considered not applicable in accordance with that same table.

Table 6.4 Emission factors used in solid waste disposal (NFR 5A).

	NMVOC [kg/t waste]	TSP [g/t waste]	PM10 [g/t waste]	PM _{2.5} [g/t waste]
5A Solid waste disposal	1.56	0.463	0.219	0.033

6.3.4 Recalculations and improvements

Total waste amount landfilled in Iceland in the year 2016 was updated with better information from waste operators. Waste amount increased by 15.7 kt which resulted in increased emissions of NMVOC and PM.

6.3.5 Planned improvements

For future submissions it is planned to update the uncertainty analysis for the waste sector and add further information on the methodological information regarding solid waste disposal by e.g. adding details on sources of data.

6.4 Biological treatment of solid waste (NFR 5B)

6.4.1 Composting (NFR 5B1)

6.4.1.1 Methodology

Recycling and biological treatment of waste started on a larger scale in the beginning of the 1990s. Their share of total waste management increased rapidly since then. Emissions estimates are calculated by multiplying waste amounts with relevant pollutant specific emission factors.

6.4.1.2 Activity data

Compost production as a means of waste treatment started in Iceland in 1995 and the EA receives annually the amount of waste going to compost production facilities. Reliable data about waste composition does not exist until recent years. In 1991 the waste management company Sorpa Ltd. started serving the capital area and has gathered data about waste composition of landfilled waste since 1999. For the last few years the waste sector has had to report data about amounts and kinds of waste landfilled, incinerated, and recycled.

6.4.1.3 Emission factors

For composting, tier 2 emission factor from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook are used for estimating NH₃ and CO emissions. Emission factors for other pollutants is not provided in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. The emission factors are presented Table 6.4. and are assumed constant for all the years in the calculations.

Table 6.5 Emission factors used in composting (NFR 5B1).

	NH ₃ [kg/t waste]	CO [kg/t waste]
5B1 Composting	0.24	0.56

6.4.1.4 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were done for composting (5B1) for this submission.

6.4.1.5 Planned improvements

No planned improvements for this sector

6.4.2 Anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities (NFR 5B2)

Anaerobic digestion at biogas facilities is currently a non-occurring activity in Iceland.

6.5 Waste incineration and open burning (NFR 5C)

This section discusses the emission estimates from burning of waste which falls under the subcategories; Waste incineration (NFR 5C1) and Open burning of waste (NFR 5C2). Waste incineration covers the emission estimates from waste incineration plants without energy recovery¹² and not from waste incineration with energy recovery. Emission estimates for waste incineration with energy recovery are reported in the relevant subsector under NFR sector 1A1 (Chapter 3.3.1). Waste incineration is separated further into Municipal Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1a), Industrial Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1bi), Hazardous Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1bii), Clinical Waste incineration (NFR 5C1biii), Sewage Sludge incineration (NFR 5C1biv), Cremation (NFR 5C1bv) and Other Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1bvi).

Open burning of waste covers the emission estimates from open-pit burning facilities and bonfires.

The scope of this section does not include the emissions of waste incinerated outside of Iceland as this would lead to double counting of the emission estimates in a common international emission estimate inventory. Activity data on waste incinerated outside Iceland is provided to the EA annually by the waste burning facilities. Data on waste generation and waste management practices is published by Statistics Iceland.

¹² A quantitative definition of waste incineration with energy recovery is found in Annex IV of regulation 1040/2016 (IS).

6.5.1 Waste incineration (NFR 5C1)

6.5.1.1 *Municipal Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1a)*

Incineration of waste in incineration plants without energy recovery started in 2001 in Iceland.

6.5.1.1.1 Methodology

The total amount of waste incinerated in all waste incineration plants without energy recovery in Iceland is multiplied with its pollutant specific emission factor as given in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

6.5.1.1.2 Activity data

Activity data on incinerated waste from major incineration plants have been collected by the EA since the year 2000. Waste incineration in incineration plants started in 1993 and currently there is a single operating waste incineration plant (Kalka) in Iceland. Kalka opened in 2004 which resulted in a decrease of open burning of waste (5C2) and an increase in waste incineration (5C1). Because of that there is a sharp change in emissions for most pollutants pre-2004 and post-2004 where emissions from 5C1 increased and emissions from 5C2 decreased.

Historic data which was not reported to the EA was estimated using the assumption of 500 kg of waste per inhabitant in communities where waste is known to have been incinerated.

6.5.1.1.3 Emission factors

Tier 2 emission factors from table 3-2 in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook is used for all pollutants except for NH₃, Se and Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene. For NH₃, Se and Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, tier 1 emission factors from table 3-1 of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook are used. The reason for this is the lack of emission factors given for these pollutants in table 3-2 of the Guidebook.

Emission factors for dioxin from waste incineration are either based on measurements when they are available or taken from the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005).

From 2014 only one incineration plant (Kalka) handling MSW has been operating in Iceland. The emission factor of 0.5 µg TEQ/t MSW was taken from Table 14 in Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005).

6.5.1.1.4 Recalculations

Inconsistence was found in the emission estimates that effected HCB and PCB emissions from municipal waste incineration (5C1a). The emissions were recalculated which lead to a decrease in HCB and PCB emissions.

6.5.1.1.5 Planned improvements

For future submissions, there is need to acquire technology stratification to account for abatement technologies in the Tier 2 methodology of the EMEP/EEA 2013 Guidebook. An uncertainty analysis is furthermore in the pipeline.

6.5.1.2 *Industrial Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1bi)*

6.5.1.2.1 Methodology

Slaughterhouse waste is the only type of waste that is assumed to be constituting industrial waste incineration for the year 2017. Total reported slaughterhouse waste is multiplied by pollutant specific emission factor to estimate these emissions. Emission estimates are preliminary and further improvements are required for this sector.

6.5.1.2.2 Activity data

Activity data for this category has only been included for the years 2014-2017 while for the all other years it is included in 5C1a.

6.5.1.2.3 Emission factors

Emission factors are assumed the same as for Municipal Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1a).

6.5.1.2.4 Recalculations

With better waste amount data for 2014 the emissions from industrial waste incineration for that year were added and are therefore no longer included in 5C1a.

Inconsistence was found in the emission estimates that effected HCB emissions from industrial waste incineration (5C1bi). The emissions were recalculated which lead to a decrease in HCB emissions.

Planned improvements

It's planned to acquire data for the years 1990-2013, review emission factors currently used and add emission estimates for those pollutants where the EMEP/EEA Guidebook provides emission factors.

6.5.1.3 Hazardous Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1bii)

6.5.1.3.1 Methodology

Total amount of hazardous waste is multiplied by a pollutant specific emission factor from the Tier 1 approach of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

6.5.1.3.2 Activity data

Activity data for incinerated hazardous waste exists from 2006 and is currently being reported to the EA.

6.5.1.3.3 Emission factors

Emission factor are taken from Table 3-1 of chapter 5C1b of the EMEP/EEA 2013 Guidebook.

6.5.1.3.4 Recalculations

No recalculations were done for hazardous waste incineration for this submission.

6.5.1.3.5 Planned improvements

No planned improvements for hazardous waste incineration (5C1bii).

6.5.1.4 Clinical Waste incineration (NFR 5C1biii)

6.5.1.4.1 Methodology

Total amount of clinical waste is multiplied by a pollutant specific emission factor from the Tier 1 approach of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

6.5.1.4.2 Activity data

Activity data for incinerated clinical waste under this sector is from 2001.

6.5.1.4.3 Emission factors

Emission factors are taken from tables 3-1 and 3-2 of chapter 5Cbiii of the EMEP/EEA 2013 Guidebook.

6.5.1.4.4 Recalculations and planned improvements

No recalculations were done for hazardous waste incineration for this submission.

6.5.1.4.5 Planned improvements

No planned improvements for clinical waste incineration (5C1bii).

6.5.1.5 *Sewage Sludge incineration (NFR 5C1biv)*

6.5.1.5.1 Methodology

Total amount of sewage sludge is multiplied by a pollutant specific emission factor from the Tier 1 approach of the EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

6.5.1.5.2 Activity data

Activity data for sewage sludge incineration was included in NFR sector 5C1a until 2014.

6.5.1.5.3 Emission factors

Emission factors are taken from table 3-2 of chapter 5C1b of the EMEP/EEA 2013 Guidebook.

6.5.1.5.4 Recalculations and planned improvements

No recalculations were done to sewage sludge incineration for this submission.

6.5.1.5.5 Planned improvements

Review of data for this sector is necessary. Some historic data exists for sewage sludge which need to be introduced until 2014.

6.5.1.6 *Cremation (NFR 5C1bv)*

6.5.1.6.1 Methodology

Total number of bodies incinerated is multiplied by a pollutant specific emission factor from the tier 1 approach of the EMEP/EEA 2013 Guidebook.

6.5.1.6.2 Activity data

Cremation is performed at a single facility located in Reykjavik where human bodies are incinerated along with the coffin. Activity data used is the total number of bodies incinerated and this data is taken from the facility available online.

6.5.1.6.3 Emission factors

Emission factors are taken from Table 3-1 of chapter 5C1bv of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

6.5.1.6.4 Recalculations and planned improvements

No recalculations were done for cremation (5C1bv) for this submission.

6.5.1.6.5 Planned improvements

No planned improvements.

6.5.1.7 *Other Waste Incineration (NFR 5C1bvi)*

Data for other waste incineration is not available for the time being. Improvements are needed regarding this.

6.5.2 Open burning of waste (NFR 5C2)

Open burning of waste includes combustion in nature and open dumps as well as combustion in incineration devices that do not control the combustion air to maintain adequate temperature and do not provide sufficient residence time for complete combustion. Incineration devices on the other hand are characterized by creating conditions for complete combustion. Therefore, the burning of waste in historic incineration devices that did not ensure conditions for complete combustion is allocated to open burning of waste. Open pit burning was a common procedure in the early nineties. In general, open pit burning results in poor combustion conditions due to inhomogeneous and poorly mixed fuel material, chlorinated precursors, humidity or catalytically active metals, but all these factors influence the dioxin formation. It can therefore be hard to come up with a reasonable emission factors. In addition to that the activity data is quite uncertain as well, as no official statistics are available.

It is a tradition to light up bonfires at New Year's Eve in Iceland. These are quite common throughout the country. In the early nineties, there were no restrictions and no supervision with these bonfires. In the early nineties, some surveillance officers from the Environmental and Public Health Offices (Local Competent Authority) started to control these fires, by informing the bonfire personnel. In 2000 the EA, Iceland Fire Authority and National Commissioner of Iceland Police published guidelines for bonfires. They include restrictions on size, burnout time and the material allowed. Since that time only wood and paper are allowed on bonfires. Also, the Environmental and Public Health Offices supervise all bonfires. Now they are fewer and better organized.

6.5.2.1 *Methodology*

The total amount of waste incinerated in all waste open pit burning facilities in Iceland is multiplied with its pollutant specific emission factor as given in the EMEP/EEA 2013 Guidebook. This applies to most reported pollutants except for dioxin, where the emission estimates are based on technology specific emission factors from the Standardized toolkit for the identification of Dioxin and Furan releases (UNEP, 2005). Same methodology is used for emission estimates from bonfires with dioxin being calculated differently. See more detailed description in the following sections.

6.5.2.2 *Activity data*

Historic data on open pit burning was estimated with the assumptions that 500 kg of wastes have been incinerated per inhabitant in the communities where waste is known to have been incinerated in 1990, 1995 and 2000 and interpolated in the years between. These communities were mapped by EA in the respective years. The date is known at the EA, at which sites, where open pit burning has been performed have been closed and other means of waste disposal have been found. Open pit burning is likely to occur still at various rural sites, but this has not been estimated. The amount of waste burned in open pits has decreased rapidly since the early 1990s, when more than 30 thousand tonnes of waste were burned. Between 2005 and 2010 there was only one site left burning waste openly, on the island of Grímsey. This site was closed by the end of 2010. It was assumed that around 50 tonnes of waste were burned there annually.

For bonfires, activity data is not easily obtained. In 2011 the EA along with the municipality of Reykjavik decided to weigh all the material of a single bonfire. Then the piled material was photographed and height, width and length measured. The weight was then correlated to the more readily measurable parameters pile height and diameter. The Environmental and Public Health Offices were asked to measure height and diameter of the bonfires in their area, take pictures and send to EA. From this information the total weight of bonfires was estimated for the whole country. The amount was further extrapolated back to 1990, in cooperation with an expert from one Environmental and Public Health Office that has been involved with this field of work for a long time.

6.5.2.3 *Emission factors*

For open pit burning, dioxin emission factor is taken from table 54 in the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005), it is 300 µg per tonne waste (given for uncontrolled domestic waste burning). Emission factors for other pollutants are taken from table 3.1 in chapter 5C2 of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook

For bonfires, the dioxin emission factor has been estimated historically based on assumptions. From 2003 onwards an emission factor of 60 µg/t is used. This factor is taken from table 54 of the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP 2005) and is given for open burning of wood. For 1990 to 1995 an emission factor of 600 µg per tonne burnt material was used. This relates to the fact that the burning material was very miscellaneous at that time. It was common practice to burn tires, kitchen interior and even boats at the bonfires.

Furthermore, some businesses used the opportunity to get rid of all kind of wastes. Therefore, it was considered suitable to double the emission factor used for open pit burning. The emission factor was then interpolated from 600 µg to 60 µg per tonne burned material from 1996 to 2003. The emission factors for other pollutants than dioxin are taken from table 3-1 in chapter 5C2 of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook.

6.5.2.4 *Recalculations and planned improvements*

Updated emission factors for HCB and PCB for open burning of waste (5C2) which are now reported as NE and NA respectively. For previous submissions emissions factors for 5C1 were used for 5C2 but according to EMEP/EEA Guidebook HCB and PCB is reported as NE and NA respectively. This decreased the HCB and PDB emissions for the whole timeseries.

6.5.2.5 *Planned improvements*

Emission factors needed to estimate HCB and PCB emissions. Not provided in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook in chapter 5C2.

6.6 Wastewater handling (NFR 5D)

According to the EMEP/EEA Guidebook (EEA, 2016) wastewater will be an insignificant source for air pollutants. However, in urban areas, NMVOC emissions from waste water treatment plants can be of local importance. Activities considered within this sector are biological treatment plants and latrines (storage tanks of human excreta, located under naturally ventilated wooden shelters).

In Iceland, most wastewater is discharged into the sea either untreated or after primary treatment. Only a small amount of wastewater is treated with secondary treatment and latrines are not occurring. Therefore, non-GHG emissions have not been estimated from wastewater handling.

6.6.1 Methodology

No methodology is used due to the lack of relevant activity data.

6.6.2 Activity data

No relevant activity data.

6.6.3 Emission factors

No emission factors used.

6.6.4 Recalculations and improvements

No recalculations were done for wastewater handling (5D) for this submission.

6.6.5 Planned improvements

Acquire relevant activity data and estimate whether there might occur NMVOC emissions.

6.7 Other waste (NFR 5E)

This section discusses the emission estimates from other waste and Iceland estimates from accidental house and vehicle burning. Emission estimates for all reported pollutants is provided except for NH₃, BC, Se, HCB and PCB where emission factors have not been found or are considered not applicable.

6.7.1 Methodology

For accidental house fires, emission estimates are calculated as the number of fire events times a pollutant specific emission factor from the Tier 2 approach of chapter 5E in the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook and the Danish IIR of 2015.



For accidental vehicle fires, emission estimates are calculated as the mass of vehicles burned times a pollutant specific emission factor from the Danish IIR 2015. Weight of different types of vehicles are used in the calculations and taken from table 6-26 of the Danish IIR 2015. The assumption is made that 70% of the total mass is burned.

6.7.2 Activity data

Activity data for vehicle and building fires were obtained for the years 2003 to 2017 from the Capital District Fire and Rescue Service (CDFRS). Building fires are classified by duration of response into small, medium and large fires. The data is presented in Table 6.6. As 2/3 of the Icelandic population lives in the capital area, it is assumed that the CDFRS serves 2/3 of the incidents in Iceland. In Table 6.7, data on vehicle and building fires, extrapolated for Iceland, is presented. As the emission factors used comply for full scale building fires, the activity data is scaled as a full scale equivalent where it is assumed that a medium and a small fire leads to 50% and 5% of a large fire respectively, and that a large fire is a full scale fire. Table 6.6 and Table 6.7 show the total scaled building fires. This scaling is similar to the scaling used in the 2011 Danish Informative Inventory Report, although the scaling in Denmark is based on response activity rather than response time. It does though seem appropriate to scale the fires in this way for the Icelandic data. It is further assumed that 10% of the building fires every year, are industrial building fires.

In 2004 a major industrial fire broke out at a recycling company (Hringrás). In the fire 300 tonnes of tires, among other separated waste materials, burned. In 2011 a fire broke out at the same company, but that fire is assumed to have been about 10% of the size of the one in 2004. In 2014 a major fire incident occurred when fire broke out in an industrial laundry service. The house had a thick layer of asphalt roll roofing with an estimated weight of around 80 tonnes.

For the year 1990 to 2002 an average of the total scaled building fires (38) and the vehicle fires (60) was used. The possibility to obtain better data for 1990 to 2002 has been further explored. However, the reports on accidental fires for that period are in completely different form, making them both difficult to obtain and interpret. As the extra information gained would not be of that much importance it is not thought to be priority to further explore this subject.

The activity data is calculated as a yearly combusted mass by multiplying the number of different vehicles fires with the average weight of the given vehicle type. As it is not registered at the CDRFS which types of vehicles are caught in fires, the average Danish (2011 Danish Informative Inventory Report) ratio of vehicle fires per vehicle type were taken per vehicle type, excluding motorcycles, as motorcycle fires are very rare in Iceland (passenger cars 83%; buses 8%; light duty vehicles 3%; heavy duty vehicles 7%). The total amount of vehicle mass involved in fires is then calculated from the number of vehicle fires and the average weights of the different vehicle types (also Danish weight, as information was not available). It is assumed that 70% of the total vehicle mass involved in a fire actually burns.

Table 6.6 Vehicle and building fires, capital area.

Year	Vehicle fires	Building fires			Total scaled building fires
		<60 min	60-120 min	>120 min	
2003	36	161	21	4	23
2004	25	153	24	5	25
2005	43	141	24	11	30
2006	34	130	24	9	28
2007	44	142	20	7	24



Year	Vehicle fires	Building fires			Total scaled building fires
		<60 min	60-120 min	>120 min	
2008	64	150	25	9	30
2009	46	114	16	12	26
2010	34	118	17	9	24
2011	35	121	10	5	16
2012	36	99	24	9	26
2013	26	85	18	5	18
2014	35	99	20	12	27
2015	36	88	15	3	15
2016	57	94	12	8	19
2017	47	115	20	5	21

Table 6.7 Vehicle and building fires scaled for Iceland

Year	Vehicle fires	Building fires			Total scaled building fires
		<60 min	60-120 min	>120 min	
2003	54	242	32	6	34
2004	38	230	36	8	38
2005	65	212	36	17	46
2006	51	195	36	14	42
2007	66	213	30	11	37
2008	96	225	38	14	44
2009	69	171	24	18	39
2010	51	177	26	14	36
2011	53	182	15	8	25
2012	54	149	36	14	39
2013	39	128	27	8	28
2014	53	149	30	18	40
2015	54	132	23	5	24
2016	86	141	18	12	28
2017	71	173	30	8	32

At the major industrial fire at Hringrás in 2004, an estimated amount of 300 tonnes of tires, among other separated waste materials, burned.

For the major industrial fire in 2014, the estimated weight of the asphalt roll roofing burned down was estimated to be around 80 tonnes and was assumed to be a large part of the emissions from this particular fire.

6.7.3 Emission factors

Emission factor for undetached houses is used for all building fires except industrial building fires. This is due to the fact that Icelandic regulation demand more fire resistance than the regulations in the Scandinavian countries. Emission factors for detached building fires are taken from table 3-4 of chapter 5E of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook for all estimated pollutants provided in the Guidebook except for dioxin which is taken from the 2015 Danish Informative Inventory Report (IIR) to the UNECE. Other non-estimated sources of the Guidebook are taken from the Danish 2015 IIR table 6.20. No emission factors are provided for BC, Ni, Se, Zn, HCB and PCB. NH₃ is considered not applicable as the Guidebook suggests.

Similarly, for industrial house fires, emission factors from table 3-6 of chapter 5E of the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook is used except for dioxin which is taken from the 2015 Danish Informative Inventory Report (IIR) to the UNECE. Other non-estimated sources of the Guidebook are taken from the Danish 2015 IIR table 6.20. No emission factors are provided for BC, Ni, Se, Zn, HCB and PCB. NH₃ is considered not applicable as the Guidebook suggests.

For vehicle fires, the burned mass is then multiplied with a pollutant specific emission factor taken from table 6-29 of the Danish IIR 2015.

For the major industrial fire at Hringrás in 2004, an emission factor of 220 µg/(t of tires) from the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005), was taken. Using this factor, this single fire scaled like about 16 industrial building fires and PAH4 emissions were scaled accordingly.

Asphalt roll roofing was assumed to emit dioxin levels comparable to scrap tires which has the emission factor of 220 µg/(t of tires) given in the Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases (UNEP, 2005). Dioxin emissions from other materials that burned were included by assuming such that the fire was comparable to 5 industrial buildings. Thus the emissions from this particular fire corresponds to 5 industrial building fires plus the special assessment of the asphalt roll roofing, in total around 9 industrial fires. Other POP's emission estimates were calculated by using emission factors from table 6-20 of the Annual Danish Informative Inventory Report to the UNECE (National Environmental Research Institute, 2011) for industrial buildings, scaled according to the estimation of corresponding industrial building fires. Emission factors for NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂ and CO are also taken from the Danish IIR table 6-20. Other reported pollutants are taken from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook table 3-6. No emission factors are provided for BC, Ni, Se, Zn, HCB and PCB. NH₃ is considered not applicable as the Guidebook suggests.

6.7.4 Recalculations and improvements

Data for vehicle and house fires became available for 2016, which caused recalculations for the subsector for that year.

6.7.5 Planned improvements

Review of data used for 1990-2002 for the number of accidental house and vehicle fires. General data improvement needed.

6.8 Uncertainties and time series consistency

No uncertainty analysis has been done for the waste sector and work has been done to facilitate such calculations for future submissions. Generally, the uncertainties are considered high due to the lack of historic data and due to a number of assumptions. Timeseries consistency for HCB and PCB is considered not sufficient due to the lack of emission factors for open burning of waste.

6.9 QA/QC and verification

Additional QA/QC procedures were implemented in the 2017 submission. It involves an assessment of changes in all reported pollutant emissions between the current and last years' submission. Checks on emission estimate trends and notation keys are further used to verify current years' emission estimates. Mass balance check on input data is furthermore laid out when allocating procedures occur. QA/QC procedures implemented in the 2017 submission were used for this submission.

7 Natural Sources (NFR 11)

7.1 Volcanoes (NFR 11A)

In this chapter emissions from the last three volcanic eruptions are reported. These eruptions are: Eyjafjallajökull eruption, April-May 2010; Grímsvötn eruption, May 2011; and Holuhraun eruption, September 2014-February 2015. As emissions from these eruptions are natural they are reported in this chapter and in the NFR Tables under Memo Item 11A, but are not included in national totals.

7.1.1 Eyjafjallajökull eruption 2010

The Eyjafjallajökull eruption lasted from 14 April until 23 May 2010. For this eruption emissions of sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and particulate matter were estimated and reported. The emissions estimates are based on satellite observation on a daily basis during the eruption¹³ and amounted to approx. 127 kt of SO₂, 6000 kt of PM₁₀ and 1700 kt. of PM_{2.5}. These 6000 kt of PM₁₀ were around 3500 times more than total estimated man-made PM₁₀ emissions in Iceland in 2010.



Figure 7.1 Eyjafjallajökull eruption at its peak in April 2010 (Photo: Þorsteinn Jóhannsson).

¹³ https://wiki.met.no/emep/emep_volcano_plume

7.1.2 Grímsvötn eruption 2011

The Grímsvötn eruption lasted from 21 May until 28 May 2011. The eruption at Grímsvötn was much larger than that of Eyjafjallajökull the year before, and it has been estimated that during the first day more sulphur and particulates were emitted than during all the Eyjafjallajökull eruption. SO₂ emissions from Grímsvötn have been estimated to be around 1000 kt. An estimate of the total particulates emitted has not been estimated but the EA has scaled the emissions of particulates using the ratio of Sulphur emissions from the two eruptions (1000/127). This gives an approximate estimate of 47,000 kt PM₁₀ and 13,000 kt of PM_{2.5}. Figure 7.2, a NASA MODIS satellite image acquired at 05:15 UTC on 22 May, 2011 shows the plume from Grímsvötn casting shadow to the west.




Figure 7.2 Grímsvötn eruption in May 2011. (Photo NASA/GSFC/Jeff Schmaltz/MODIS Land Rapid Response Team).

7.1.3 Holuhraun eruption 2014 - 2015

The eruption in Holuhraun began on 29 August 2014 and ended on 27 February 2015. It was the biggest eruption in Iceland since the Laki eruption 1783.

Emission estimates in the Holuhraun eruption were done by the volcanic hazard team at the Icelandic Met Office. According to information from Sara Barsotti and Melissa Anne Pfeffer the estimates were done as follows: The emission rate of SO₂ was calculated using wind parameters provided by the HARMONIE numerical prediction model and column concentrations of SO₂ detected with different types of DOAS measurements. The DOAS techniques used include two NOVAC scanning DOAS instruments (Galle, et al., 2010): one installed 7 km from the main degassing vent, Baugur, but moved during the eruption due to the advancing lava to 10 km from the main vent; and a second scanning DOAS installed 10 km from the main vent, but damaged by advancing lava two weeks after the start of the eruption; campaign DOAS traverses, made as close to the main vent as conditions allowed; and ring road DOAS traverses (Gíslason, 2015). All measurements were analysed closely to remove the data most impacted by scattering. For all techniques, the good quality measurements were used to calculate daily averages of SO₂ emission rate. On days when good quality data was acquired from more than one DOAS technique, the larger value was used, and then these daily values were used to calculate the monthly averages. Some minor degassing from the cooling lava continued after the end of the eruption (maximum 3 kg/s; Simmons et al., 2016); this contribution to the emissions is not included here.

Total SO₂ emission from this eruption was estimated 12,006 kt. Divided on calendar years 10,880 kt of SO₂ were emitted in the year 2014 and 1,126 kt of SO₂ in the year 2015. To put these numbers in perspective it can be said that the total SO₂ emission from all the European Union countries for the year 2012 was 4,576 kt. So the emission from the eruption in the year 2014 i.e. from 29 August 2014 to 31 December 2014 was more than twice the total SO₂ emission from all the European Union countries for whole year. For September alone, during the most intensive period of the eruption, the SO₂ emission from the eruption was similar to the annual emission of the European Union.

Emissions of ash were negligible and therefore, have not been estimated. Further information about SO₂ emissions from the eruption are in Table 7.1 below. As these emissions are natural they are not included in national totals.

Table 7.1 Eruption emission parameters.

	Average monthly emission rates [kg/s]	SO ₂ per month [kt]
August 2014	124	332
September 2014	1708	4427
October 2014	1051	2815
November 2014	1143	2963
December 2014	128	343
January 2015	304	814
February 2015	129	312




Figure 7.3 Monthly emission from Holuhraun during the eruption.

The eruption caused widespread SO₂ pollution all over Iceland and also in other countries in Europe. During the eruption, various institutions were in charge of disseminating information to the public. The Icelandic Met Office used the CALPUFF modelling system to simulate and forecast the dispersal and concentration of the SO₂ gas at ground level. The forecast was three-day long and was updated twice a day. SO₂ dispersion during the whole eruption modelled by CALPUFF are presented in Figure 7.4 as the frequency of hourly concentrations higher than the EU one hour limit value for SO₂ that is 350 µg/m³. The values corresponding to each contour show how many times this concentration has been exceeded at each location during this period. During the eruption, gas pollution was extensive across all of Iceland. The NE part of the country suffered the highest impact from the eruption. The model suggests that the area within 50 km NE of the eruption site exceeded 350 µg/m³ for up to 20 % of the time (about 30 days in total). The northern part of Vatnajökull and the eastern part of Hofsjökull glaciers were frequently exposed to high ground-level concentrations of SO₂ for up to 15 days.




Figure 7.4 SO₂ dispersion during the eruption modelled by CALPUFF, presented as frequency of hourly concentrations higher than the 350 µg/m³ health limit. The monitoring stations mentioned in the text and in Figure 7.5 are also shown (Gíslason, 2015)

To inform the public about ground level concentration of SO₂ the Environmental Agency of Iceland shared information from SO₂ monitoring stations. At the beginning of the eruption the ambient air concentration of SO₂ was measured at 11 permanent stations across Iceland recording 10 and 60 minutes average concentration. Seven of the stations continuously streamed the results to the website¹⁴ of the Environmental Agency of Iceland. By late January 2015 the number of these stations had risen to 21. All these instruments were trace level (ppb) SO₂ analysers equipped with pulsed fluorescence spectroscopy meters. In addition to these accurate measuring stations around 50 hand held SO₂ meters were distributed throughout the country and they were usually operated by the local police. So, the total number of SO₂ monitoring devices was 71, distributed in agglomerations all around the country.

Prior to the Holuhraun eruption, the ground-level concentration of atmospheric SO₂ in Iceland had never been recorded as exceeding the 350 µg/m³ hourly limit. During the eruption, predicted and measured values repeatedly exceeded this limit (see Figure 7.4 and Figure 7.5). Much higher SO₂ peaks, lasting shorter than one hour, were frequently measured on hand held sensors, the highest being 21,000 µg/m³ in Höfn (SE of the country). Continuous measurements started 28 October 2014 in Höfn as shown in Figure 7.5. There the hourly averaged concentration reached a maximum of 3050 µg/m³ on 11 January 2015. Over the monitoring periods shown in Figure 7.5, SO₂ exceeded the one hour 350 µg/m³ threshold 2.0 % of the time at Mývatn (NE) (for 17 consecutive hours and a total of

¹⁴ <http://airquality.is>

86 hours), 1.4 % in Reyðarfjörður (E) (for 10 consecutive hours and a total of 58 hours), 1.4 % in Reykjavík (for 8 consecutive hours and a total of 59 hours) and 4.2 % of the time in Höfn (for 16 consecutive hours and a total of 124 hours). The last unambiguous detection of the volcanic plume was at the Mývatn station on 18 February.




Figure 7.5 The SO_2 concentration in air at four of the permanent gas monitoring stations presented in Figure 7.4. The $350 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ health limit is shown by the red horizontal line. The grey vertical lines mark the eruption period. Permanent SO_2 monitoring started at Höfn 28 October 2014. (Gíslason, 2015)

Gas emissions from the Holuhraun eruption resulted in an increase in ground-level SO_2 concentrations in the UK and Ireland during two occasions in September 2014 (Schmidt, 2015). Examples of the highest peaks during these events are shown from two monitoring stations in Ireland in Table 7.2 (Gíslason, 2015), along with examples from monitoring stations in the Netherlands, Belgium, and Austria. These stations are equipped with pulsed fluorescence spectrometers with similar detection limits and uncertainty as the Icelandic stations. During the 22 September the ground-level concentrations were highest in Austria at $235 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The Masenberg station in Austria is a background station at a high elevation and far away from local emission sources and rarely records SO_2 concentrations in excess of $30 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. On this day unusually high concentrations were measured at most of the 30 monitoring stations in Austria. (Gíslason, 2015).

Table 7.2 Highest one hour SO₂ peak by country (Gíslason, 2015)

Country	Station name	Latitude	Longitude	Height above sea level	Date	Distance from the eruption	Highest one hour SO ₂ peak
Ireland	Ennis	52.84	-9	16 m	06.09.2014	1407 km	498 µg/m ³
Ireland	Portlaoise	53.04	-7.29	98 m	06.09.2014	1420 km	343 µg/m ³
Netherlands	Philippine	51.29	3.75	5 m	22.09.2014	1905 km	82 µg/m ³
Belgium	Ghent region	51.15	3.81	12 m	22.09.2014	1931 km	87 µg/m ³
Britain	Wicken Fen	52.3	0.29	3 m	22.09.2014	1701 km	96 µg/m ³
Austria	Masenber	47.35	15.89	1210 m	22.09.2014	2754 km	235 µg/m ³



Figure 7.6 Holuhraun eruption in September 2014. The height of the lava fountains was around 100 m (Photo: Ólafur F. Gíslason).

8 Spatially Distributed Emissions on Grid

This chapter includes results of the Icelandic geographically distributed emissions for the years 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010 for PAH4 and dioxin. Emission data have been disaggregated to the standard EMEP grid with a resolution of 50 km x 50 km. The reported emissions include gridded data for sector totals as well as national totals. Emissions for aviation, navigation and fishing have not been gridded.

When gridding the data all industrial sources and waste incineration sites (open pit burning and incineration plants) have been mapped with coordinates and projected on the grid. Other emissions like emissions from road transport, accidental fires, and bon fires have been divided on the grid based on population data. Some minor sources like emissions from tobacco smoking have been located where the populations density is highest, i.e. the capital area.

Update of gridded data, including actualisation to the new 0.1°x0.1°EMEP grid, is being considered and will be able for the 2020 or the 2021 submission.

8.1 PAH4 Emissions in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010

Figures 8.1 to 8.5 show national total emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010.




Figure 8.1 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 1990.




Figure 8.2 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 1995.




Figure 8.3 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 2000.




Figure 8.4 emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 2005.




Figure 8.5 Emissions of PAH4 within the EMEP-Grid in 2010.

8.2 Dioxin Emissions in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010

For the distributed national totals, spatial patterns from the major sectors are recognisable. For dioxin the influence of closing down sites for open pit burning results in lower emissions over time. Further the malfunctioning of the incineration plant at Ísafjörður (north-west Iceland, Westfjords) results in higher emissions in 2010 than in the years before. Figures 8.6 to 8.10 show the national total emissions of dioxin within the EMEP-Grid in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010.




Figure 8.6 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 1990.




Figure 8.7 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 1995.




Figure 8.8 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 2000.




Figure 8.9 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 2005.




Figure 8.10 Dioxin emissions within the EMEP-Grid in 2010.

9 References

- Aasestad, K. (2013). *Emissions of Black carbon and organic carbon in Norway 1990-2011*. Statistics Norway.
- Baldvinsson, Í. Þ. (2011). *Gaslosun jarðvarmavirkjana á Íslandi*. National Energy Authority.
- Cusano, G., Gonzalo, M. R., Farrell, F., Remus, R., Roudier, S., & Delgado Sancho, L. (2017). *Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Non-Ferrous Metals Industries*. Luxembourg: European Commission. doi:10.2760/8224
- EAI. (2018). *National Inventory Report 2018. Emissions of greenhouse gases in Iceland from 1990 to 2016*. Reykjavík: EAI. Retrieved from https://ust.is/library/Skrar/Atvinnulif/Loftslagsbreytingar/NIR%202018%2015%20April%20su_bmission.pdf
- EEA. (2007). *EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook 2007*. European Environment Agency.
- EEA. (2009). *EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook - 2009*. European Environment Agency.
- EEA. (2013). *EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook - 2013*. European Environment Agency.
- EEA. (2016). *EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook - 2016*. European Environment Agency.
- European Commission. (2001). *Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPCC). Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Non Ferrous Metals Industries*.
- Galle, B., Johansson, M., Rivera, C., Zhang, Y., Kihlman, M., Kern, C., . . . Hidalgo, S. (2010). Network for Observation of Volcanic and Atmospheric Change (NOVAC)—A global network for volcanic gas monitoring: Network layout and instrument description. *JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH*, 115(D05304). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011823>
- Gíslason, S. S. (2015). Environmental pressure from the 2014–15 eruption of Bárðarbunga volcano, Iceland. *Geochemical perspective letters*.
- Icelandic Recycling Fund. (2019). *Annual Reports - 'Arsskýrsla 1998-2016*. Reykjavík: Icelandic Recycling Fund. Retrieved from www.urvinsslusjodur.is/um-urvinsslusjod/utgafa/arsskyrslur
- IPCC. (1996). *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: The Reference Manual (Volume 3)*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- IPCC. (2006). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Retrieved from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol3.html>
- Join Research Center. (2014). *Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Non-Ferrous Metals Industries*. European Commission.
- Ketilsdóttir, S. Ó., & Sveinsson, Þ. (2010). Efnainnihald kúamykju og mælingar in situ á þurrefni, NH-4 og P með Agros Nova mælubúnaði. *Fræðingur landbúnaðarins*, 207-215.
- Matter, J., Stute, M., Snæbjörnsdóttir, S., Oelkers, E., Gíslason, S., Aradóttir, E., . . . Broecker, W. (2016). Rapid carbon mineralization for permanent disposal of anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions. *Science*, 352(6291), 1312-1314.

- NEA. (2014). *Natinal Energy Authority, Hydro, Electricity*.
- NERI. (2016). *Annual Danish Infomative Inventory Report to UNECE - Emission Inventories from the base year of the protocols to year 2015*. Aarhus University - Denmark: National Environmental Research Institute. Retrieved from <http://www.neri.dk>
- Nielsen, O.-K., Plejdrup, M. S., Winther, M., Mikkelsen, M. H., Nielsen, M., Gyldenkærne, S., . . . Thomsen, M. (2016). *Annual Danish Informative Inventory Report To UNECE*. Aarhus University, DCE- Danish Centre for Environment and Energy. Retrieved from <http://dce2.au.dk/pub/SR183.pdf>
- Norwegian Environment Agency. (2016). *Informative Inventory Report (IIR) 2016 Norway*. The Norwegian Environment Agency.
- Schmidt, A. W. (2015). *Long range transport and air quality impacts of SO2 emissions from Holuhraun (Bárdarbunga, Iceland)*. . Geophysical Research Abstracts 17, EGU 2015–14866–1.
- Statistics Norway. (2001). *Utslipp til luft av noen miljøgifter i Norge - Dokumentasjon av metode og resultater*. Oslo: Statistics Norway. Retrieved from https://www.ssb.no/a/publikasjoner/pdf/rapp_200117/rapp_200117.pdf
- Statistics Norway. (2002). *Utslipp til luft av dioksiner i Norge - Dokumentasjon av metoder og tesultater*. Oslo-Kongsvinger: Statistic Norway. Retrieved from https://www.ssb.no/a/publikasjoner/pdf/rapp_200207/rapp_200207.pdf
- UNEP. (2005). *Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Dioxin and Furan Releases*. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Environment Programme.
- UNEP. (2013). *Standardized Toolkit for Identification and Quantification of Releases of Dioxin, Furans and Other Unintentional POPs*. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Environment Programme.



Annex I: Explanation of EA's Adjustment of Data on Fuel Sales by Sector

Fuel sales (gas oil and residual fuel oil) by sectors 1A1a, 1A2 (stationary) and 1A4 (stationary) – as provided by the National Energy Authority

No.	Category	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016*	2017*
		Tonnes	Tonnes	Tonnes	Tonnes	Tonnes	Tonnes	Tonnes	Tonnes
Gas/Diesel Oil									
10X40	house heating and swimming pools	10623	8535	7625	4240	1637	1294	1048	2294
10X5X	industry	5072	1129	8920	15196	6663	5394	9446	3459
10X60	energy industries	1300	1091	1065	21	1012	1185	726	695
10X90	other	0	458	1386	8928	2728	4767	4549	7558
Residual Fuel Oil									
10840	house heating and swimming pools	2989	3079	122	195	0	137	19	35
1085X	industry	55934	56172	46146	25005	14917	10183	8649	5425
10860	energy industries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10890	other	39	52	67	0	1629	0	0	0

* For the years 2016 and 2017, the NEA provided data disaggregated for the most part according to the IPCC subcategories. This annex shows those two years for information.

ADJUSTMENTS

For gas oil:

First fuel consumption needed for the known electricity production with fuels is calculated (1A1a – electricity production), assuming 34% efficiency, the values calculated are compared with the fuel sales for the category 10X60 Energy industries.

- In years where there is less fuel sale to energy industries as would be needed for the electricity production, the fuel needed is taken from the category 10X90 Other and when that is not sufficient from the category 10X40 House heating and swimming pools.
- In years where there is surplus the extra fuel is added to the category 10X40 House heating and swimming pools.

NEA has estimated the fuel use by swimming pools (1A4a). These values are subtracted from the adjusted 10X40 category. The rest of the category is then 1A4c – Residential.

	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2016	2017
Swimming pools	1800	1600	1600	1000	300	300	150	150

For Residual Fuel Oil:

The sectors 10840 and 10860 are added together. This is the fuel use by 1A1a - public heat plants. In year 1997 four tonnes are subtracted from this category as the category 10890 has minus four tonnes, leaving category 10890 with 0 in 1997. The categories 1085X Industry and 10890 Other are added together, this is the fuel use in 1A2 – industry.

Annex II: Iceland QA/QC checks

A range of QAQC checks have been performed on the Icelandic inventory:

- **Recalculation check** - comparing the values reported in the current (2019) and previous (2018) versions of the inventory for the base year (1990) and the most recent year covered by both versions (2016).
- **Trends check** - to identify outliers and changes in the trend in the most recent three years of the inventory.
- **Negative and zero values checks** - to highlight the occurrence of negative values (LULUCF is not included) and zero values in the inventory.
- **Notation keys check** - to summarise the occurrence of each notation key to ensure consistency and accuracy in the inventory.
- **PAHs sum check** - to ensure that the sum of the four reported PAHs equals the reported “total” PAH emissions.
- **Particulate Matter check** - to ensure that reported TSP emissions are greater than or equal to PM₁₀, and similarly that reported PM₁₀ emissions are greater than or equal to PM_{2.5}.

In all cases, the findings of the checks are reviewed, not only to identify where corrections may be required, but also to consider whether there are any steps of the inventory compilation process that need improvement. In addition, reviewing the results also provides information on whether the individual checks are well designed and comprehensive.

This ensures that all results from the QAQC process feed back into the continuous improvement programme.

Recalculation Check

A recalculation file has been used for the 2019 submission. This QAQC file compares the emissions between the current and previous submissions, for 2016 and 1990 (the base year). The data has been compiled to enable changes in the data to be easily identified and justifications for change provided where required. The current recalculation check considers all of the reported pollutants and activity data.

The recalculations check calculates the actual difference between the current and previous submission. If one or both values are notation keys, and are not the same in both submissions, then this is highlighted. If the values in both submissions are numeric but not equal, then the difference in submissions as a percentage of the current submissions is also shown. In addition, where differences occur the cells are highlighted for ease of reference. This process of identifying recalculation changes and the documentation of changes is in line with Chapter 4 of the 2016 EMEP guidelines regarding the reporting of recalculations. Where a recalculation change occurs, it is necessary to check that the underlying reasons are understood and considered reasonable.

At present, the recalculations QAQC check only considers the base year and latest year included in both the current and previous submissions. Iceland recognises that the inclusion of additional years as an improvement which will be implemented in subsequent submissions.

Trends Check

For each pollutant and NFR sector a trend QAQC file is used to calculate the percentage change between the latest (2017) and previous year (2016) and the percentage change between 2016 and 2015. The greatest changes are highlighted for ease of reference and comments on these changes are provided where required. This trends QAQC files enables the identification of large “step changes” in recent years, either through large increases or decreases in emission estimates.

This check could be improved through assessing the trend of the whole time series rather than the last three years. Iceland will consider updating this check in future submissions; however, given that trends in historic data are rarely revised significantly, this is of lower priority.

Negative and Zero Values Check

Checks were performed to identify whether any negative or zero values occur in the NFR Annex I submission file. No negative or zero values occurred and therefore no further action was needed.

Notation Keys Check

The number of occurrences of notation keys (NO, NE, IE, NA and NR) in the NFR Annex I submission file are presented. This QAQC check is used to ensure that notation keys are applied consistently and accurately within the inventory. The occurrence of notation keys is presented as a count for each NFR code for the years 2004 – 2017 with highlighted cells for ease of reference.

A more complete check of the entire time series will be considered for future versions of the inventory. Then only incremental changes would need to be considered.

PAH Sum Check

This is a sum check to identify whether the sum of the reported emissions for benzo(a) pyrene, benzo(b) fluoranthene, benzo(k) fluoranthene and Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene equals the reported emissions for “total” four PAHs. This check is performed for each reported NFR code and year for the current submission. Where the sum of the PAHs does not equal the “total”, cells are highlighted for ease of reference and where required the cause for differences are documented.

Particulate Matter Check

This check identifies any categories where the emissions reported for TSP are less than PM_{10} emissions and where PM_{10} emissions are less than $PM_{2.5}$ emissions. This enables the identification of errors in reported PM emissions based on the assumption that $TSP \geq PM_{10} \geq PM_{2.5}$. This check is performed for each reported NFR code and year for the current submission. Where errors in reported PM emissions are identified, cells are highlighted for ease of reference and where required documentation is provided.



Annex III: KCA results for non-POPs pollutants

Key categories for NO_x, NMVOC, SO_x, NH₃, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP, BC and CO, 2017

Component	Key categories (Sorted from high to low from left to right and top to bottom)					Total (%)
NO _x	National fishing	Ferroalloy production	Road transport: Heavy duty vehicles	Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries	Road transport: Passenger cars	83.02%
	NFR 1A4ciii	NFR 2C2	NFR 1A3biii	NFR 1A2gvii	NFR 1A3bi	
	58.01%	5.99%	5.96%	5.12%	4.03%	
	Aluminum production					
	NFR 2C3					
	3.91%					
NMVOC	Domestic solvent use	Manure management: horses	Manure management - Non-dairy cattle	Manure management - Dairy cattle	National fishing	82.37%
	NFR 2D3a	NFR 3B4e	NFR 3B1b	NFR 3B1a	NFR 1A4ciii	
	11.14%	10.21%	8.57%	8.52%	8.21%	
	Food and beverages industry	Coating applications	Solid Waste disposal on land	Road transport: Passenger cars	Distribution of oil products	
	NFR 2H2	NFR 2D3d	NFR 5A	NFR 1A3bi	NFR 1B2av	
6.60%	5.94%	5.77%	4.89%	5.01%		
	Road transport: Heavy duty vehicles	Manure management - Sheep				
	NFR 1A3biii	NFR 3B2				
	3.65%	3.56%				
SO _x	Other fugitive emissions from energy production (Geothermal energy)	Aluminium production				87.74%
	NFR 1B2d	NFR 2C3				
	65.87%	21.87%				
NH ₃	Animal manure applied to soils	Manure management - Sheep	Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals	Manure management - Dairy cattle		80.08%
	NFR 3Da2a	NFR 3B2	NFR 3Da3	NFR 3B1a		
	34.15%	20.86%	15.66%	9.40%		
PM _{2.5}	National fishing	Aluminium production	Ferroalloy production	Municipal waste incineration	Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries	80.03%
	NFR 1A4ciii	NFR 2C3	NFR 2C2	NFR 5C1a	NFR 1A2gvii	
	29.63%	21.11%	15.58%	7.91%	5.80%	



PM10	<i>National fishing</i>	<i>Aluminium production</i>	<i>Ferroalloy production</i>	<i>Municipal waste incineration</i>	<i>Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries</i>	82.63%
	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>NFR 2C3</i>	<i>NFR 2C2</i>	<i>NFR 5C1a</i>	<i>NFR 1A2gvii</i>	
	24.65%	20.27%	12.23%	8.99%	4.43%	
TSP	<i>Road transport: Automobile tyre and brake wear</i>	<i>Other product use</i>	<i>Farm-level agricultural operations</i>			83.18%
	<i>NFR 1A3bvi</i>	<i>NFR 2G</i>	<i>NFR 3Dc</i>			
	4.13%	3.98%	3.96%			
BC	<i>National fishing</i>	<i>Aluminum production</i>	<i>Ferroalloy production</i>	<i>Municipal waste incineration</i>	<i>Road transport: Automobile tyre and brake wear</i>	81.12%
	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>NFR 2C3</i>	<i>NFR 2C2</i>	<i>NFR 5C1a</i>	<i>NFR 1A3bvi</i>	
	21.16%	20.92%	11.08%	10.31%	4.73%	
CO	<i>Road transport: Automobile tyre and brake wear</i>	<i>Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries</i>	<i>Other product use (Fireworks, tobacco)</i>	<i>Farm-level agricultural operations</i>		93.86%
	<i>NFR 1A3bvii</i>	<i>NFR 1A2gvii</i>	<i>NFR 2G</i>	<i>NFR 3Dc</i>		
	4.05%	3.80%	3.72%	3.40%		
CO	<i>National fishing</i>	<i>Mobile combustion in manufacturing industries</i>	<i>Road transport: Passenger cars</i>	<i>Road transport: Light duty vehicles</i>		81.12%
	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>NFR 1A2gvii</i>	<i>NFR 1A3bi</i>	<i>NFR 1A3bii</i>		
	42.72%	22.67%	9.11%	6.62%		
CO	<i>Aluminium production</i>					93.86%
	<i>NFR 2C3</i>					
						93.86%



Key categories for heavy metals, 2017



Component	Key categories			Total (%)
	(Sorted from high to low from left to right and top to bottom)			
Pb	<i>Municipal waste incineration</i>	<i>Other product use (Fireworks, tobacco)</i>		82.97%
	<i>NFR 5C1a</i>	<i>NFR 2G</i>		
	58.65%	24.31%		
Cd	<i>Municipal waste incineration</i>			80.41%
	<i>NFR 5C1a</i>			
	80.41%			
Hg	<i>Municipal waste incineration</i>	<i>Clinical waste incineration</i>	<i>National Fishing</i>	86.70%
	<i>NFR 5C1a</i>	<i>NFR 5C1biii</i>	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	
	50.23%	28.94%	7.53%	
As	<i>National fishing</i>	<i>Municipal waste incineration</i>		88.78%
	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>NFR 5C1a</i>		
	49.03%	39.75%		







Cr	<i>Road transport: Automobil tyre and brake wear</i>	<i>National fishing</i>	<i>Other product use (Fireworks, tobacco)</i>	87.80%	
	<i>NFR 1A3bvi</i>	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>NFR 2G</i>		
	39.25%	37.39%	11.15%		
Cu	<i>Road transport: Automobil tyre and brake wear</i>	<i>Other product use (Fireworks, tobacco)</i>		80.55%	
	<i>NFR 1A3bvi</i>	<i>NFR 2G</i>			
	58.86%	21.69%			
Ni	<i>National fishing</i>			96.9%	
	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>				
	96.91%				
Se	<i>National fishing</i>			88.50%	
	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>				
	88.50%				
Zn	<i>Accidental fires</i>	<i>Road transport: Automobil tyre and brake wear</i>	<i>National fishing</i>	<i>Other product use (Fireworks, tobacco)</i>	89.82%
	<i>NFR 5E</i>	<i>NFR 1A3bvi</i>	<i>NFR 1A4ciii</i>	<i>NFR 2G</i>	
	36.27%	24.37%	16.27%	12.90%	


Annex IV: Emission trends 1990-2017 per sector, non-POPs pollutants. Energy: NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, CO and PM




















Energy: Heavy metals



















Industry: NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, CO and PM










■ 2A Mineral industry ■ 2B Chemical industry ■ 2C Metal production
■ 2D Solvent and product use ■ 2G Other solvent and product use ■ 2H Other industry production






■ 2A Mineral industry ■ 2B Chemical industry ■ 2C Metal production
■ 2D Solvent and product use ■ 2G Other solvent and product use ■ 2H Other industry production








Industry: Heavy Metals












- 2A Mineral industry
- 2B Chemical industry
- 2C Metal production
- 2D Solvent and product use
- 2G Other solvent and product use
- 2H Other industry production







- 2A Mineral industry
- 2B Chemical industry
- 2C Metal production
- 2D Solvent and product use
- 2G Other solvent and product use
- 2H Other industry production







Agriculture: NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, CO and PM


















Waste: NO_x, NMVOC, SO₂, NH₃, CO and PM




















Waste sector: Heavy Metals











Annex V: Recalculations of the energy sectors (excluding road transport)

Several recalculations were done in energy sector as a part of the comprehensive review of all emission factors, activity data and calculation files of the sector. Here are all the recalculations presented for sectors 1A1, 1A2, 1A3dii and 1A4 for the year 2016 comparing the previous submission with the current submission. Only 1A3dii National navigation is included here because that is the only sector that was recalculated based on the energy sector review. All recalculations in 1A3b Road transport were due to the use of COPERT (see Annex VI) and no recalculations were done for aviation.

Table A.1 Recalculations of Particulate Matter emissions from the energy sector (reporting year 2016)

	PM2.5		PM10		TSP		BC		Reason
	Difference (kt)	%	Difference (kt)	%	Difference (kt)	%	Difference (kt)	%	
1A1									
1A1a									
1A2									
1A2a									
1A2b							0.0006	90%	Division error in previous submissions calculation file
1A2e							0.0035	90%	Division error in previous submissions calculation file
1A2f							4.E-5	90%	Division error in previous submissions calculation file
1A2gvii	0.0002	0.24%	0.0002	0.24%	0.0002	0.24%	0.0001	0.24%	Biodiesel emissions added
1A2gviii							0.0056	90%	Division error in previous submissions calculation file
1A3									
1A3dii									
1A4									
1A4ai	-1E-5	9.8%					6.E-5	90%	Division error in previous submission for BC. Linking error in calculations for PM2.5
1A4bi	-8E-6	6%	-8E-6	6%	-8E-6	6%	9E-6	89%	Division error in previous submission for BC. Linking error in calculations for PM and TSP.
1A4ciii							0.016	20%	Error in EF link in previous submissions



Table A.2 Recalculations of Main Pollutants emissions from the energy sector (No recalculations were done for NH₃) and CO (reporting year 2016).

	NO _x			NMVOC			SO _x			CO		
	Difference (kt)	%	Reason	Difference (kt)	%	Reason	Difference (kt)	%	Reason	Difference (kt)	%	Reason
1A1												
1A1a							-0.0013	66%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value			
1A2												
1A2a	0.0042	56%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value	0.0002	36%	Emissions from LPG added with EMEP GB 2016 EF				0.0007	67%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2b	0.0194	59%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value	0.0003	17%	Emissions from LPG added with EMEP GB 2016 EF				0.0035	77%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2e	0.1188	67%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value							0.018	77%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2f	0.0014	61%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value							0.0002	85%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2gvi	0.0026	0.24%	Biodiesel emissions added	0.0003	0.24%	Biodiesel emissions added	0.0003	0.24%	Biodiesel emissions added	0.0009	0.24%	Biodiesel emissions added
1A2gvi	0.1753	61%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value	0.0005	3.3%	Emissions from LPG added with EMEP GB 2016 EF				0.031	83%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A3												
1A3dii							0.134	77%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value			
1A4												



	NO _x			NMVOC			SO _x			CO		
	Difference (kt)	%	Reason	Difference (kt)	%	Reason	Difference (kt)	%	Reason	Difference (kt)	%	Reason
1A4ai	0.0016	46%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value	0.0001	22%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value				0.0005	43%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A4bi												
1A4cii							1.67	51%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value			



Table A.3 Recalculations of Heavy Metal emissions from the energy sector (reporting year 2016).

	Pb		Cd		Hg		As		Cr		Cu		Ni		Se		Zn	
	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%
1A1																		
1A1a																		
1A2																		
1A2a																		
1A2b																		
1A2e																		
1A2f																		
1A2gvii			0.0003	100%					0.0017	100%	0.0580	100%	0.0024	100%	0.0003	100%	0.0340	100%
1A2gviii																		
1A3																		
1A3dii																		
1A4																		
1A4ai																		
1A4bi	-5E-08	8%	-4E-09	8%	-5E-07	5%	-8E-09	0.15%	-8E-07	10%	-5E-07	10%	-5E-08	9%	-8E-09	1%	-2E-06	10%
1A4ciii																		
Reactions	Heavy metal emissions were estimated for the first time for 1A2gvii with emission factors from the 2016 EMEP/EEA Guidebook. Changes in heavy metal emissions from 1A4bi were because of a linking error in old calculation files.																	



Table A.4 Recalculations of PAH emissions from the energy sector (reporting year 2016).

	BaP		BbF		BkF		IPy		PAH		Reason
	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	Difference (t)	%	
1A1											
1A1a											
1A2											
1A2a											
1A2b											
1A2e											
1A2f											
1A2gvii	0.0009	88%	0.001	59%	-0.001	100%	-0.0001	100%	0.0007	25%	PAH emissions updated with EF from EMEP GB 2016, where BkF and IPy are reported as NE.
1A2gviii											
1A3											
1A3dii			-0.0003	100%					-0.0034	100%	Emissions updated to NE according to EMEP GB 2016. Insufficient justification for EF previously used.
1A4											
1A4ai											
1A4bi	-3E-07	10%	-2E-7	9%	-3E-07	10%	-7E-07	10%	-1E-06	10%	Error in old calculation file; calculations for diesel were linked to wrong NCV
1A4ciii			-0.0065	100%					-0.0065	100%	Emissions updated to NE according to EMEP GB 2016. Insufficient justification for EF previously used.



Table A.4 Recalculations of dioxin emissions from the energy sector (reporting year 2016).

	Dioxins		
	Difference (g I-TEQ)	%	Reason
1A1			
1A1a			
1A2			
1A2a	-1E-05	66%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2b	-8E-05	82%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2e	0.48	100%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2f	0.006	100%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A2gvii	8E-06	0.24%	Biodiesel emissions added
1A2gviii	0.79	100%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A3			
1A3dii	-0.034	2822%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A4			
1A4ai	0.063	100%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A4bi	0.31	100%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value
1A4ciii	-0.62	1998%	EF updated with EMEP GB 2016 value

Annex VI: Recalculations of road transport sector (1A3b) using COPERT

All pollutants emissions from road transport were recalculated for 2000-2017 with the use of COPERT. Several pollutants (TSP, HCB, PCB, Hg and As) were estimated for the first time and are not included here.

Table A.5 shows the changes in emission in 2016 between last year's submission and this submission. The following figures show the changes in emissions for all pollutants between last year's submission and this submission for 2000-2017.

Table A.5 Recalculations of 2016 emissions for 1A3b Road Transport

2016 Emissions				
	2018 submission	2019 submission	Difference	% difference
NO_x [kt]	5.37	2.58	-2.79	-52%
NMVOC [kt]	2.94	0.84	-2.10	-71%
SO₂ [kt]	0.030	0.004	-0.025	-85%
NH₃ [kt]	0.098	0.073	-0.025	-25%
PM_{2.5} [kt]	0.125	0.118	-0.007	-5.9%
PM₁₀ [kt]	0.150	0.165	0.015	10%
BC [kt]	0.062	0.045	-0.017	-27%
CO [kt]	18.23	4.79	-13.44	-74%
Dioxins [g I-TEQ]	0.017	0.082	0.065	380%
BaP [t]	0.0030	0.0034	0.0004	15%
BbF [t]	0.0042	0.0053	0.0011	27%
BkF [t]	0.0050	0.0047	-0.0003	-4.7%
IPy [t]	0.0032	0.0036	0.0004	12%
PAH [t]	0.015	0.017	0.002	11%
Pb [t]	0.069	0.085	0.016	23%
Cd [t]	0.0027	0.0004	-0.0023	-83%
Cr [t]	0.038	0.034	-0.004	-11%
Cu [t]	0.97	0.69	-0.28	-29%
Ni [t]	0.021	0.005	-0.016	-74%
Se [t]	0.0030	0.0008	-0.0022	-75%
Zn [t]	0.47	0.29	-0.18	-39%

